



UPSC HUB

DISASTER MANAGEMENT

50 Most Important Topics for UPSC

Framework, case studies, risk reduction & resilience — key facts, tables and FAQs
for Prelims & Mains

FREE PDF NOTES

100% original exam-oriented notes • UPSC Prelims & Mains

Table of Contents

Part I: Disaster Concepts & Framework

1	1. Disaster: Definition & Classification	6
2	2. Disaster Management Cycle (Prevention to Recovery)	7
3	3. Hazard, Vulnerability, Risk & Capacity	8
4	4. Hyogo Framework for Action (2005–2015)	8
5	5. Sendai Framework (2015–2030): 4 Priorities & 7 Targets	9
6	6. Disaster Management Act, 2005: Key Provisions	10
7	7. NDMA: Structure & Functions	10
8	8. SDMA & DDMA	11
9	9. National Policy on Disaster Management, 2009	12
10	10. National Disaster Management Plan	12
11	11. NDRF & SDRF	13
12	12. NIDM	14
13	13. Role of Armed Forces in Disaster Response	14
14	14. Community-Based Disaster Management	15
15	15. Early Warning Systems in India	16
16	16. Prevention & Mitigation Measures	17
17	17. Financing Disaster Management (NDRF/SDRF Norms)	17

Part II: Major Disasters in India — Case Studies & Response

18	18. Earthquakes in India (Bhuj 2001 & Seismic Zones)	18
19	19. Tsunami 2004: Lessons & Warning Systems	19
20	20. Cyclones: Odisha 1999, Phailin 2013 & Recent Cyclones	19
21	21. Floods: Assam, Bihar, Kerala 2018 & Urban Flooding	20
22	22. Droughts: Causes & Management	21
23	23. Landslides: Himalayan Region & Western Ghats	22
24	24. Heatwaves & Cold Waves	22
25	25. Forest Fires	23
26	26. Industrial Disasters (Bhopal 1984, Vizag 2020)	24
27	27. Nuclear & Radiological Emergencies	24
28	28. Biological Disasters & Pandemics (COVID-19)	25
29	29. Stampedes & Crowd Disasters	26
30	30. Building Collapses & Urban Disasters	27
31	31. Glacial Lake Outburst Floods (Chamoli 2021, Sikkim 2023)	27
32	32. Lightning & Thunderstorms	28
33	33. Dam Safety (Dam Safety Act, 2021)	29

Part III: Risk Reduction, Climate Resilience & Global Frameworks

34	34. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) Concepts	29
35	35. Climate Change & Disaster Linkages	30

36	36. IPCC Reports & Their Relevance	31
37	37. Paris Agreement & Disaster Resilience	32
38	38. SDGs & DRR (Goals 11 & 13)	32
39	39. Coalition for Disaster Resilient Infrastructure (CDRI)	33
40	40. India's Global Role in DRR	34
41	41. Urban Disaster Management	34
42	42. School & Hospital Safety	35
43	43. Mock Drills & Preparedness Culture	36
44	44. Media & Communication in Disasters	36
45	45. Post-Disaster Needs Assessment & Recovery	37
46	46. Build Back Better Principle	38
47	47. Disaster Insurance & Risk Transfer	38
48	48. Technology in DM (GIS, Drones, AI)	39
49	49. Traditional Knowledge in Disaster Management	40
50	50. Way Forward: A Disaster-Resilient India by 2030	41

Disaster Management: 50 Most Important Topics for UPSC (Framework, Case Studies & Resilience)

Disaster Management is a compact, high-scoring area of the UPSC syllabus, sitting squarely in GS Paper III. Prelims typically asks 2–4 questions — the DM Act 2005, the NDMA's structure, Sendai Framework targets, and one current-affairs-linked case study — while Mains rewards answers combining the institutional framework with real Indian case studies and the global resilience

agenda. Because the syllabus is finite, mastering these 50 topics can convert almost every disaster-management question into marks.

These 50 topics cover the complete arc: disaster concepts and the management cycle, India's legal and institutional framework (DM Act 2005, NDMA, NDRF), major disaster case studies with the lessons each taught, and the forward-looking agenda of risk reduction, climate resilience and global frameworks. Every topic has a quick-revision table plus exam-oriented notes — what it is, why it matters, the key facts, and exactly how UPSC tests it. Use the table of contents below to jump straight to any topic.

Table of Contents

Part I: Disaster Concepts & Framework

1. [Disaster: Definition & Classification](#)
2. [Disaster Management Cycle \(Prevention to Recovery\)](#)
3. [Hazard, Vulnerability, Risk & Capacity](#)
4. [Hyogo Framework for Action \(2005–2015\)](#)
5. [Sendai Framework \(2015–2030\): 4 Priorities & 7 Targets](#)
6. [Disaster Management Act, 2005: Key Provisions](#)
7. [NDMA: Structure & Functions](#)
8. [SDMA & DDMA](#)
9. [National Policy on Disaster Management, 2009](#)
10. [National Disaster Management Plan](#)
11. [NDRF & SDRF](#)
12. [NIDM](#)
13. [Role of Armed Forces in Disaster Response](#)
14. [Community-Based Disaster Management](#)
15. [Early Warning Systems in India](#)
16. [Prevention & Mitigation Measures](#)
17. [Financing Disaster Management \(NDRF/SDRF Norms\)](#)

Part II: Major Disasters in India — Case Studies & Response

1. [Earthquakes in India \(Bhuj 2001 & Seismic Zones\)](#)
2. [Tsunami 2004: Lessons & Warning Systems](#)
3. [Cyclones: Odisha 1999, Phailin 2013 & Recent Cyclones](#)
4. [Floods: Assam, Bihar, Kerala 2018 & Urban Flooding](#)
5. [Droughts: Causes & Management](#)
6. [Landslides: Himalayan Region & Western Ghats](#)
7. [Heatwaves & Cold Waves](#)
8. [Forest Fires](#)

9. [Industrial Disasters \(Bhopal 1984, Vizag 2020\)](#)
10. [Nuclear & Radiological Emergencies](#)
11. [Biological Disasters & Pandemics \(COVID-19\)](#)
12. [Stampedes & Crowd Disasters](#)
13. [Building Collapses & Urban Disasters](#)
14. [Glacial Lake Outburst Floods \(Chamoli 2021, Sikkim 2023\)](#)
15. [Lightning & Thunderstorms](#)
16. [Dam Safety \(Dam Safety Act, 2021\)](#)

Part III: Risk Reduction, Climate Resilience & Global Frameworks

1. [Disaster Risk Reduction \(DRR\) Concepts](#)
2. [Climate Change & Disaster Linkages](#)
3. [IPCC Reports & Their Relevance](#)
4. [Paris Agreement & Disaster Resilience](#)
5. [SDGs & DRR \(Goals 11 & 13\)](#)
6. [Coalition for Disaster Resilient Infrastructure \(CDRI\)](#)
7. [India's Global Role in DRR](#)
8. [Urban Disaster Management](#)
9. [School & Hospital Safety](#)
10. [Mock Drills & Preparedness Culture](#)
11. [Media & Communication in Disasters](#)
12. [Post-Disaster Needs Assessment & Recovery](#)
13. [Build Back Better Principle](#)
14. [Disaster Insurance & Risk Transfer](#)
15. [Technology in DM \(GIS, Drones, AI\)](#)
16. [Traditional Knowledge in Disaster Management](#)
17. [Way Forward: A Disaster-Resilient India by 2030](#)

Part I: Disaster Concepts & Framework

1. 1. Disaster: Definition & Classification

Key fact	Detail
UNISDR definition	A serious disruption of a community's functioning causing widespread human, material, economic or environmental losses that exceed its ability to cope using its own resources
DM Act, 2005 (Section 2(d))	

	A catastrophe, mishap, calamity or grave occurrence arising from natural or man-made causes, of such nature or magnitude as to be beyond the coping capacity of the affected community
Natural disasters	Earthquake, tsunami, cyclone, flood, drought, landslide, avalanche, heatwave, forest fire, volcanic eruption
Man-made disasters	Industrial/chemical accidents, nuclear emergencies, building collapses, stampedes, rail/air accidents, terrorism, biological hazards

What it is: A disaster is not merely a hazardous event — it is the point where an event overwhelms a community's coping capacity. A cyclone over an empty ocean is a hazard; striking a populated coast, it becomes a disaster. Section 2(d) of the DM Act, 2005 defines disaster as a catastrophe, mishap, calamity or grave occurrence from natural or man-made causes, beyond the affected community's coping capacity. Disasters are classified as natural or man-made.

Why it matters: The definition decides what the state must legally respond to: the same event can be a disaster in a vulnerable district and a manageable emergency in a resilient city — which is why vulnerability reduction matters as much as hazard prediction. It explains why India treats droughts, stampedes and pandemics under one legal framework. For UPSC, this is the conceptual anchor — every Mains answer should open by distinguishing a hazard from a disaster.

Key facts: The UNDRR defines disaster in near-identical terms — disruption exceeding the community's ability to cope. India faces over 30 recognised disaster types: nearly three-fifths of its landmass is prone to moderate-to-very-high-intensity earthquakes, about 12% is flood-prone, and its 7,500-km coastline faces cyclones and tsunamis. The High Powered Committee on Disaster Management (2001) first recommended a unified national framework after Bhuj exposed the fragmented, relief-only system.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims has asked for the Act's definition and natural-versus-man-made classifications in statement questions. A favourite trap lists events (landslide, building collapse, heatwave) and asks which are "disasters" under the Act — the answer turns on the coping-capacity test. In Mains, the definition is the standard opening for GS-III answers, with the hazard-versus-disaster distinction stated crisply before analysis.

2. 2. Disaster Management Cycle (Prevention to Recovery)

Key fact	Detail
Four classic phases	Mitigation (prevention), Preparedness, Response, Recovery (rehabilitation and reconstruction)
Pre-disaster phase	Mitigation and preparedness — risk assessment, early warning, capacity building, mock drills
Post-disaster phase	Response (rescue, relief) followed by recovery (restoration, rehabilitation, reconstruction)
Key principle	The cycle is continuous — recovery must feed into better mitigation ("build back better"), not mere restoration

What it is: The disaster management cycle treats disaster work as a continuous loop with four phases. Mitigation means long-term actions reducing a disaster's likelihood or severity — building codes, embankments, land-use zoning. Preparedness means getting ready to respond — early warning, evacuation plans, stockpiles, trained volunteers, mock drills. Response is the immediate life-saving phase — search and rescue, medical aid, food, water, shelter. Recovery covers the longer haul — restoring services, rehabilitating livelihoods, reconstructing infrastructure. The phases overlap: good recovery strengthens the next mitigation round.

Why it matters: India's old approach was almost entirely response-centric — wait for the disaster, then send relief. The DM Act, 2005 and the Sendai Framework mandate a shift toward the pre-disaster half of the cycle, because mitigation spending saves multiples in response and recovery costs. Odisha's transformation from the 1999 super cyclone (~10,000 deaths) to Phailin in 2013 (~four dozen deaths) is the textbook proof that preparedness — shelters, warning systems, evacuation drills — breaks the cycle of repeated catastrophe.

Key facts: The National Policy on Disaster Management (2009) explicitly adopts the cycle approach, stressing prevention, mitigation and preparedness alongside response, and the National Disaster Management Plan operationalises each phase with assigned agency roles. Internationally, the response-to-risk-reduction shift was the central message of the Hyogo Framework (2005–2015), deepened by Sendai (2015–2030). The standard UPSC phrasing — moving from "relief-centric" to "holistic, proactive, multi-hazard" management — is simply the cycle stated as policy.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the phases in order and which activities belong to mitigation, preparedness or response — a classic matching question. Statement questions may describe an activity (e.g., retrofitting school buildings) and ask which phase it belongs to. In Mains, the cycle is the expected structure for "discuss India's disaster preparedness" answers, and the relief-centric-to-proactive shift is a standard evaluative theme, usually illustrated with Odisha.

3. 3. Hazard, Vulnerability, Risk & Capacity

Key fact	Detail
Hazard	A potentially damaging physical event or phenomenon (earthquake, cyclone, flood) — exists independent of people
Vulnerability	The susceptibility of people, property and systems to harm — physical, social, economic, environmental
Risk	Expected losses from the interaction of hazard and vulnerability; Risk = Hazard × Vulnerability × Exposure (conceptually)
Capacity	The strengths, resources and abilities a community can use to reduce risk or cope with a disaster

What it is: These four terms are the vocabulary of the subject. A hazard is a potentially damaging event — a fault line, a cyclonic storm, a virus. Vulnerability is the set of conditions making people or assets susceptible to harm: mud houses in a seismic zone, settlements on a floodplain, poverty preventing evacuation. Risk is the product of the two — expected losses when a hazard meets vulnerable, exposed people and assets. Capacity is the counterweight: the skills, institutions and infrastructure a community mobilises to reduce risk or absorb shock. The same magnitude-7 earthquake kills dozens in a prepared city, thousands in an unprepared one.

Why it matters: This framework redirects policy from fighting nature (impossible) to reducing vulnerability and building capacity (achievable). Every Indian success story is a vulnerability-reduction story: cyclone shelters in Odisha, earthquake-resistant codes after Bhuj, community volunteers under Aapda Mitra. It also explains the equity dimension — the poor, women, children, the elderly and persons with disabilities are systematically more vulnerable, which is why Sendai insists on inclusive, people-centred risk reduction.

Key facts: Vulnerability is analysed in four dimensions — physical (weak buildings, unsafe locations), social (marginalised groups, low literacy), economic (poverty, uninsured assets) and environmental (degraded mangroves, deforestation). Exposure — the people and assets located in hazard-prone areas — is sometimes listed separately. Risk assessment, mapping hazards and analysing vulnerability, is Priority 1 of the Sendai Framework and the legal starting point of district disaster management plans in India.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims frequently asks one-mark definitions — "which best describes vulnerability?" — and statement questions distinguishing hazard from risk. The relationship (risk rises with hazard and vulnerability, falls with capacity) appears in conceptual questions. In Mains, these terms are the analytical lens for case-study questions: answers should explain a disaster's toll through the affected population's vulnerability, not merely narrate the event.

4. 4. Hyogo Framework for Action (2005–2015)

Key fact	Detail
Adopted	January 2005, at the World Conference on Disaster Reduction in Kobe, Hyogo, Japan — months after the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami

Goal	Substantial reduction of disaster losses in lives and in the social, economic and environmental assets of communities and countries
Five Priorities for Action	(1) Make DRR a national/local priority with strong institutions; (2) identify, assess and monitor risks, enhance early warning; (3) use knowledge, innovation and education to build safety culture; (4) reduce underlying risk factors; (5) strengthen preparedness for effective response
Successor	The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015–2030), adopted in March 2015

What it is: The Hyogo Framework for Action was the first global blueprint for disaster risk reduction, adopted by 168 countries in January 2005 after the December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. It set a ten-year goal — substantially reducing disaster losses in lives and in social, economic and environmental assets — organised around five Priorities for Action: making risk reduction an institutional priority, improving risk identification and early warning, building a culture of safety through education, reducing underlying risk factors, and strengthening preparedness for response. It was voluntary, relying on national platforms and reporting.

Why it matters: Hyogo marked the global pivot from disaster response to disaster risk reduction — the same shift India was legislating through the DM Act, 2005 that very year. It gave countries a common language and pushed national DRR platforms, multi-hazard early warning systems and school-safety programmes worldwide. Its decade produced real gains, including the Indian Ocean Tsunami Warning System, but mortality stayed concentrated in developing countries and economic losses kept rising — lessons that directly shaped the Sendai Framework.

Key facts: The framework ran from 2005 to 2015, monitored through national self-assessments reported to the UNISDR (now UNDRR). India used the Hyogo decade to build its post-2005 architecture — NDMA, state and district authorities, and the first National Policy on Disaster Management (2009). The final reviews concluded that while governance improved, the underlying drivers of risk (unplanned urbanisation, climate change, poverty) were outpacing the gains.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the adoption year and place (Kobe, Hyogo, Japan, 2005), the number of priorities (five), and its relationship to Sendai — "which framework preceded the Sendai Framework?" In Mains, Hyogo appears in answers tracing the evolution of global DRR thinking, as the bridge between the Yokohama Strategy (1994) and Sendai.

5. 5. Sendai Framework (2015–2030): 4 Priorities & 7 Targets

Key fact	Detail
Adopted	March 2015, at the Third UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Sendai, Japan; endorsed by the UN General Assembly in June 2015
Expected outcome	Substantial reduction of disaster risk and losses in lives, livelihoods and health, and in the economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets of persons, businesses, communities and countries
Four Priorities for Action	(1) Understanding disaster risk; (2) strengthening disaster risk governance; (3) investing in DRR for resilience; (4) enhancing preparedness and "Build Back Better"
Seven Global Targets (by 2030)	Reduce (a) disaster mortality, (b) affected people, (c) direct economic loss relative to GDP, (d) damage to critical infrastructure and disruption of basic services; increase (e) countries with DRR strategies (by 2020), (f) international cooperation, (g) multi-hazard early warning systems and risk information

What it is: The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030 is the current global agreement on reducing disaster risk, adopted in March 2015 at Sendai, Japan. Its expected outcome — substantially reducing disaster risk and losses across lives, livelihoods, health and economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets — is pursued through four Priorities for Action: understanding disaster risk; strengthening risk governance; investing in DRR for resilience; and enhancing preparedness, including "Build Back Better." Progress is measured against seven global targets for 2030.

Why it matters: Sendai is the reference point for every modern disaster-management answer — shifting the emphasis from managing disasters to managing risk, making risk governance a standalone priority, and linking DRR to sustainable development and climate action. For India it is not abstract: the National Disaster Management Plan was revised to align with it, and the Prime Minister's ten-point DRR agenda (2016) is India's domestic expression of its priorities.

Key facts: The seven targets split into four "reduce" targets — (a) disaster mortality, (b) affected people, (c) direct economic loss relative to GDP, (d) damage to critical infrastructure and disruption of basic services including health and education — and three "increase" targets — (e) countries with national and local DRR strategies (deadline 2020, the rest 2030), (f) international cooperation, (g) multi-hazard early warning systems and risk information.

How UPSC tests it: This is one of the most-asked frameworks. Prelims asks the number of priorities (four) and targets (seven), the adoption year and city (2015, Sendai), and individual targets — e.g., which had a 2020 deadline (national and local DRR strategies). Statement questions mix up the priorities with Hyogo's five. In Mains, Sendai is the standard global anchor for DRR and resilience answers, and "Build Back Better" is expected vocabulary.

6. 6. Disaster Management Act, 2005: Key Provisions

Key fact	Detail
Enacted	Passed by Parliament in December 2005; provides the legal backbone of disaster management in India
Structure	11 chapters and 79 sections covering institutions, plans, funds and powers at national, state and district levels
Institutions created	NDMA (national), SDMA (state), DDMA (district); National Executive Committee; NIDM; NDRF
Key powers	Central government can issue binding directions to any authority (Section 35); the Act overrides other laws in case of inconsistency (Section 72)

What it is: The Disaster Management Act, 2005 is India's umbrella disaster legislation, enacted after the 2004 tsunami exposed the absence of a legal framework. It mandates a three-tier structure — the NDMA chaired by the Prime Minister, SDMAs chaired by Chief Ministers, DDMA's chaired by District Collectors — supported by a National Executive Committee of secretaries. The Act requires plans at national, state and district levels, creates the NDRF and NIDM, establishes mitigation and response funds at each level, and gives the Centre sweeping powers to issue directions, requisition resources and override inconsistent laws.

Why it matters: Before 2005, disaster management rested on ad-hoc relief codes; the Act converted it into a statutory obligation, making prevention, mitigation and preparedness legal duties. Its powers were used at full stretch during COVID-19, when the Home Ministry issued nationwide lockdown orders under the Act — proving it covers biological disasters too. For UPSC, it is the single most important statute in this subject.

Key facts: Key sections: 2(d) defines "disaster"; 3 establishes the NDMA; 6 lists its functions; 8 creates the National Executive Committee; 14 and 25 create SDMA's and DDMA's; 44 constitutes the NDRF; 46 creates the National Disaster Response Fund; 48 creates state and district funds; 51 punishes obstruction; 72 gives the Act overriding effect. Offences such as obstructing responders or making false relief claims carry imprisonment up to two years.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks who chairs each authority (PM, CM, Collector), the year of enactment, and under which section the NDRF is constituted (44). Statement questions test the Act's scope — whether it covers man-made disasters (yes) and whether the Centre's directions are binding (yes). In Mains, the Act is the legal foundation for answers on federal coordination, pandemic management and the effectiveness of India's disaster architecture.

7. 7. NDMA: Structure & Functions

Key fact	Detail
----------	--------

Established	Constituted under Section 3 of the DM Act, 2005; the apex body for disaster management in India
Chairperson	The Prime Minister; up to nine other members nominated by the Chairperson, one designated Vice-Chairperson
Functions (Section 6)	Lay down policies, plans and guidelines for disaster management; approve the National Plan; coordinate enforcement and implementation
Assisted by	The National Executive Committee (NEC), chaired by the Union Home Secretary, which prepares the National Plan

What it is: The National Disaster Management Authority is the apex statutory body for disaster management, created by the DM Act, 2005. Chaired by the Prime Minister, it comprises up to nine members nominated by the Chairperson, one serving as Vice-Chairperson. Under Section 6 its functions are to lay down national policies, plans and guidelines, approve the National Plan prepared by the National Executive Committee, and coordinate enforcement and implementation. It works through a secretariat and the NEC, chaired by the Union Home Secretary.

Why it matters: The NDMA converted disaster management from a reactive, ministry-scattered activity into a nationally coordinated mission. It issues the authoritative guidelines — from earthquake-resistant construction to heatwave action plans and school safety — which states are expected to implement. Its coordination role was on full display during COVID-19, when NDMA-chaired deliberations shaped the national response.

Key facts: NDMA guidelines cover earthquakes, cyclones, floods, landslides, chemical disasters, nuclear emergencies and biological disasters. It runs national mock exercises, supports the Aapda Mitra community-volunteer programme, and operates Common Alerting Protocol-based public warning systems. Its vision commits India to a safer, disaster-resilient country through a holistic, proactive, technology-driven and sustainable strategy.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks who chairs the NDMA (the Prime Minister), how members are chosen (nominated by the Chairperson), and which committee assists it (the NEC under the Home Secretary). Matching questions pair its functions with Section 6. In Mains, the NDMA anchors answers on institutional effectiveness — its guideline-making power, cross-ministry coordination and performance during COVID-19.

8. 8. SDMA & DDMA

Key fact	Detail
SDMA (Section 14)	State Disaster Management Authority, chaired by the Chief Minister; lays down state DM policy and approves the State Plan
SEC	State Executive Committee, chaired by the Chief Secretary; assists the SDMA and coordinates state-level implementation
DDMA (Section 25)	District Disaster Management Authority, chaired by the District Collector/District Magistrate; co-chaired by the elected representative of the local authority
District Plan	Each DDMA prepares a district disaster management plan covering risk assessment, response structure and resource inventory

What it is: The DM Act extends its architecture below the national level. Each state has a State Disaster Management Authority chaired by the Chief Minister — laying down state policy, approving the State Plan and coordinating implementation — assisted by the State Executive Committee chaired by the Chief Secretary. On the front line is the District Disaster Management Authority, chaired by the District Collector and co-chaired by the elected head of the local authority. The DDMA prepares the district plan, identifies vulnerable areas, maintains resource inventories and coordinates the actual response.

Why it matters: Disasters are ultimately local — the first responders are always the district administration, police, local bodies and the community. The DDMA design recognises this by placing legal authority with the Collector, who

commands the district machinery, while the co-chair brings democratic legitimacy. But the state–district quality gap is the system's biggest weakness: many DDMA's remain under-staffed and plan-poor, making district capacity-building a recurring UPSC theme.

Key facts: The SDMA's functions mirror the NDMA's at state level, while the SEC handles executive work including preparing the State Plan. DDMA members include the Superintendent of Police, the Chief Medical Officer and other district officers. District plans are supposed to include hazard and vulnerability analysis, evacuation routes, shelter locations and hazard-wise standard operating procedures. States also maintain their own State Disaster Response Forces under this architecture.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims loves the chairperson trio — PM, CM, Collector — often as a matching question. The DDMA co-chair (elected representative of the local authority) is a favourite fine-detail question, as is whether the SEC is chaired by the Chief Secretary (yes). In Mains, the district level is where answers discuss implementation gaps, federal coordination and empowering local bodies and communities.

9. 9. National Policy on Disaster Management, 2009

Key fact	Detail
Approved	2009, by the Union Cabinet; the first comprehensive national policy on disaster management
Vision	To build a safe and disaster-resilient India by developing a holistic, proactive, multi-disaster-oriented and technology-driven strategy
Approach	Shift from relief-centric to prevention, mitigation and preparedness; mainstream DRR into development planning
Key features	Community-based DM, capacity development, knowledge management, institutional and legal systems, financial arrangements

What it is: The National Policy on Disaster Management, 2009 is the government's first comprehensive statement of intent on the subject. Its vision: a safe, disaster-resilient India built through a holistic, proactive, multi-disaster-oriented, technology-driven strategy. The central message is the paradigm shift — moving from a relief-centric approach to one centred on prevention, mitigation and preparedness. It calls for mainstreaming DRR into development planning so every new project reduces rather than creates risk, alongside community-based management and capacity development.

Why it matters: The 2009 policy is the doctrinal bridge between the DM Act (the law) and the National Disaster Management Plan (the operational document). Its mainstreaming call is vital for Mains answers: Indian disasters are repeatedly amplified by development choices — buildings on floodplains, stripped hillsides, unplanned sprawl — and the policy provides the authoritative language for arguing that development planning itself is disaster policy.

Key facts: The policy covers institutional and legal systems, financial arrangements, the role of NGOs and the private sector, and international cooperation, with the NDMA laying down consistent policies and guidelines. It stresses gender-sensitive and inclusive approaches, recognising that women, children, the elderly and persons with disabilities face disproportionate risk. The National Disaster Management Plan (2016, revised 2019) and India's Sendai alignment explicitly build on the 2009 policy's foundation.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims occasionally asks the year (2009) and the vision's key phrase — "safe and disaster-resilient India." More often it appears in Mains as the policy anchor for the relief-to-preparedness shift: answers are expected to cite the policy when arguing India formally adopted a proactive approach in 2009, and questions on mainstreaming DRR into development draw directly on its language.

10. 10. National Disaster Management Plan

Key fact	Detail
----------	--------

First released	2016 by the NDMA — India's first-ever national disaster management plan; revised in 2019
Prepared by	The National Executive Committee, for approval by the NDMA, as required by the DM Act
Alignment	Aligned with the Sendai Framework's four priorities and the Sustainable Development Goals
Structure	Covers all phases of the DM cycle, assigns roles to ministries/agencies, and includes hazard-specific action frameworks

What it is: The National Disaster Management Plan is the operational master document translating the DM Act and the 2009 policy into assigned responsibilities. First released in 2016 and revised in 2019, it is prepared by the National Executive Committee and approved by the NDMA. It covers the full cycle — prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response, recovery — specifying which ministry or agency does what in each phase. It includes hazard-specific frameworks and is aligned with the Sendai Framework's four priorities and the SDGs — India's domestic vehicle for its global DRR commitments.

Why it matters: The plan is what makes the architecture function during a crisis: when a cyclone approaches, there is no confusion about whether the IMD issues warnings, the NDRF pre-positions teams or the states run shelters — roles are pre-assigned. Its Sendai alignment lets answers credibly claim India's domestic framework implements its international commitments, and it imposes a discipline: states and districts must mirror it with their own plans.

Key facts: The 2016 plan was India's first single, integrated, all-hazard national plan; earlier planning was hazard-specific and fragmented. It defines central ministry roles — Home Affairs as nodal for overall coordination, with Earth Sciences (IMD), Water Resources (CWC), Health, Railways, Defence and others holding hazard-specific mandates. It also addresses cross-cutting concerns: gender, disability, children and protection of cultural heritage during disasters.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the release year of the first NDMP (2016) and which body prepares it (the NEC). Questions may test its Sendai alignment. In Mains, the plan is cited as evidence of India's move from ad-hoc response to planned, all-hazard management — and as the basis for evaluating gaps, since a national plan does not guarantee equally good state and district plans.

11. 11. NDRF & SDRF

Key fact	Detail
NDRF constituted	Under Section 44 of the DM Act, 2005; a specialised, multi-skilled national response force under the Ministry of Home Affairs
Strength	Raised initially with 8 battalions drawn from paramilitary forces; expanded over the years to 16 battalions positioned across the country
SDRF	State Disaster Response Forces raised by states for first response; NDRF battalions also train state personnel
Role	Specialist search and rescue — collapsed structures, floods, cyclones, chemical/biological/radiological emergencies

What it is: The National Disaster Response Force is India's dedicated disaster-response force, constituted under Section 44 of the DM Act. Raised by drawing battalions from the central paramilitary forces — BSF, CRPF, CISF, ITBP and SSB — and retraining them as multi-skilled responders, it handles collapsed-structure rescue, flood and cyclone response, and chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear emergencies. Headed by a Director General under the Ministry of Home Affairs, its battalions are strategically located for rapid deployment. States raise their own State Disaster Response Forces for immediate first response, with NDRF training support.

Why it matters: Before the NDRF, rescue depended on whoever was nearby — usually the Army, called in as an overstretched last resort. A standing, specialised force means trained rescuers with the right equipment reach the site

in hours rather than days. Its pre-positioning ahead of forecast cyclones and floods routinely saves lives, and its international deployments — Nepal 2015, Türkiye 2023 — have made it an instrument of India's disaster diplomacy.

Key facts: NDRF battalions are trained for all-hazard response, including water, mountain and CBRN scenarios. The force played major roles in the Uttarakhand floods (2013), Kerala floods (2018), Cyclone Amphan (2020) and countless building-collapse rescues. SDRFs ensure the first hour of response does not wait for central teams; the Centre supports states in equipping and training them. NDRF personnel also conduct community awareness and school-safety programmes during non-emergency periods.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks under which section the NDRF is constituted (Section 44), which ministry it functions under (Home Affairs), and the paramilitary forces its battalions are drawn from. Statement questions may test whether SDRFs are a state or central subject (state). In Mains, the NDRF features in answers on response capacity and specialised institutional development since 2005, including its international deployments.

12. 12. NIDM

Key fact	Detail
Full form	National Institute of Disaster Management; constituted under Section 42 of the DM Act, 2005
Location & status	Headquartered in New Delhi; functions under the NDMA framework as the national centre for training, research and capacity building
Origin	Upgraded from the earlier National Centre for Disaster Management set up after the late-1990s disasters
Mandate	Training, research, documentation, policy advocacy and developing a national knowledge network on disaster management

What it is: The National Institute of Disaster Management is India's apex institution for disaster-management training, research and capacity development, constituted under Section 42 of the DM Act. Based in New Delhi within the NDMA framework, it was upgraded from the earlier National Centre for Disaster Management. Its mandate spans the knowledge side of the cycle: training administrators, responders and community workers; researching hazards, vulnerability and best practices; documenting case studies from Indian disasters; and building a national knowledge network, including programmes for engineers, doctors and teachers.

Why it matters: Equipment and battalions are useless without trained people and tested knowledge — NIDM is the institutional answer to that gap. It professionalises disaster management as a discipline, producing the training modules, guidelines and research that feed state training institutes and the district machinery. Its documentation of Indian case studies creates the shared learning base that prevents the same mistakes from recurring.

Key facts: NIDM conducts national and international training programmes, including courses for foreign officials, supporting India's DRR diplomacy. It publishes research, maintains a documentation centre, collaborates with universities on disaster-management curricula, and helps states build their own training capacities. Its work feeds directly into NDMA guidelines — training content on earthquake-safe construction and flood management draws on NIDM research.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the full form, the constituting section (Section 42) and headquarters (New Delhi). It is sometimes paired with the NDRF in matching questions to test whether candidates can distinguish the training/research body from the response force. In Mains, NIDM appears in answers on capacity building and knowledge management as the institutional home of learning from disasters.

13. 13. Role of Armed Forces in Disaster Response

Key fact	Detail
----------	--------

Constitutional basis	Deployed in "aid to civil authority" when civilian capacity is overwhelmed; the DM Act framework coordinates their use
Capabilities	Air Force helicopters and transport aircraft, Army engineer and medical columns, Navy diving and flood-relief teams
Famous operations	2004 tsunami relief, Operation Rahat in Uttarakhand (2013), Operation Maitri in Nepal (2015), Kerala floods (2018)
International HADR	Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Relief abroad — e.g., Indian teams after the 2023 Türkiye earthquake

What it is: India's Armed Forces are the responder of last resort and greatest capability in major disasters, deployed in "aid to civil authority" when civilian capacity is overwhelmed. The Army contributes engineer task forces, medical columns and rescue columns; the Air Force provides helicopters and transport aircraft for evacuation and relief drops; the Navy brings diving teams, flood-relief columns and ships for coastal disasters. Beyond India's borders, the forces conduct Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Relief (HADR) operations that double as disaster diplomacy.

Why it matters: In catastrophic events — the 2004 tsunami, the 2013 Uttarakhand floods, the 2018 Kerala floods — only the military had the heavy-lift helicopters, engineering equipment and disciplined manpower to operate at scale in destroyed terrain. Operation Rahat in Uttarakhand (2013), which evacuated tens of thousands of stranded pilgrims, remains the defining example of military-led disaster rescue. Yet over-reliance is a recognised problem: every deployment pulls soldiers from their defence role, which is why the NDRF was created.

Key facts: Operation Maitri (2015) saw Indian military aircraft, engineers and medical teams among the first foreign responders after the Nepal earthquake. In February 2023, Indian Army and NDRF teams deployed to Türkiye after the devastating earthquake under Operation Dost. Domestically, the principle is graduated response: local administration first, then SDRF, then NDRF, with the Armed Forces called in when civilian capacity is exceeded.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the "aid to civil authority" principle and may name operations (Rahat-Uttarakhand 2013, Maitri-Nepal 2015) in matching questions. Statement questions test whether the Armed Forces are the first or last resort (last resort, after civilian agencies). In Mains, their role is discussed under response capacity and disaster diplomacy, with the caution that civilian capacity must grow so the military is not the default responder.

14. 14. Community-Based Disaster Management

Key fact	Detail
Core idea	Communities are the first responders; CBDM builds their capacity to prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters
Aapda Mitra	NDMA scheme training community volunteers (12-day residential courses) in flood, cyclone, earthquake and other response skills
Local institutions	Panchayats, urban local bodies, self-help groups, NSS/NCC volunteers as the grassroots backbone
Policy backing	National Policy on DM (2009) makes community participation a formal pillar of the system

What it is: Community-Based Disaster Management recognises that in every disaster, the first people on the scene are neighbours, not the NDRF — professional responders take hours or days, while the "golden hour" is measured in minutes. CBDM treats the community as the primary actor: training volunteers in search and rescue, first aid and evacuation; preparing village and ward-level plans; mapping local risks and resources; running regular mock drills. India's flagship is Aapda Mitra, an NDMA scheme giving community volunteers intensive residential training in multi-hazard response, backed by panchayats, local bodies, self-help groups and NSS/NCC volunteers.

Why it matters: The arithmetic makes CBDM non-negotiable: no government can station professional rescuers in every vulnerable village, but every village has residents who can be trained. The Odisha cyclone story is as much about village volunteers and shelter committees as about satellites. CBDM also democratises the system — local people know their terrain, their vulnerable neighbours and their escape routes best, connecting to Sendai's call for inclusive, all-of-society risk reduction.

Key facts: The National Policy on Disaster Management (2009) formally mandates community participation, and NDMA guidelines require community-based approaches in district planning. Aapda Mitra volunteers train in floods, earthquakes, cyclones, building-collapse rescue and first aid, equipped with basic emergency kits. Effective CBDM includes village disaster plans, locally maintained warning chains (sirens, announcements, mobile alerts) and designated shelter managers — the unglamorous details determining whether evacuation actually happens.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks about the Aapda Mitra scheme (NDMA, community volunteers) and the principle that communities are first responders. In Mains, CBDM is the standard "way forward" ingredient: answers on strengthening India's architecture should argue for empowered panchayats, trained volunteers and village-level plans, citing Odisha and Sendai's emphasis on inclusive participation.

15. 15. Early Warning Systems in India

Key fact	Detail
IMD	India Meteorological Department — cyclone, heavy rainfall, heatwave and thunderstorm warnings; colour-coded alerts
INCOIS	Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services, Hyderabad — operates the Indian Tsunami Early Warning Centre (since 2007)
CWC	Central Water Commission — flood forecasting at river monitoring stations across major basins
Dissemination	Common Alerting Protocol-based systems, mobile alerts, sirens, TV/radio; NDMA's "Sachet" platform for geo-targeted warnings

What it is: An early warning system is the full chain from detecting a hazard to getting people to safety: monitoring and forecasting, risk assessment, warning communication, and community preparedness to act. A break at any link — a perfect forecast nobody receives, or a warning nobody trusts — means failure. India operates specialised agencies per hazard: the IMD for cyclones, heavy rainfall, heatwaves and thunderstorms (colour-coded alerts); INCOIS at Hyderabad, running the Indian Tsunami Early Warning Centre; and the Central Water Commission, issuing flood forecasts from river monitoring stations. Dissemination increasingly uses the Common Alerting Protocol for geo-targeted mobile alerts.

Why it matters: Early warning is the cheapest life-saving investment in the field — the difference between Odisha 1999 and 2013 was, above all, a warning-and-evacuation system that worked. Sendai's seventh global target calls for substantially increasing multi-hazard early warning by 2030. For India, the decisive frontier is last-mile communication — whether the fisherman, the farmer and the slum-dweller actually get and understand the alert.

Key facts: The tsunami warning centre at INCOIS became operational in October 2007, issuing advisories within minutes of tsunamigenic earthquakes. The IMD's staged cyclone bulletins enabled million-plus evacuations before Phailin (2013) and Fani (2019). The CWC's flood forecasting covers major inter-state basins. NDMA promotes the CAP-based "Sachet" system for integrated geo-targeted alerts, and the "Damini" app warns of lightning strikes. Mock drills regularly test the warning chain from agency to village.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks which agency warns for which hazard — IMD (cyclone/weather), INCOIS (tsunami), CWC (floods) — a classic matching set, plus the tsunami centre's location (Hyderabad). The colour-coded system and Sendai target (g) on early warning are favourite facts. In Mains, early warning illustrates the preparedness phase, with "last-mile connectivity" as the standard critical analysis.

16. 16. Prevention & Mitigation Measures

Key fact	Detail
Prevention	Actions to avoid the hazard or its impact entirely — e.g., land-use zoning keeping settlements off floodplains
Mitigation	Actions to reduce the severity of impact — e.g., earthquake-resistant construction, embankments, retrofitting
Structural measures	Dams, embankments, cyclone shelters, retrofitted buildings, drainage systems
Non-structural measures	Building codes and their enforcement, land-use regulation, insurance, awareness, ecosystem restoration

What it is: Prevention and mitigation are the pre-disaster half of the cycle. Prevention aims to keep people out of harm's way — banning construction on floodplains, relocating settlements from landslide zones, enforcing coastal regulation zones. Mitigation accepts the hazard will occur and reduces its impact — earthquake-resistant construction, embankments, retrofitting old schools and hospitals, restoring mangroves that blunt storm surges. Measures divide into structural (shelters, dams, drainage, retrofitting) and non-structural (codes and enforcement, land-use regulation, insurance, awareness, ecosystem restoration). A cyclone shelter is useless without the evacuation plan and drill that fills it.

Why it matters: Mitigation is where the economics are most compelling — each unit of currency spent on risk reduction saves several in avoided response and reconstruction. India's signature successes include Odisha's cyclone shelters, the earthquake-safe construction push after Bhuj, and coastal bioshield restoration. Conversely, recurring disasters are often mitigation failures: collapsed buildings where codes were ignored, flooded cities where wetlands were paved, hillsides where slopes were cut.

Key facts: Key instruments include the National Building Code's seismic provisions, the Coastal Regulation Zone notification, flood-plain zoning (widely legislated, poorly enforced), and NDMA guidelines on earthquake-safe hospitals and landslide risk. Nature-based mitigation — mangrove restoration, wetland conservation, watershed treatment — is gaining emphasis as cost-effective. The Finance Commission's dedicated mitigation funds (National and State Disaster Mitigation Funds) finally gave mitigation its own financing stream.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks for examples distinguishing structural from non-structural mitigation and prevention from mitigation — a favourite conceptual matching question. In Mains, mitigation is the heart of "how should India reduce disaster risk" answers: code enforcement, land-use regulation, ecosystem-based approaches and dedicated mitigation funding are the expected pillars, contrasted with old relief-centric spending.

17. 17. Financing Disaster Management (NDRF/SDRF Norms)

Key fact	Detail
SDRF	State Disaster Response Fund — the first-resort fund, financed by Centre and states in a 90:10 ratio (75:25 for general-category states)
NDRF	National Disaster Response Fund — supplements SDRF when a calamity is of severe nature; released on assessment by central teams
15th Finance Commission	Created the National Disaster Risk Management Fund (NDRMF) and State Disaster Risk Management Funds (SDRMF), merging response and mitigation funds
Norms	Assistance follows notified norms — e.g., ex-gratia for loss of life, input subsidy for crop loss — not full compensation

What it is: India finances disaster management through a layered fund architecture. The State Disaster Response Fund is the first port of call, financed jointly by the Centre and states (90:10 for special-category states, 75:25 for others). When a calamity is of severe nature and the SDRF is inadequate, the National Disaster Response Fund supplements it, with releases decided on assessments by inter-ministerial central teams. The 15th Finance Commission created Disaster Risk Management Funds at national and state levels — the NDRMF and SDRMF — each combining a response fund with a dedicated mitigation fund. Assistance follows notified norms — ex-gratia for loss of life, input subsidy for crop loss — relief assistance, not full compensation.

Why it matters: Money determines behaviour: for decades the system financed only post-disaster relief, entrenching the relief-centric mindset the 2009 policy criticised. The mitigation funds are the financial expression of the paradigm shift. The norms-based approach also matters politically — every major disaster triggers demands for "national calamity" declarations, but the system is deliberately rules-based: central teams assess, norms apply, the NDRF bridges the gap.

Key facts: SDRF allocations follow Finance Commission recommendations considering each state's vulnerability and past expenditure. The notified calamity list covers cyclones, droughts, earthquakes, fires, floods, tsunamis, hailstorms, landslides, avalanches, cloudbursts, pest attacks, frost and cold waves — states may use a limited portion for locally-notified disasters. Ex-gratia norms provide fixed sums to the next of kin of the deceased. The 15th Finance Commission's mitigation funds aligned India's financing with the Sendai priority of investing in risk reduction.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the Centre–state sharing ratios, which fund is first resort (SDRF), what the 15th Finance Commission created (NDRMF/SDRMF merging response and mitigation), and whether assistance is compensation or norms-based relief (norms-based). Statement questions test the eligible-calamity list. In Mains, disaster financing appears in answers on federal fiscal relations and the shift from relief to risk-reduction spending.

Part II: Major Disasters in India — Case Studies & Response

18. 18. Earthquakes in India (Bhuj 2001 & Seismic Zones)

Key fact	Detail
Bhuj earthquake	26 January 2001, magnitude ~7.7, epicentre near Bhachau, Kutch, Gujarat; over 13,000 killed, lakhs of houses destroyed
Seismic zones	BIS seismic zoning map places India in Zones II, III, IV and V; Zone V (very high risk) covers the Himalayas, Northeast, Kutch and Andaman & Nicobar
Vulnerability	Nearly three-fifths of India's landmass is prone to earthquakes of moderate to very high intensity
Key lesson	Most earthquake deaths come from collapsing buildings — enforcement of seismic building codes is the decisive mitigation

What it is: Earthquakes are sudden releases of energy along faults in the earth's crust, measured by magnitude (energy released) and felt as intensity (shaking experienced). India sits at the collision zone of the Indian and Eurasian plates, making the entire Himalayan arc one of the world's most seismically active regions. The Bureau of Indian Standards' seismic zoning map places the country in Zones II (low) to V (very high damage risk). The defining case study is the Bhuj earthquake of 26 January 2001: a magnitude ~7.7 shock in Kutch, Gujarat, on Republic Day morning, killing over 13,000 people and flattening towns like Bhachau and Anjar.

Why it matters: Bhuj was India's wake-up call — it exposed the absence of a national disaster framework and triggered the reforms culminating in the DM Act, 2005. It established the central lesson of earthquake risk: earthquakes don't kill people, buildings do. Most deaths came from collapsing non-engineered masonry, which is why seismic building codes, retrofitting of schools and hospitals, and mason training became the core of India's earthquake mitigation.

Key facts: The National Centre for Seismology (Ministry of Earth Sciences) operates India's earthquake monitoring network. NDMA's earthquake guidelines mandate seismic micro-zonation of cities, resistant design per the National Building Code, and retrofitting of lifeline buildings. Gujarat's post-Bhuj owner-driven reconstruction with seismic safeguards became a global "build back better" model. Later events — Sikkim (2011), and the Gorkha earthquake in Nepal (2015) which caused casualties in Bihar and UP — prompted fresh reviews of Himalayan preparedness.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the seismic zones (II to V — there is no Zone I in the current map), the Bhuj date and magnitude, and the monitoring agency (National Centre for Seismology). Map-based questions locate Zone V regions. In Mains, Bhuj is the standard case study for the birth of India's modern disaster architecture, and earthquake questions demand the mitigation agenda: codes, retrofitting, micro-zonation and public awareness.

19. 19. Tsunami 2004: Lessons & Warning Systems

Key fact	Detail
Event	26 December 2004 — a massive undersea earthquake off Sumatra (magnitude ~9.1) generated tsunamis across the Indian Ocean
Impact on India	Over 10,000 deaths in India, concentrated in Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, Kerala and the Andaman & Nicobar Islands
Warning gap	The Indian Ocean had no tsunami warning system in 2004 — the single biggest lesson of the disaster
Response	India established the Indian Tsunami Early Warning Centre at INCOIS, Hyderabad (operational since 2007)

What it is: A tsunami is a series of powerful ocean waves generated by large undersea earthquakes displacing massive volumes of water. On 26 December 2004, a magnitude ~9.1 megathrust earthquake off Sumatra sent tsunami waves across the Indian Ocean, striking over a dozen countries within hours. In India, Tamil Nadu's Nagapattinam district, Puducherry's Karaikal, parts of Kerala and the Andaman & Nicobar Islands suffered catastrophic damage, with more than 10,000 lives lost. The Indian Ocean had no tsunami warning system, so coastal populations received no alert despite the waves taking up to two hours to reach some shores.

Why it matters: The 2004 tsunami is the founding trauma of modern Indian disaster management: it exposed the complete absence of a legal framework, a national authority and an ocean warning system, directly catalysing the DM Act, 2005 and the NDMA. Globally, it triggered the Indian Ocean Tsunami Warning and Mitigation System under UNESCO's Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission.

Key facts: India set up the Indian Tsunami Early Warning Centre at INCOIS, Hyderabad, operational since October 2007, issuing advisories within minutes. India conducts regular mock tsunami exercises (IOWave drills) with Indian Ocean rim countries. Coastal mitigation since 2004 includes the Coastal Regulation Zone framework, mangrove restoration as bioshields, and tsunami-ready community preparedness in vulnerable districts. The Andaman & Nicobar Islands, near the subduction zone, remain India's most tsunami-exposed territory.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the date (26 December 2004), the cause (Sumatra undersea earthquake), and the location and operator of India's tsunami warning centre (INCOIS, Hyderabad). Questions test the link between the tsunami and the DM Act, 2005. In Mains, the tsunami is the standard opening case study for answers tracing India's disaster-management evolution, and the warning-system lesson illustrates the preparedness phase.

20. 20. Cyclones: Odisha 1999, Phailin 2013 & Recent Cyclones

Key fact	Detail
Odisha super cyclone, 1999	29 October 1999 — one of India's deadliest cyclones; around 10,000 deaths, massive destruction in coastal Odisha
Cyclone Phailin, 2013	

	October 2013 — among the strongest storms to hit India; about a million people evacuated; deaths limited to roughly four dozen
IMD role	Tracks cyclones, issues staged bulletins and colour-coded warnings; cyclone names come from regional WMO/ESCAP lists
Recent cyclones	Fani (2019, Odisha), Amphan (2020, West Bengal), Tauktae (2021, Gujarat), Biparjoy (2023), Remal and Dana (2024)

What it is: Tropical cyclones are intense low-pressure systems forming over warm oceans, bringing destructive winds, storm surges and torrential rain. India's 7,500-km coastline is exposed on both flanks — the Bay of Bengal (more frequent, intense cyclones) and the Arabian Sea (historically quieter but increasingly active). Two bookend case studies define the subject: the Odisha super cyclone of 29 October 1999, which killed around 10,000 people amid near-total absence of evacuation and shelters; and Cyclone Phailin of October 2013, a storm of comparable ferocity that killed only about four dozen because roughly a million residents were evacuated.

Why it matters: The 1999-to-2013 transformation is India's global showcase — cited by the UN and in UPSC answers alike as proof that the disaster management cycle works. The ingredients were improved IMD forecasting and colour-coded warnings, multipurpose cyclone shelters, clear evacuation protocols, community volunteers, and a state government that treated preparedness as a political priority after 1999.

Key facts: The IMD is the nodal agency for cyclone tracking, issuing landfall, storm-surge and wind forecasts in staged bulletins. Cyclone shelters double as community buildings in normal times. Recent major cyclones: Fani (May 2019, Odisha — mass evacuation), Amphan (May 2020, West Bengal — extensive Sundarbans damage), Tauktae (May 2021, Gujarat/Maharashtra), Biparjoy (June 2023, Kutch), and Remal and Dana (2024). Storm surge typically kills more than wind, which is why evacuating low-lying coastal areas is the decisive action.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the 1999 and 2013 comparison, which agency issues cyclone warnings (IMD), and which coast faces more cyclones (east/Bay of Bengal). Naming conventions and recent cyclone–state pairings appear in current-affairs questions. In Mains, the Odisha turnaround is the model case study for preparedness and evacuation management, and cyclones illustrate climate-change linkages in GS-III answers.

21. 21. Floods: Assam, Bihar, Kerala 2018 & Urban Flooding

Key fact	Detail
Scale	Floods are India's most frequent disaster; about 12% of the country's land is officially flood-prone
Chronic zones	Assam and Bihar (Brahmaputra and Kosi river systems) face near-annual flooding; the Kosi is called the "Sorrow of Bihar"
Kerala floods, 2018	August 2018 — the worst floods in Kerala in nearly a century; several hundred deaths, massive dam-management controversy
Urban flooding	Mumbai (2005, 2017), Chennai (2015), Hyderabad (2020), Bengaluru — driven by encroached drains, paved wetlands and poor drainage

What it is: Floods — overflowing rivers, heavy-rainfall inundation and coastal storm surges — are India's most recurrent disaster, affecting millions nearly every monsoon. The chronic zones are well known: Assam, where the Brahmaputra's braided channels spill annually; and north Bihar, where the silt-laden Kosi, the "Sorrow of Bihar," breaches embankments with devastating regularity. The Kerala floods of August 2018 were different — the worst in nearly a century, killing several hundred and displacing over a million, amid debate over whether near-simultaneous dam releases worsened the flooding. Urban flooding has emerged as a distinct crisis in Mumbai, Chennai, Hyderabad and Bengaluru — driven by encroached drains, paved wetlands and outdated stormwater systems.

Why it matters: Floods illustrate every theme in the subject: the hazard is natural, but the disaster is manufactured — embankments that raise riverbeds, settlements on floodplains, cities that destroyed their own drainage. The Kerala

2018 dam-management controversy made reservoir operations during extreme rainfall a national policy issue and fed dam-safety reforms.

Key facts: The Central Water Commission issues flood forecasts from its monitoring stations; the IMD's heavy-rainfall warnings feed state responses. Structural management rests on embankments, reservoirs with flood cushions and drainage; non-structural measures include flood-plain zoning (notified but weakly enforced), forecasting and insurance. The National Disaster Management Plan assigns clear flood roles to the CWC, IMD and states. Post-Kerala, dam operation protocols and the Dam Safety Act, 2021 tightened reservoir oversight during monsoons.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the flood-prone share of land (~12%), the agencies (CWC forecasts, IMD rainfall warnings), and state–river pairings (Assam–Brahmaputra, Bihar–Kosi). Kerala 2018 appears in questions on dam management and extreme rainfall. In Mains, floods are the classic "man-made amplification of natural hazard" answer — embankment failures, floodplain encroachment, dam operations and urban drainage are the expected pillars.

22. 22. Droughts: Causes & Management

Key fact	Detail
Types	Meteorological (rainfall deficit), hydrological (low water in reservoirs/rivers), agricultural (soil-moisture deficit), socio-economic (demand outstrips supply)
Declaration	States declare drought based on rainfall deviation, crop loss and water availability; Centre assesses through inter-ministerial teams
Vulnerable regions	Marathwada and Vidarbha (Maharashtra), Bundelkhand, western Rajasthan, Rayalaseema, parts of Karnataka and Telangana
Management tools	MGNREGA employment, drinking-water supply, fodder banks, crop contingency plans, PMFBY crop insurance

What it is: Drought is a slow-onset disaster — a prolonged shortfall of water relative to need, unfolding over months rather than striking in hours. It comes in four types: meteorological (rainfall below normal), hydrological (depleted reservoirs, rivers and groundwater), agricultural (soil moisture too low for crops), and socio-economic (demand outstrips supply). Unlike a cyclone, drought creeps: the monsoon fails, reservoirs sink, crops wither, farm incomes collapse, distress migration begins. Management has two faces — long-term drought-proofing (watershed development, efficient irrigation, drought-resistant crops) and emergency relief on declaration (employment programmes, water tankers, fodder, input subsidies).

Why it matters: Drought is India's most economically damaging recurrent disaster, striking agriculture, rural employment and food security simultaneously. Regions like Marathwada, Vidarbha and Bundelkhand have faced repeated drought years, cascading into farm incomes, rural credit and migration. Drought management is also a federal stress test: states declare drought, central teams verify, and SDRF/NDRF norms fund relief — a frequently contested process.

Key facts: The IMD's monsoon forecasts and rainfall monitoring provide the early signal; the Ministry of Agriculture coordinates assessment. Relief includes MGNREGA works (water conservation structures double as drought-proofing), emergency drinking water, cattle camps and fodder depots, and subsidies for re-sowing. Longer-term tools include watershed development, micro-irrigation expansion and less water-intensive crops and millets. Crop insurance under PM Fasal Bima Yojana is the financial risk-transfer instrument for farm losses.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the four drought types and the declaration process (state declares, Centre verifies). Region-based questions (Marathwada, Bundelkhand) test geography. In Mains, drought answers should integrate water management (groundwater depletion, water-intensive crops in scarce regions), employment safety nets (MGNREGA) and climate linkages (monsoon variability).

23. 23. Landslides: Himalayan Region & Western Ghats

Key fact	Detail
Causes	Steep slopes + heavy rain + weak geology, amplified by road cutting, deforestation, mining and unplanned construction
Himalayan belt	Young, fragile mountains; highly landslide-prone — Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Sikkim, Arunachal Pradesh, J&K
Western Ghats	Heavy monsoon rainfall on steep escarpments; Kerala's 2019–2024 landslides (including Wayanad 2024) showed the risk
Monitoring	Geological Survey of India maps landslide susceptibility; NDMA guidelines cover landslide risk management

What it is: Landslides are the downslope movement of rock, soil and debris, triggered by heavy rainfall, earthquakes or undercut slopes. India has two great landslide provinces: the Himalayas — young, fragile mountains where steep slopes, tectonic activity and monsoon cloudbursts combine lethally; and the Western Ghats, where intense monsoon rain saturates steep escarpments. The human amplifier is consistent — roads cut without slope stabilisation, deforestation, mining, construction on unstable slopes. The 2013 Uttarakhand disaster, recurring Himachal monsoon landslides, and the devastating Wayanad landslides in Kerala (July 2024) keep landslides in national focus.

Why it matters: Landslides are the disaster most directly caused by development choices — almost every major Himalayan landslide inquiry implicates a road, a hydropower project or a township. The Char Dham road debate crystallised this: connectivity versus slope stability and ecological fragility. Wayanad 2024 forced attention on Western Ghats vulnerability and the long-pending ecologically sensitive zone regulation.

Key facts: The Geological Survey of India prepares landslide susceptibility maps and operates early-warning pilots in vulnerable stretches. NDMA guidelines prescribe hazard zonation, slope stabilisation, drainage control and construction restrictions in high-hazard zones. Mitigation includes retaining walls, rock bolting, bio-engineering, proper road-cut engineering and land-use regulation keeping settlements off slide paths. The standard post-disaster failure is rebuilding in the same hazardous location — "build back better" demands relocation or engineered safety instead.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the two main landslide regions, triggering factors, and GSI's mapping role. Recent events (Wayanad 2024, Himachal monsoon landslides) appear in current-affairs questions. In Mains, landslides anchor answers on the Himalayan development dilemma — infrastructure versus fragility — and on enforcing land-use regulation in ecologically sensitive areas.

24. 24. Heatwaves & Cold Waves

Key fact	Detail
IMD criteria	Heatwave declared when maximum temperature crosses thresholds varying by region and departure from normal (e.g., 40°C+ in plains with marked departure)
Health impact	Heatstroke, dehydration and aggravation of illness; outdoor workers, the elderly and the poor are most vulnerable
Ahmedabad model	India's first Heat Action Plan (2013) — early warnings, cool shelters, water stations, hospital preparedness; widely replicated
Cold waves	IMD-notified cold-wave conditions in northern plains; eligible for SDRF/NDRF assistance like other notified calamities

What it is: Heatwaves are prolonged periods of extreme heat relative to regional norms; the IMD declares them using temperature thresholds and departures from normal varying across plains, hills and coasts. Cold waves are the winter

counterpart in the northern plains. Both are "silent" disasters — they kill without destroying buildings, which is why they were long neglected. Indian summers now routinely kill hundreds to thousands across Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Odisha, Bihar, UP and Rajasthan, striking hardest at outdoor labourers, the homeless and the elderly. Ahmedabad's pioneering Heat Action Plan of 2013 — forecasts, water stations, cool shelters, hospital protocols — became the national template.

Why it matters: Heatwaves are the climate disaster of the present: rising temperatures mean every Indian summer now carries a public-health emergency. They expose the equity core of disaster management — the rich retreat to air-conditioning while construction workers and street vendors bear the heat. NDMA's heatwave guidelines pushed states to prepare Heat Action Plans, and notifiable-calamity status unlocked disaster funding for preparedness.

Key facts: The IMD issues heatwave warnings in its colour-coded system, with district-level forecasts during summer. Effective Heat Action Plans include early-warning dissemination, cool roofs and shaded public spaces, assured drinking water, adjusted outdoor work hours, and health-system readiness for heatstroke. Long-term mitigation means urban greening, water bodies and building design that reduce the heat-island effect. Cold waves cause significant mortality among the homeless and are covered under the notified calamity list for relief assistance.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the Ahmedabad Heat Action Plan (2013, first in India/South Asia), IMD's warning role, and the vulnerable groups. Statement questions test whether heatwaves are notified calamities for assistance purposes. In Mains, heatwaves illustrate climate-change impacts already underway, the health–environment nexus, and city-level action plans as a preparedness model.

25. 25. Forest Fires

Key fact	Detail
Causes	Mostly human-origin — agricultural burning, discarded cigarettes, campfires — spread by dry conditions, wind and pine-needle litter
Vulnerable zones	Himalayan pine forests (Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh), central Indian dry deciduous forests, Western Ghats fringes
Detection	Forest Survey of India runs satellite-based forest-fire alert systems sending SMS alerts to field staff
Climate link	Longer dry spells and higher temperatures are lengthening fire seasons and intensifying blazes

What it is: Forest fires are uncontrolled blazes in forest areas, and in India they are overwhelmingly human-triggered — agricultural burning at forest edges, discarded smoking material, campfires, sometimes deliberate burning for forest produce or land clearing. Once ignited, dry leaf and pine-needle litter, high temperatures, low humidity and wind turn a spark into a landscape-scale fire. The Himalayan pine forests of Uttarakhand and Himachal Pradesh burn almost every pre-monsoon season; central India's dry deciduous forests are similarly fire-prone. Beyond timber and habitat loss, fires degrade watersheds, release stored carbon, destroy tribal forest produce, and leave slopes vulnerable to monsoon landslides.

Why it matters: Forest fires sit at the intersection of disaster management, environment and livelihoods — an ecological disaster, a climate feedback (burning forests release carbon dioxide), and a threat to forest-dependent communities. Recurring Uttarakhand fire seasons, periodically requiring Air Force helicopters, show how a "minor" annual hazard can overwhelm state capacity. Prevention — fire lines, controlled burning, community watch, rapid response — is far cheaper than suppression, yet funding remains thin.

Key facts: The Forest Survey of India operates a satellite-based fire monitoring and alert system pushing SMS alerts to forest officials and village committees. State departments maintain fire lines, watchtowers and seasonal crews. Community involvement through Joint Forest Management committees and Van Panchayats is the most effective prevention layer, since locals detect fires first. NDMA and environment ministry frameworks increasingly treat forest fires as a disaster-management subject needing inter-departmental coordination.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the main causes (human-origin, dry conditions), the FSI's satellite alert role, and the most fire-prone forest types (Himalayan pine, dry deciduous). In Mains, forest fires illustrate the climate–ecosystem–livelihood nexus and the case for community-based prevention over helicopter-led suppression.

26. 26. Industrial Disasters (Bhopal 1984, Vizag 2020)

Key fact	Detail
Bhopal gas tragedy	Night of 2–3 December 1984 — methyl isocyanate leak at the Union Carbide pesticide plant; thousands killed immediately, lakhs exposed
Vizag gas leak	7 May 2020 — styrene vapour leak at LG Polymers, Visakhapatnam; 12 deaths, hundreds hospitalised during the COVID lockdown
Legal framework	Environment (Protection) Act 1986, Factories Act, and NDMA chemical-disaster guidelines; Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules
Key lesson	Off-site emergency plans, community awareness and strict siting/maintenance enforcement — Bhopal's failures repeated at Vizag

What it is: Industrial disasters are technological catastrophes from hazardous materials or processes — gas leaks, chemical explosions. The defining Indian case is the Bhopal gas tragedy of 2–3 December 1984, when methyl isocyanate leaked from the Union Carbide pesticide plant: thousands died within days, lakhs suffered exposure — the world's worst industrial disaster. Its echoes returned on 7 May 2020 at Visakhapatnam, when styrene vapour leaked from the LG Polymers plant during the COVID lockdown restart, killing 12 and hospitalising hundreds. Investigations found familiar failures: poor maintenance during shutdown, weak safety systems, surrounding settlements unaware of the hazard.

Why it matters: Bhopal reshaped Indian law — the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 followed in its aftermath — and remains the moral reference for corporate liability, shaping the "polluter pays" and absolute-liability discourse. Vizag 2020 proved the lessons incompletely learned: hazardous units still operate amid dense habitations, off-site emergency plans exist largely on paper, and neighbouring communities get little hazard awareness.

Key facts: NDMA's chemical-disaster guidelines mandate on-site and off-site emergency plans, mutual-aid arrangements between neighbouring units, and mock drills with district authorities. The Manufacture, Storage and Import of Hazardous Chemicals Rules require safety audits and disclosure. Prevention rests on proper siting away from habitations, process-safety management, maintenance discipline (Vizag's leak followed a lockdown restart) and community awareness in vulnerable zones. The National Green Tribunal now plays a central role in adjudicating industrial-disaster compensation.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the Bhopal date and chemical (2–3 December 1984, methyl isocyanate), the Vizag chemical (styrene, 2020), and the post-Bhopal legislation (Environment (Protection) Act, 1986). In Mains, Bhopal anchors answers on industrial safety regulation, corporate liability and the unfinished chemical-preparedness agenda, with Vizag as the contemporary illustration.

27. 27. Nuclear & Radiological Emergencies

Key fact	Detail
Regulator	Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB) — nuclear safety regulation; NDMA issues disaster-management guidelines for nuclear emergencies
Emergency zones	Pre-planned zones around nuclear plants (exclusion, sterilised, emergency planning zones) with rehearsed evacuation plans

Global reference	Chernobyl (1986) and Fukushima (2011) shape emergency planning worldwide, including India's post-Fukushima safety reviews
Other sources	Radiological emergencies can also arise from lost/stolen radioactive sources, medical/industrial misuse, or transport accidents

What it is: Nuclear and radiological emergencies involve the actual or potential release of radioactive material — from a reactor accident, spent-fuel or waste handling, lost or stolen radioactive sources, or a deliberate radiological ("dirty bomb") attack. India's civilian nuclear programme, with reactors at Tarapur, Kalpakkam, Rawatbhata, Kakrapar, Kaiga and Kudankulam, operates under the Atomic Energy Regulatory Board's safety oversight, while NDMA guidelines lay down the disaster framework: pre-designated emergency planning zones, rehearsed evacuation and sheltering plans, iodine prophylaxis protocols, radiation monitoring networks, and coordination between operators, district authorities and NDRF's CBRN teams. Chernobyl (1986) and Fukushima (2011) define the planning scenarios.

Why it matters: Nuclear emergencies are low-probability, extreme-consequence events: the direct risk is small, but public dread is enormous, making credible preparedness a condition for the nuclear programme's legitimacy. Fukushima's lesson — that beyond-design-basis natural events can defeat engineered safeguards — forced safety reviews of Indian plants and reinforced worst-case planning. Radiological source security matters too: orphaned sources in scrap markets have caused exposures in India and abroad.

Key facts: Emergency planning follows a zoned approach — an exclusion zone under plant control, a sterilised zone with restricted development, and a larger emergency planning zone (typically ~16 km) with rehearsed evacuation plans involving district authorities. The Crisis Management Group in the Department of Atomic Energy coordinates the technical response, integrated with the civil system through NDMA guidelines. Post-Fukushima, Indian plants underwent safety reassessments of earthquake, tsunami and flooding margins. Public communication protocols are formal, since panic-driven self-evacuation can be as dangerous as the release.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the regulator (AERB), the disaster-management guideline body (NDMA), and the global reference accidents (Chernobyl 1986, Fukushima 2011). In Mains, nuclear emergency preparedness appears in answers on technological risk governance and on balancing energy security with safety, with a nod to Fukushima's lessons for Indian siting and planning.

28. 28. Biological Disasters & Pandemics (COVID-19)

Key fact	Detail
COVID-19 timeline	WHO declared a pandemic on 11 March 2020; India invoked the DM Act, 2005 for the national response, including the lockdown
DM Act use	Home Ministry orders under the Act enabled uniform nationwide restrictions — the Act's first full-scale biological-disaster application
Health system strain	Oxygen, hospital beds and vaccines became the critical logistics; CoWIN managed the world's largest vaccination drive
Economic shock	Migrant-worker distress, supply-chain disruption and the informal-sector collapse defined the non-health impact

What it is: Biological disasters are events caused by disease-causing organisms — epidemics and pandemics — that overwhelm health systems and cascade into economic and social crises. COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, was declared a pandemic by the WHO on 11 March 2020 and became India's first full-scale biological disaster under the DM Act, 2005: the Home Ministry issued binding nationwide orders under the Act, including the March 2020 lockdown — the legislation's most extensive real-world application. The crisis ran on two tracks: a health emergency (infections, oxygen shortages, hospital capacity, one of the world's largest vaccination drives via CoWIN) and a humanitarian-economic emergency (the migrant-worker exodus, informal-sector collapse, supply-chain disruption).

Why it matters: COVID-19 permanently expanded India's conception of disaster management: the NDMA's biological-disaster guidelines, long theoretical, became operational reality. It proved the DM Act's flexibility — a law written for earthquakes and floods governed a pandemic — while exposing gaps: health-system surge capacity, inter-state migrant coordination, and the limits of top-down orders without community engagement.

Key facts: India's response combined the NDMA/DM Act framework with the Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897 and state health machinery. Key instruments included the Aarogya Setu and CoWIN digital platforms, the PM-CARES and PM Garib Kalyan relief packages, and the world's largest COVID vaccination drive. The second wave (2021) exposed oxygen-logistics and hospital-capacity gaps central to subsequent preparedness planning. Globally, the pandemic accelerated negotiations on a WHO pandemic accord and reinforced the Sendai emphasis on biological hazards in all-hazard risk reduction.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the WHO pandemic-declaration date (11 March 2020), the Act invoked in India (DM Act, 2005), and CoWIN's purpose. In Mains, COVID-19 is the indispensable case study for biological-disaster management, federal coordination under the DM Act, health-system resilience and the migrant-worker crisis — expect it in any GS-III answer on disaster governance.

29. 29. Stampedes & Crowd Disasters

Key fact	Detail
Nature	Man-made disasters caused by crowd density exceeding safe limits, poor venue design, panic or sudden surges
Indian contexts	Religious gatherings (Kumbh, temple festivals), railway stations, political rallies, stadium events
NDMA guidelines	Guidelines on crowd management prescribe capacity limits, entry/exit design, communication and emergency planning
Key principle	Crowd disasters are predictable and preventable — they result from management failure, not "accidents"

What it is: Stampedes and crowd crushes occur when crowd density crosses critical thresholds — beyond roughly four to five persons per square metre, individuals lose voluntary movement and the crowd behaves like a fluid, where a single push or rumour triggers a lethal crush. In India the highest-risk settings are religious gatherings: the Kumbh Mela, temple festivals and pilgrimage routes. Railway stations during festival rushes, political rallies and stadium entries are the other classic sites. The NDMA's crowd-management guidelines treat these as fully preventable man-made disasters, prescribing capacity limits, separate entry/exit flows, barricading and rehearsed emergency plans.

Why it matters: Every stampede inquiry reaches the same conclusion — the disaster was foreseen, warned about, then allowed through failures of planning, coordination or political will to limit crowds. This makes crowd disasters a governance litmus test: unlike earthquakes, there is no natural unpredictability to hide behind. The challenge sharpens as religious tourism grows and mega-events multiply.

Key facts: Effective crowd management rests on non-negotiables: scientific venue capacity assessment, one-way circulation with separated ingress and egress, holding areas metering inflow, CCTV and drone-based density monitoring, a public address system reaching every section, and a unified command with authority to stop entry at limits. Recent Kumbh administrations have professionalised this — surveillance, sector-wise control rooms, AI-assisted crowd estimation. Post-event, the standard reform demand is fixed accountability for the designated crowd manager rather than diffuse blame.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks whether stampedes are natural or man-made (man-made) and the NDMA's crowd-management guidelines. Recent tragedies appear in current-affairs questions. In Mains, crowd disasters test administrative accountability and preventive planning — answers should stress capacity limits, unified command and communication rather than generic "awareness."

30. 30. Building Collapses & Urban Disasters

Key fact	Detail
Causes	Unauthorised construction, extra floors, weak materials, waterlogged foundations, ageing structures, ignored codes
Risk zones	Dense old-city cores, unauthorised colonies and rapidly built peri-urban areas of every major city
Regulatory tools	Building bye-laws, structural audits, occupancy certificates, action against unauthorised construction
Response	NDRF collapsed-structure search and rescue; often the force's most frequent urban deployment

What it is: Building collapses are among India's most frequent urban disasters — multi-storey structures falling during monsoons or under accumulated illegality. The causes are uniform: unauthorised extra floors on foundations designed for fewer, substandard materials, construction on waterlogged land, ageing buildings never audited, and a municipal system that issues notices but rarely demolishes. Every monsoon brings a toll from Mumbai's old chawls, Delhi's peri-urban buildings and similar structures nationwide. Earthquake collapses — as in Bhuj, where non-engineered masonry failed en masse — are the same phenomenon at larger scale.

Why it matters: Building collapses are the purest expression of the governance gap in urban India: the codes exist (National Building Code, municipal bye-laws), but enforcement collapses under political protection of unauthorised construction and under-staffed municipal engineering wings. They kill the poor disproportionately — victims are overwhelmingly residents of cheap, illegal or dilapidated housing.

Key facts: Prevention includes mandatory structural audits of old and high-risk buildings, occupancy certificates linked to actual compliance, GIS-based building inventories, and time-bound action against dangerous structures — Mumbai's categorisation of cessed buildings is a known (if imperfect) model. The NDRF's collapsed-structure search-and-rescue capability (search cameras, concrete cutters, trained dogs) is among its most-used skills. Retrofitting — strengthening existing buildings — is the mitigation counterpart to demolition, promoted by NDMA guidelines for lifeline structures like schools and hospitals.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the causes and the response agency (NDRF for collapsed-structure rescue). In Mains, building collapses illustrate urban governance failure and the enforcement gap in building regulation — strong answers link them to the earthquake lesson (codes without enforcement save nobody) and to the need for municipal capacity and political will.

31. 31. Glacial Lake Outburst Floods (Chamoli 2021, Sikkim 2023)

Key fact	Detail
GLOF	Sudden release of water from a glacial lake when its moraine or ice dam fails — a wall of water, debris and boulders
Chamoli, 7 February 2021	Avalanche/glacial burst in the Rishiganga valley, Uttarakhand; ~200 dead or missing, hydropower projects destroyed
Sikkim, October 2023	South Lhonak lake outburst sent floods down the Teesta; dozens killed, the Chungthang dam destroyed, bridges washed away
Monitoring	NDMA/CWC monitoring of high-risk glacial lakes; early-warning sensors and lake-lowering (siphoning) as mitigation

What it is: A glacial lake outburst flood occurs when a lake formed by a retreating glacier suddenly drains — its natural moraine or ice dam failing under water pressure, avalanche impact or earthquake — releasing a devastating surge of water, mud and boulders down the valley. Warming is expanding and destabilising Himalayan glacial lakes,

making GLOFs a growing risk across Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh, Sikkim and Arunachal Pradesh. Two disasters define the Indian experience: the 7 February 2021 Chamoli event, when a rock-and-ice avalanche triggered floods in the Rishiganga and Dhauliganga valleys, killing around 200 people and destroying power projects; and the October 2023 South Lhonak outburst in Sikkim, which sent a wall of water down the Teesta, killing dozens and destroying the Chungthang dam, bridges and highways.

Why it matters: GLOFs are the Himalayan face of climate change — a hazard barely in policy consciousness two decades ago, now a frontline risk. Both disasters raised hard questions: Chamoli about hydropower projects sited in fragile valleys despite expert warnings; Sikkim about monitoring of the at-risk lake and whether downstream infrastructure accounted for the outburst scenario.

Key facts: India has prioritised monitoring of high-risk glacial lakes, with the CWC, NDMA and state agencies deploying water-level sensors and satellite surveillance on the most dangerous ones. Mitigation includes controlled lake-lowering (siphoning or engineered drainage), early-warning systems giving downstream valleys precious minutes to hours, and — most fundamentally — restricting critical infrastructure in GLOF runout zones. Sikkim intensified scrutiny of Himalayan dam design, feeding dam-safety enforcement. As glaciers retreat further, new lakes will form and the GLOF risk window will widen for decades.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks what a GLOF is, the Chamoli (February 2021) and Sikkim (October 2023, South Lhonak, Teesta) events, and the monitoring agencies. In Mains, GLOFs are the model answer for climate-change impacts in the Himalayas, the infrastructure-in-fragile-zones debate, and the case for science-based land-use regulation.

32. 32. Lightning & Thunderstorms

Key fact	Detail
Toll	Lightning kills thousands of Indians every year — among the largest single-cause natural-disaster death tolls in the country
Vulnerable	Farmers and labourers working in open fields during pre-monsoon and monsoon thunderstorms
Damini app	IITM-developed mobile app giving lightning alerts based on strike monitoring — "lightning is not lightning without warning" approach
IMD role	Nowcasts and thunderstorm warnings; lightning included in the notified calamity list in several states

What it is: Lightning — the massive electrical discharge of thunderstorms — is one of India's deadliest natural hazards, killing thousands every year, a toll rivalling many headline disasters. The victims are overwhelmingly rural: farmers, herders and labourers caught in open fields during pre-monsoon and monsoon thunderstorms in Bihar, UP, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Jharkhand and West Bengal. The protection science is simple — lightning seeks the tallest conductor, so open fields, isolated trees and metal implements are death traps while substantial buildings and enclosed vehicles are safe — but the knowledge rarely reaches those at risk in time. The Damini app, developed by the Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, pushes strike alerts to phones, and the IMD's thunderstorm nowcasts give short-fuse warnings.

Why it matters: Lightning is the neglected disaster — enormous toll, simple prevention, minimal policy attention until recently. Its inclusion in several states' notified-calamity lists marked recognition that the death count demands formal disaster-management treatment. The Damini experiment matters beyond lightning: it is the template for hyperlocal, phone-based early warning for short-fuse hazards.

Key facts: The core safety message — when thunder roars, go indoors; avoid open fields, tall isolated trees, water bodies and metal objects; wait 30 minutes after the last thunder — is the cheapest life-saving advice in the subject. States run pre-season awareness campaigns, and schools in vulnerable districts teach lightning safety. Lightning arresters on buildings and community shelters in field-heavy areas are the structural mitigation. Research links changing thunderstorm patterns to climate variability, though attribution science remains active.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the vulnerable groups, the Damini app's purpose and developer (IITM), and the basic safety science (why open fields are dangerous). In Mains, lightning illustrates neglected high-toll hazards and the potential of mobile-based last-mile warning — a strong example in early-warning answers.

33. 33. Dam Safety (Dam Safety Act, 2021)

Key fact	Detail
India's dam stock	Among the world's largest — thousands of large dams, many ageing; dam failure would be catastrophic for downstream populations
Act passed	December 2021 — provides statutory backing for dam safety: surveillance, inspection, operation and emergency planning
Institutions	National Dam Safety Authority, State Dam Safety Organisations, National and State Dam Safety Committees
Key instruments	Emergency Action Plans for each dam, periodic safety inspections, operation and maintenance manuals

What it is: India is among the world's biggest dam-owning nations, with thousands of large dams — many ageing — holding back reservoirs above densely populated valleys. A dam failure, or even a badly managed emergency release, can trigger a catastrophic man-made flood; the Kerala 2018 controversy over near-simultaneous dam releases and the Sikkim 2023 destruction of the Chungthang dam underlined that dam risk is disaster risk. The Dam Safety Act, 2021 gave statutory force to what was guideline-based: it mandates surveillance, inspection, operation and maintenance standards, creates a National Dam Safety Authority and State Dam Safety Organisations, and requires Emergency Action Plans with downstream inundation maps and evacuation procedures for each dam.

Why it matters: The Act closed a glaring gap — dam safety had rested on central guidelines that states implemented unevenly, with no enforcement teeth. Making inspections, emergency plans and institutional responsibility statutory brought dam risk into the formal disaster-management architecture. The federal design is delicate: dams are state subjects in practice, and the Act's central authority initially raised states'-rights concerns.

Key facts: Emergency Action Plans — the Act's most operational requirement — must map which areas flood if the dam fails or releases heavily, integrated with district disaster management plans so downstream evacuation is rehearsed, not improvised. The Act also addresses the operation-and-maintenance funding gap behind decaying dam infrastructure. Post-2021, dam-break analyses and inundation mapping have accelerated, and dam operations during monsoon extremes face tighter scrutiny after Kerala. Ageing-dam rehabilitation programmes target the highest-risk structures first.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the Act's year (2021), the institutions it creates (National Dam Safety Authority, State Dam Safety Organisations), and the Emergency Action Plan requirement. In Mains, dam safety appears in answers on infrastructure resilience, the Kerala 2018 lessons, and federalism in disaster governance.

Part III: Risk Reduction, Climate Resilience & Global Frameworks

34. 34. Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) Concepts

Key fact	Detail
Definition	The systematic effort to analyse and reduce the causal factors of disasters — lessening exposure, vulnerability and improving preparedness
Vs. disaster management	DM covers the full cycle including response; DRR is the pre-disaster, preventive core — reducing risk before events occur
Global home	UNDRR (UN Office for Disaster Risk Reduction); the Sendai Framework is the current global DRR agreement

Key distinction	DRR targets the underlying drivers of risk — poverty, poor urbanisation, environmental degradation, climate change
-----------------	--

What it is: Disaster Risk Reduction is the systematic effort to analyse and reduce the causal factors of disasters — lessening exposure to hazards, reducing the vulnerability of people and assets, improving preparedness, and addressing underlying drivers such as poverty, unplanned urbanisation, environmental degradation and climate change. Where "disaster management" covers the full cycle including response, DRR is its forward-looking, preventive core: the work done before the event to ensure the event does not become a disaster. The UNDRR (UN Office for Disaster Risk Reduction) is the global custodian of the concept, and the Sendai Framework (2015–2030) is its current operational expression.

Why it matters: DRR is the intellectual foundation of the modern paradigm shift — from managing disasters to managing risk. It reframes the policy question from "how do we respond better?" to "why are losses rising, and which development choices create risk?" That reframing is what makes DRR powerful for UPSC: it lets answers argue that a housing scheme on a floodplain or a city that paves its wetlands is not development plus a disaster problem, but development creating disaster risk.

Key facts: The classic formulation is that risk = hazard × vulnerability × exposure, and DRR works on all three multipliers while building capacity. Priority investments include risk assessment and mapping, early warning systems, resilient infrastructure, ecosystem protection, building-code enforcement, financial protection (insurance) and community preparedness. The Sendai monitoring system tracks national progress against its seven targets. India's ten-point DRR agenda, announced by the Prime Minister in 2016, is the country's signature DRR commitment.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the DRR definition, its distinction from disaster response, and the UNDRR/Sendai linkage. In Mains, DRR is the conceptual spine of almost every disaster-management answer — examiners expect the term used precisely, the underlying-drivers argument made explicitly, and Indian policy (2009 policy, NDMP, mitigation funds) presented as DRR in action.

35. 35. Climate Change & Disaster Linkages

Key fact	Detail
Mechanism	Warming intensifies the water cycle and heat — more intense rainfall, stronger cyclones, longer heatwaves, sea-level rise, glacier retreat
Indian signals	More intense monsoon downpours, Arabian Sea cyclones, record heatwaves, Himalayan GLOFs, coastal erosion
Attribution	Climate change loads the dice — it raises the probability and intensity of extremes rather than "causing" any single event
Policy link	Adaptation (adjusting to unavoidable change) overlaps heavily with DRR; both build resilience to climate-related hazards

What it is: Climate change intensifies disaster risk through well-understood physics: warmer air holds more moisture (heavier downpours and floods), warmer oceans fuel stronger cyclones, higher baseline temperatures make heatwaves hotter and longer, sea-level rise magnifies storm surges and coastal erosion, and glacier retreat destabilises Himalayan lakes into GLOF threats. India is already experiencing these linkages — extreme rainfall, Arabian Sea cyclones, record heatwaves, the Chamoli and Sikkim GLOFs, accelerating coastal erosion. The key framing: climate change does not "cause" any single cyclone or flood, but loads the dice, raising the likelihood and severity of extremes — a distinction UPSC expects stated correctly.

Why it matters: This linkage dissolves the boundary between the environment and disaster-management syllabi — in GS-III they are increasingly one question. Disaster planning can no longer use historical hazard data as its guide: infrastructure designed for the last century's rainfall will face this century's extremes. The policy consequence is the convergence of climate adaptation and DRR — a flood-resilient city is simultaneously adaptation and risk reduction.

Key facts: IPCC assessments confirm with high confidence that human-caused warming has increased hot extremes, heavy precipitation and the proportion of intense tropical cyclones. India's assessments project more intense monsoon rainfall, greater heat stress and continued sea-level rise. Adaptation measures doubling as DRR include heat action plans, flood-resilient drainage, cyclone shelters, drought-resistant agriculture and mangrove restoration. Loss and damage — the emerging international framework for compensating unavoidable climate impacts — is the financial frontier of this linkage.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the mechanisms (warmer air—heavier rain, warmer oceans—stronger cyclones) and Indian examples. In Mains, the climate–disaster linkage is near-mandatory in answers on floods, cyclones, heatwaves and GLOFs, and standalone questions ask how climate change is reshaping India's disaster risk profile and what adaptation-cum-DRR response is needed.

36. IPCC Reports & Their Relevance

Key fact	Detail
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change — established 1988 by the WMO and UNEP; assesses climate science for policymakers
Assessment Reports	Comprehensive reviews published roughly every 6–7 years; the Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) cycle ran 2021–2023
Structure	Three working groups — physical science (WGI), impacts/adaptation/vulnerability (WGII), mitigation (WGIII) — plus a synthesis report
Relevance to DM	WGII's assessment of climate impacts, vulnerability and adaptation is the scientific bedrock of disaster-risk planning

What it is: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, established in 1988 by the World Meteorological Organization and the UN Environment Programme, is the world's authoritative assessor of climate science — synthesising thousands of peer-reviewed studies into Assessment Reports for policymakers. Published roughly every six to seven years, each cycle has three working-group volumes: WGI on the physical science of warming, WGII on impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, and WGIII on mitigation, capped by a Synthesis Report. The Sixth Assessment Report cycle (2021–2023) delivered the starkest findings yet: warming is unequivocally human-caused, extremes are intensifying, and the 1.5°C window is narrowing. For disaster management, WGII is the critical volume — mapping how climate hazards translate into human risk.

Why it matters: IPCC reports are the evidentiary foundation letting UPSC answers make climate claims credibly rather than rhetorically — the authority behind statements on intensifying extremes is the IPCC's assessed confidence levels. WGII's framing — risk as the interaction of hazard, exposure and vulnerability — is identical to disaster management's own vocabulary, which is why the IPCC and Sendai communities now speak a common language.

Key facts: AR6 confirmed with high confidence that human influence has warmed the climate at an unprecedented rate, that hot extremes and heavy precipitation have increased, and that compound extremes (heat plus drought, flood plus storm surge) are growing. The reports stress that vulnerability is socially constructed — the same hazard produces vastly different outcomes depending on poverty, governance and infrastructure — reinforcing the DRR agenda. IPCC work underpins the Paris Agreement's global stocktakes and informs national adaptation planning; the seventh assessment cycle is now underway.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the IPCC's founders (WMO and UNEP, 1988), the working-group structure (WGI/WGII/WGIII), and headline AR6 findings. In Mains, IPCC findings are the expected scientific backing for answers on climate-linked disasters, adaptation and resilience investment — citing "IPCC-assessed" confidence marks a serious answer.

37. 37. Paris Agreement & Disaster Resilience

Key fact	Detail
Adopted	December 2015 at COP21, Paris; entered into force November 2016; near-universal participation
Temperature goal	Hold warming well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels, pursuing efforts to limit it to 1.5°C
Adaptation pillar	Global goal on adaptation — enhancing adaptive capacity, strengthening resilience, reducing vulnerability to climate change
Mechanism	Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), reviewed and ratcheted up in five-year global stocktakes

What it is: The Paris Agreement, adopted at COP21 in December 2015 and in force since November 2016, is the universal climate treaty binding nearly all nations to hold warming well below 2°C while pursuing 1.5°C. Beyond its mitigation machinery — Nationally Determined Contributions pledged by each country, reviewed in five-year global stocktakes — it established a global goal on adaptation: enhancing adaptive capacity, strengthening resilience and reducing vulnerability. That adaptation pillar is where the Agreement meets disaster management directly. Its finance, technology-transfer and capacity-building provisions are meant to help developing countries build the resilience DRR demands, and its recognition of "loss and damage" addresses impacts neither mitigation nor adaptation can prevent.

Why it matters: Paris is the climate half of the resilience equation; Sendai is the disaster half, and UPSC increasingly expects candidates to discuss them together. India's NDCs — covering emissions intensity, non-fossil electricity capacity and carbon sinks — shape the development pathway within which disaster risk will rise or fall. The adaptation goal legitimises international support for resilience-building.

Key facts: The five-year ambition cycle means NDCs are expected to strengthen over time. Adaptation finance — long the poor cousin of mitigation finance — is the critical resource for DRR in developing countries, funding everything from cyclone shelters to drought-resilient crops. India's resilience-relevant climate actions include the National Action Plan on Climate Change missions, state climate action plans, and leadership in CDRI and the International Solar Alliance. The tension between developed-country finance promises and delivery remains the central equity issue.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the adoption year and venue (2015, Paris, COP21), the temperature goals (well below 2°C, pursue 1.5°C), and the NDC mechanism. In Mains, Paris appears in answers on climate governance, adaptation finance and the climate-disaster nexus — strong answers link its adaptation goal explicitly to Sendai's resilience agenda.

38. 38. SDGs & DRR (Goals 11 & 13)

Key fact	Detail
SDGs	17 Sustainable Development Goals adopted 2015, to be achieved by 2030 — the global development agenda
Goal 11	Sustainable cities and communities — includes targets on reducing disaster deaths and economic losses
Goal 13	Climate action — strengthening resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and disasters
Coherence	Sendai targets, SDG indicators and Paris adaptation goals are deliberately aligned for joint monitoring

What it is: The 2030 Agenda's 17 Sustainable Development Goals, adopted in 2015 alongside the Sendai Framework and the Paris Agreement, embed disaster risk reduction directly into the global development blueprint. Two goals carry it explicitly: Goal 11 (sustainable cities and communities), whose targets call for significantly reducing disaster deaths, affected people and direct economic losses relative to GDP; and Goal 13 (climate action), demanding strengthened resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards in all countries. The SDG disaster indicators

deliberately mirror Sendai's global targets — so countries monitor development, climate and disaster progress as one coherent system.

Why it matters: The SDG linkage makes the development case for DRR irrefutable: disasters are not just humanitarian tragedies but development reversals, wiping out years of poverty-reduction and infrastructure gains in hours. For India, the alignment means resilience is measured in the same national reviews as urban development — a city cannot claim SDG 11 progress while flooding every monsoon.

Key facts: The shared indicators mean India's disaster-loss databases feed both Sendai monitoring and SDG reporting. Goal 11's urban focus makes municipal governments frontline DRR actors — building codes, drainage, land-use planning and slum resilience are SDG 11 implementation. Goal 13 connects to India's climate missions and state climate action plans. Other SDGs reinforce DRR indirectly: Goal 1 and Goal 2 targets on building the resilience of the poor, and Goal 9 on quality, resilient infrastructure. NITI Aayog's SDG India Index tracks state progress, creating competitive federalism around these goals.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks which SDGs cover disasters (11 and 13) and the shared-indicator relationship with Sendai targets. In Mains, the SDGs provide the development framing for DRR answers — disasters as development reversals, cities as the implementation frontier, and the coherence of the 2015 agreements (Sendai, SDGs, Paris) as the analytical climax.

39. 39. Coalition for Disaster Resilient Infrastructure (CDRI)

Key fact	Detail
Launched	September 2019, by India at the UN Climate Action Summit in New York — Prime Minister Modi's initiative
Nature	International partnership of countries and organisations promoting disaster- and climate-resilient infrastructure
Headquarters	New Delhi — a rare case of a global institution headquartered in India
Focus	Resilient power, transport, telecom, health and housing infrastructure; knowledge sharing and technical assistance

What it is: The Coalition for Disaster Resilient Infrastructure is an international partnership launched by India at the UN Climate Action Summit in September 2019 to make infrastructure systems — power grids, transport networks, telecommunications, health facilities, housing — resilient to disasters and climate change. Conceived as a knowledge and capacity platform rather than a funding agency, CDRI brings together member countries and organisations to share standards, conduct risk assessments of critical infrastructure, provide technical assistance and build professional capacity for resilient design. Its New Delhi headquarters makes it one of the few global institutions anchored in India.

Why it matters: CDRI is India's flagship contribution to global DRR governance — disaster diplomacy in institutional form. It addresses the gap Sendai identified: critical infrastructure keeps failing in disasters, multiplying the human cost. By leading CDRI, India converted its domestic credibility (the Odisha model, the NDRF's international deployments) into agenda-setting power, positioning itself as a provider of resilience knowledge to the Global South.

Key facts: CDRI's workstreams include developing resilience standards for infrastructure sectors, supporting member countries with infrastructure risk assessments, and building a global knowledge base on resilient design and recovery. It complements India's other resilience diplomacy — the International Solar Alliance and the ten-point DRR agenda — forming a coherent portfolio of India-led global institutions. Each infrastructure-destroying disaster renews the case for building resilience in before disaster strikes rather than reconstructing afterwards.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the launch year and forum (2019, UN Climate Action Summit), the launching country (India) and the headquarters (New Delhi). In Mains, CDRI is the lead example for "India's global role in disaster management" and for answers on resilient infrastructure, climate diplomacy and South–South cooperation.

40. 40. India's Global Role in DRR

Key fact	Detail
Ten-point agenda	Announced by the Prime Minister at the Asian Ministerial Conference on DRR (2016) — India's DRR charter
CDRI	India-led global coalition for resilient infrastructure, headquartered in New Delhi (launched 2019)
HADR diplomacy	NDRF and Armed Forces deployments — Nepal 2015, Türkiye 2023 — as disaster diplomacy
Knowledge export	Odisha cyclone model, tsunami warning centre, training programmes for foreign officials via NIDM

What it is: India has moved from being primarily a recipient of disaster aid to an active shaper of global disaster risk reduction. The pillars are: the ten-point agenda on DRR announced by the Prime Minister at the 2016 Asian Ministerial Conference — covering risk mapping, technology, social media, universities, women's leadership and international coalitions; the creation and leadership of CDRI; HADR deployments (Nepal 2015, Türkiye 2023 under Operation Dost) that double as diplomacy; the Indian Tsunami Early Warning Centre's services to Indian Ocean rim countries; and training for foreign disaster-management professionals through NIDM.

Why it matters: Disaster diplomacy serves both altruism and interest: rapid, visible relief to neighbours builds the goodwill underpinning India's regional leadership, while institutions like CDRI give India agenda-setting power in global governance. The ten-point agenda matters domestically too, as a political commitment device holding the Indian system to its own DRR promises.

Key facts: The ten-point agenda includes making risk assessment central to development, leveraging technology, using social media and mobile technologies, investing in universities and research, empowering women and communities, and building international coalitions. India's neighbourhood-first HADR policy has delivered relief across South Asia and the Indian Ocean region. The country participates actively in the Sendai monitoring process, Asian Ministerial Conferences on DRR and UNDRR forums — and hosted the 2016 Asian Ministerial Conference where the ten-point agenda was unveiled.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks about the ten-point agenda (2016, Asian Ministerial Conference), CDRI, and Operation Dost (Türkiye 2023). In Mains, India's global DRR role is the standard conclusion for disaster-management answers and a ready-made example for questions on soft power, neighbourhood policy and Global South leadership.

41. 41. Urban Disaster Management

Key fact	Detail
Urban risk drivers	Dense populations, informal settlements, paved surfaces, ageing infrastructure, interdependent lifeline systems
Signature urban disasters	Urban flooding (Mumbai, Chennai, Bengaluru), building collapses, fires in high-rises and markets, heatwaves
Governance gap	Municipal bodies lack disaster-management cells, risk maps and trained staff; DDMA's rarely reach ward level
Key instruments	City disaster management plans, ward-level risk maps, stormwater master plans, building-code enforcement

What it is: Urban disaster management addresses the distinctive risk profile of cities: extreme density, vast informal settlements on the most hazardous land (floodplains, hill slopes, reclaimed marshes), paved surfaces converting every downpour into runoff, ageing overloaded infrastructure, and tightly coupled lifeline systems where one failure cascades — a flooded substation blacks out hospitals. India's signature urban disasters are now familiar: the monsoon flooding of Mumbai, Chennai, Hyderabad and Bengaluru; building collapses in old-city cores and unauthorised

colonies; market and high-rise fires; intensifying urban heat islands. Managing them needs city-scale instruments — city disaster management plans, ward-level risk maps, stormwater master plans and building-code enforcement.

Why it matters: India is urbanising rapidly, and its cities are manufacturing risk faster than managing it — every encroached drain, paved lakebed and unregulated high-rise is a future disaster entry. Urban disasters concentrate economic damage: a flooded Mumbai or Chennai disrupts national supply chains, not just local life. The governance gap is stark — disaster management remains district- and state-centric while under-resourced municipalities lack DM cells, risk assessments and ward-level plans.

Key facts: Effective urban DRR starts with knowing the risk: GIS-based mapping of flood-prone areas, dilapidated buildings, fire hazards and heat hotspots at ward resolution. Structural measures include restoring urban wetlands and lakes as flood buffers, desilting stormwater drains, and retrofitting lifeline infrastructure. Non-structural measures include enforcing building bye-laws, regulating development on hazard-prone land, and running city-level mock drills. The Smart Cities Mission and AMRUT are increasingly expected to embed resilience — drainage, green space, disaster-ready infrastructure — rather than treating it as an add-on.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the drivers of urban flooding and the governance instruments (city DM plans, ward maps). In Mains, urban disasters anchor answers on unplanned urbanisation, municipal capacity and climate-resilient cities — strong answers name specific cities and their signature failures (Chennai's paved wetlands, Mumbai's ageing drainage, Bengaluru's lake encroachments).

42. School & Hospital Safety

Key fact	Detail
Why they matter	Schools hold children — the most vulnerable; hospitals must function during disasters — the most critical
NDMA guidelines	National School Safety Policy Guidelines (2016) — structural safety, non-structural measures, drills, DM in curricula
Hospital safety	Guidelines on hospital safety require structural/non-structural resilience and functional continuity plans
Global campaign	Worldwide "One Million Safe Schools and Hospitals" initiative under the Hyogo/Sendai agenda

What it is: School and hospital safety is the focused agenda of protecting the two building types where failure is least acceptable: schools, because they concentrate the most vulnerable — children — and hospitals, because they must keep functioning precisely when disaster strikes. The NDMA's National School Safety Policy Guidelines (2016) set the national standard: structural safety (seismic-resistant design, retrofitting existing schools), non-structural safety (securing furniture, labs and equipment that become projectiles in earthquakes), preparedness (evacuation plans, regular mock drills, trained teachers), and integrating disaster management into curricula. Hospital safety guidelines apply the same logic more stringently — a hospital must not only stand but stay operational.

Why it matters: Collapsed schools are among the most searing images of every major earthquake — Bhuj (2001) destroyed thousands of school buildings — and a hospital that fails during a disaster converts a crisis into a catastrophe, as COVID-19's oxygen and bed shortages demonstrated. Protecting these buildings is the most politically compelling mitigation investment: no constituency opposes safe schools.

Key facts: Implementation rests with states and local bodies: education departments conduct safety audits, states retrofit priority school buildings, and districts run school mock drills. Hospitals must prepare Hospital Disaster Management Plans covering internal emergencies (fire, building failure) and external mass-casualty events. The global "One Million Safe Schools and Hospitals" campaign, launched in the Hyogo era, gave the agenda international visibility. Fire safety in hospitals — a recurring Indian tragedy — is part of the same framework, with NABH accreditation and fire-NOC enforcement as regulatory levers.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the 2016 National School Safety Policy Guidelines (NDMA) and the rationale (children's vulnerability, hospitals' continuity role). In Mains, school/hospital safety illustrates mitigation with a human

face and the Sendai critical-infrastructure target — effective in answers on earthquake preparedness and health-system resilience.

43. 43. Mock Drills & Preparedness Culture

Key fact	Detail
Purpose	Test plans, train responders, expose coordination gaps and familiarise the public — before a real disaster does
NDMA role	Conducts and coordinates multi-state and national mock exercises on earthquakes, chemical disasters, floods and tsunamis
Levels	National, state, district, and institutional (schools, hospitals, industries) — down to village and ward drills
Culture goal	Preparedness as habit: plans rehearsed, roles known, public aware — not files on shelves

What it is: Mock drills are simulated disaster exercises testing whether plans actually work: can the warning reach the village, do responders know their roles, do hospitals handle surge casualties, does inter-agency coordination survive contact with chaos? The NDMA conducts and coordinates mock exercises at multiple scales — multi-state earthquake simulations, chemical-disaster drills around industrial clusters, tsunami exercises with coastal states, flood drills in river basins — while states, districts, schools, hospitals and industries run their own. A good drill ends with an honest debrief — what failed, what was late, what was missing — and a revised plan.

Why it matters: Every major disaster inquiry finds the same gap between plan and performance — agencies that never rehearsed together cannot coordinate under pressure. Drills are the cheapest way to discover this in peacetime: they reveal the outdated control-room phone list, the evacuation route blocked by construction, the two agencies that both assumed the other would bring the boats. Japan's earthquake preparedness — schoolchildren drilling monthly — shows what a mature culture achieves.

Key facts: NDMA's mock exercises follow a standard methodology: scenario design, multi-agency participation (NDRF, SDRF, Armed Forces, police, health, railways, telecom), observers, and structured evaluation. Schools conduct earthquake and fire drills; hospitals test mass-casualty reception; industries test on-site and off-site chemical emergency plans with district authorities. Community-level drills — village evacuation rehearsals before cyclone season in Odisha and Andhra Pradesh — convert national preparedness into saved lives. Sendai's preparedness priority explicitly calls for regular exercises and testing of plans.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the NDMA's mock-exercise role and the purpose of drills (testing plans, not merely training individuals). In Mains, drills illustrate the preparedness phase and the plan-versus-performance gap — strong answers contrast paper plans with rehearsed readiness, citing the NDMA exercise programme.

44. 44. Media & Communication in Disasters

Key fact	Detail
Functions	Early warning dissemination, public information during response, countering rumours, coordinating relief, post-disaster accountability
Channels	TV, radio (including community radio), print, social media, mobile alerts, public address systems
Risks	Misinformation and panic-inducing rumours spread fastest during disasters — official communication must outpace them
NDMA approach	Guidelines on media management; social-media cells in control rooms; the ten-point agenda stresses communication tech

What it is: Communication is the nervous system of disaster management: warnings must reach the threatened, instructions must reach the affected, and accurate information must outpace rumour at every stage. The ecosystem spans traditional channels — television, radio (including community radio, often the only working medium when power and networks fail), print — and digital ones: social media, messaging apps, mobile alert systems, public address networks. Each phase needs different communication: pre-disaster warnings; during response, where to go and where relief is available; post-disaster, entitlements, missing-persons information and recovery updates. The dark side is misinformation — false alarms, fake casualty figures, communal rumours — spreading fastest when official information is slow.

Why it matters: Indian disaster history includes communication failures as consequential as any physical one: warnings issued but not received, conflicting messages from multiple authorities, rumours triggering panic evacuations. Conversely, effective communication saves lives at scale — Odisha's cyclone evacuations depend as much on loudspeakers, volunteers and local media as on satellites. The ten-point DRR agenda explicitly includes leveraging social media and mobile technology.

Key facts: NDMA guidelines prescribe designated spokespersons, regular official briefings, pre-approved message templates for common hazards, and social-media monitoring cells in emergency operation centres to counter misinformation. Common Alerting Protocol-based systems push authoritative alerts directly to phones, bypassing media gatekeepers for the most urgent warnings. Community radio and local-language messaging are critical for last-mile reach. Post-disaster, communication shifts to entitlements and grievance redressal — where silence breeds the mistrust that haunts recovery.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the communication functions across disaster phases and the misinformation risk. In Mains, media and communication appear as the enabler in preparedness and response answers — strong answers specify last-mile channels (community radio, local language, mobile alerts) and the need for a single authoritative voice to counter rumours.

45. 45. Post-Disaster Needs Assessment & Recovery

Key fact	Detail
PDNA	Post-Disaster Needs Assessment — systematic estimation of damage, losses and recovery needs across sectors
Recovery phases	Early recovery (restoring basic services), rehabilitation (livelihoods, housing), reconstruction (infrastructure)
Key principle	Recovery is not restoration — it must reduce future risk ("build back better"), not rebuild vulnerability
Challenges	Slow fund flows, elite capture, neglected livelihoods, displaced populations, psychological trauma

What it is: Recovery is the longest and most neglected phase of the disaster cycle — the months and years after the cameras leave, when the real work of rebuilding lives happens. It begins with Post-Disaster Needs Assessment: systematic, multi-sector estimation of physical damage, economic losses and human recovery needs (housing, livelihoods, health, education, infrastructure), forming the basis for recovery planning and financing. Recovery proceeds through overlapping stages: early recovery (restoring water, power, health services, temporary shelter), rehabilitation (livelihoods, permanent housing, psychosocial support) and reconstruction (infrastructure rebuilt to higher resilience standards).

Why it matters: India's recovery record is mixed: Bhuj's owner-driven housing reconstruction became a global model, but countless flood and cyclone recoveries have stalled in bureaucratic delay, with compensation reaching the well-connected first and livelihood restoration lagging years behind housing. The political economy of recovery — who gets counted in damage surveys, whose losses are "eligible," how funds flow — determines whether recovery reduces or reproduces inequality.

Key facts: PDNA methodology, standardised internationally with World Bank–UN–EU support, covers social sectors (housing, health, education), productive sectors (agriculture, industry, commerce) and infrastructure, plus cross-cutting themes (gender, environment, governance). India's recovery financing blends SDRF/NDRF norms-based assistance with state plan funds, central schemes and, for major events, special packages. Owner-driven reconstruction — affected families receiving cash and technical support to rebuild to safe standards, as in Gujarat post-Bhuj — is best practice over contractor-driven rebuilding. Psychosocial care remains the most under-delivered component of Indian recovery.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks what PDNA assesses and the recovery stages. In Mains, recovery is the standard "neglected phase" critique — answers should discuss damage-assessment politics, livelihood rehabilitation, fund-flow delays and the build-back-better principle, with Bhuj as the positive case study.

46. 46. Build Back Better Principle

Key fact	Detail
Origin	Popularised after the 2004 tsunami; enshrined as Priority 4 of the Sendai Framework (2015)
Meaning	Use post-disaster recovery and reconstruction to reduce future risk — build safer, not just rebuild
Dimensions	Stronger structures, safer locations, better governance, restored ecosystems, more equitable recovery
Indian example	Post-Bhuj (2001) owner-driven housing with seismic safeguards — the global model case

What it is: "Build Back Better" is the principle that post-disaster reconstruction must be treated as a one-time opportunity to reduce future risk, not merely restore what existed. Coined after the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami and enshrined in Priority 4 of the Sendai Framework, it has several dimensions: physical (stronger buildings and infrastructure — seismic codes, elevated flood-resistant housing), locational (relocating settlements out of the most hazardous zones where feasible), governance (updated land-use plans, enforced codes, better early warning), ecological (restoring mangroves, wetlands and slopes), and social (recovery that reduces inequality rather than reproducing it).

Why it matters: Recovery is the only moment when political attention, funding and public willingness align for transformative change — new codes enforced, hazardous settlements relocated, coastlines rezoned — because the disaster has demonstrated the cost of the status quo. Gujarat's post-Bhuj reconstruction is the Indian and global exemplar: owner-driven rebuilding producing safer settlements and higher satisfaction than contractor-driven approaches.

Key facts: Operationalising the principle needs pre-disaster preparation: updated building codes ready to enforce, land-use plans identifying safer relocation sites, and financing that funds resilience premiums (the extra cost of building stronger). Post-disaster needs assessments should include a "resilience gap analysis" — what made this damage possible, and what reconstruction must change. India's post-disaster housing programmes (Odisha after cyclones, Kerala after the 2018 floods) have progressively incorporated resilient-design requirements, though enforcement consistency remains the challenge.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the origin (post-2004 tsunami), the Sendai location (Priority 4) and the Indian exemplar (Bhuj owner-driven reconstruction). In Mains, the phrase is expected verbatim in recovery answers, and the principle structures arguments about why reconstruction funding must exceed mere restoration costs.

47. 47. Disaster Insurance & Risk Transfer

Key fact	Detail
Concept	Transferring disaster financial risk from victims/governments to insurers and capital markets — protection, not prevention

Indian instruments	PM Fasal Bima Yojana (crop insurance), property and livestock insurance, state-level disaster insurance pilots
Protection gap	India's disaster insurance penetration is low — most losses are uninsured, falling on victims and the exchequer
Innovations	Parametric insurance (payouts triggered by measured events like rainfall or wind speed, not assessed losses)

What it is: Risk transfer means shifting the financial consequences of disasters from those who suffer them to those who can pool and bear them — insurers, reinsurers and capital markets. Instruments range from familiar (crop, property and livestock insurance) to innovative: parametric insurance, paying out automatically when a measured parameter (rainfall below a threshold, wind speed above one) is breached, eliminating slow loss assessment; catastrophe bonds transferring peak risks to investors; and regional risk pools where countries jointly insure shared hazards. In India the flagship is the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana for crop losses — but the protection gap is vast, with most disaster losses uninsured.

Why it matters: Uninsured losses convert disaster into a poverty trap: a farmer losing an uninsured crop borrows at high interest; a family losing an uninsured house spends a decade rebuilding. Insurance breaks this cycle by converting catastrophic, unpredictable losses into manageable premiums — and it disciplines risk: insurers price by risk, creating financial incentives for safer construction and location.

Key facts: PMFBY covers crop losses from sowing to post-harvest against drought, flood, hailstorm, cyclone and other perils, with heavily subsidised premiums — farmers pay a small fixed share. Challenges include delayed claim settlement, basis risk in parametric products (triggers not matching actual losses), low awareness, and insurer reluctance in high-risk zones. Global models India studies include the Caribbean and African risk pools and the growing catastrophe-bond market. Expanding penetration needs simpler products, trusted local distribution (self-help groups, cooperatives) and prompt payouts that build confidence.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the risk-transfer concept, PMFBY's coverage, and parametric insurance's trigger mechanism. In Mains, insurance appears in answers on financial resilience, the poverty-trap dynamics of uninsured losses, and the case for expanding India's disaster protection architecture beyond relief norms.

48. 48. Technology in DM (GIS, Drones, AI)

Key fact	Detail
GIS & remote sensing	Hazard mapping, vulnerability assessment, damage estimation, evacuation planning — ISRO's Bhuvan platform supports DM applications
Drones	Rapid damage assessment, search in inaccessible areas, delivery of relief to cut-off pockets
AI & data	Flood forecasting models, crowd-density estimation, social-media analytics for situational awareness, early-warning algorithms
Communication tech	Common Alerting Protocol-based mobile alerts, satellite phones, emergency communication networks

What it is: Technology now threads through every phase of the disaster cycle. Geographic Information Systems and satellite remote sensing map hazards (floodplains, landslide zones, seismic micro-zones), overlay vulnerability (population, buildings, infrastructure) and estimate damage rapidly after events — ISRO's Bhuvan geo-platform provides dedicated disaster-management data layers. Drones conduct swift aerial damage surveys, search inaccessible terrain, and deliver medical supplies to cut-off areas. Artificial intelligence improves flood forecasting, estimates crowd densities from camera feeds, mines social media for real-time situational awareness, and sharpens early-warning models.

Why it matters: Technology compresses the two scarcest resources in disasters — time and information. A satellite damage map in hours focuses rescue where it matters; a phone alert minutes before a flash flood empties a riverside settlement; a drone finds survivors in rubble ground teams cannot reach. The ten-point DRR agenda explicitly calls for using technology, and India's digital public infrastructure gives it an unusual advantage in implementation.

Key facts: Key Indian applications: IMD's cyclone prediction models, CWC's flood forecasting, INCOIS's tsunami modelling, FSI's satellite fire alerts, the Damini lightning app, and NDMA's CAP-based public warning platform. Emergency operation centres at national, state and district levels integrate these feeds for decision-making. Drones have been deployed for damage assessment after floods and landslides and for crowd monitoring at mass gatherings. The frontier challenges are data integration across agencies, last-mile connectivity, and building district administrations' technical capacity to use these tools.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the applications (GIS for mapping, drones for assessment, CAP for alerts) and the agencies/platforms (Bhuvan/ISRO, Damini/IITM). In Mains, technology illustrates modernisation of the DM cycle — strong answers pair each technology with the institutional and last-mile conditions making it effective.

49. 49. Traditional Knowledge in Disaster Management

Key fact	Detail
Concept	Community-held, generations-tested knowledge of local hazards — warning signs, safe practices, resilient construction
Examples	Animal-behaviour and sea-recession tsunami lore, traditional flood-resilient housing on stilts, community grain banks
Policy status	National Policy on DM (2009) and NDMA frameworks call for documenting and integrating traditional knowledge
Key caution	Complement, not substitute — traditional knowledge strengthens but cannot replace scientific warning and engineering

What it is: Traditional knowledge in disaster management is the accumulated, generations-tested understanding hazard-prone communities hold about their environment: folk memory of past floods marking safe high ground, observation of animal behaviour or sea recession before tsunamis, stilt and bamboo housing designs surviving floods and earthquakes, community grain banks carrying villages through drought, water-harvesting structures buffering dry spells. The 2004 tsunami provided the famous illustration — on several coasts, indigenous communities recognising the sea's sudden recession as a warning moved to high ground and survived.

Why it matters: Traditional knowledge matters for three reasons: it is hyperlocal (calibrated to the specific village's hazards), it is trusted (communities act on knowledge they own), and it is often the only system functioning when modern infrastructure fails. Documenting it also preserves knowledge that urbanisation and generational change are eroding.

Key facts: Documented Indian examples: Assam's stilt houses (chang ghars) for flood resilience, Rajasthan's traditional water-harvesting (khadins, johads) for drought buffering, Himalayan earthquake-resistant timber-and-stone construction (kathkuni and dhajji-dewari techniques), and coastal communities' cyclone lore. NIDM and state knowledge networks have undertaken documentation, and community-based programmes are the natural vehicle for keeping this knowledge alive — village disaster plans recording local warning signs, safe routes and coping practices alongside modern protocols. Sendai's emphasis on indigenous and local knowledge gives the agenda global backing.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks for examples (stilt houses, kathkuni construction, tsunami lore) and the policy recognition (2009 policy, NDMA frameworks). In Mains, traditional knowledge enriches answers on community-based DM and inclusive risk reduction — effective as a specific, concrete paragraph rather than a vague nod.

50. 50. Way Forward: A Disaster-Resilient India by 2030

Key fact	Detail
Horizon	2030 — the shared deadline of the Sendai Framework and the SDGs; India's resilience stocktaking year
Five thrusts	Risk-informed development, empowered local governance, resilient infrastructure, financial protection, technology + community
Flagship levers	Mitigation funds, CDRI, Heat Action Plans, Aapda Mitra, CAP-based warnings, ten-point DRR agenda
Measure of success	Declining mortality and economic losses relative to GDP even as hazards intensify with climate change

What it is: The way forward for Indian disaster management converges on 2030 — the deadline shared by the Sendai Framework and the SDGs, and the natural horizon for India's resilience stocktaking. The agenda has five thrusts. First, risk-informed development: every infrastructure project, housing scheme and city plan screened for the disaster risk it creates or reduces, with building codes and land-use regulation actually enforced. Second, empowered local governance: DDMA's, municipalities and panchayats with real capacity, funded mitigation plans and trained volunteers. Third, resilient infrastructure: power, transport, health and school systems built to survive, advancing through CDRI standards and build-back-better reconstruction. Fourth, financial protection: closing the insurance gap so disasters stop manufacturing poverty. Fifth, the technology-community fusion: warning chains whose last mile is a trained, drilled, aware community.

Why it matters: This synthesis is what UPSC Mains answers on disaster management ultimately ask for — not a list of institutions but a judgment about where India stands and what must change. The honest assessment: India has built world-class response capacity (NDRF, early warning, evacuation) but remains weak on the pre-disaster half — code enforcement, land-use discipline, urban drainage, district-level capacity. Climate change means the hazard baseline is rising even as urbanisation grows exposure, so standing still means falling behind.

Key facts: Concrete 2030 milestones: multi-hazard early warning covering every vulnerable district with tested last-mile delivery; Heat Action Plans for all heat-prone cities; seismic retrofitting of lifeline buildings in Zones IV and V; Emergency Action Plans for all large dams integrated with district plans; mitigation funds fully operational at national and state levels; measurable growth in disaster-insurance penetration. The ten-point DRR agenda and CDRI leadership give India both a domestic charter and an international platform. Success will be measured the Sendai way: mortality and affected populations falling, economic losses declining relative to GDP, and every district holding a living, rehearsed disaster management plan.

How UPSC tests it: This is the standard concluding topic — Prelims may ask the 2030 significance (Sendai + SDG deadline), while Mains expects exactly this synthesis as the "way forward" section: five thrusts, honest gap assessment, concrete milestones and the Sendai metrics of success.

5 Revision Tips for Disaster Management

- 1. Master the institutional trio and the numbers attached to them.** PM chairs the NDMA, the CM chairs the SDMA, the Collector chairs the DDMA — plus the framework numbers: DM Act 2005 (11 chapters, 79 sections), Hyogo's 5 priorities, Sendai's 4 priorities and 7 targets, the 2009 policy, the 2016/2019 National Plan.
- 2. Learn every case study as a three-line story: event, toll, lesson.** Bhuj 2001 → codes and the DM Act; tsunami 2004 → warning systems; Odisha 1999 vs Phailin 2013 → preparedness saves lives; Kerala 2018 → dam management; Chamoli 2021 and Sikkim 2023 → GLOFs. Mains rewards the lesson, not the narration.
- 3. Keep the four vocabulary terms razor-sharp.** Hazard, vulnerability, risk and capacity — and the insight that disasters are manufactured where hazard meets vulnerability. Using these terms precisely is the fastest way to sound professional rather than narrative.

4. **Always add the global framework paragraph.** One paragraph linking Sendai, the SDGs (Goals 11 and 13) and the Paris Agreement's adaptation goal — plus the ten-point agenda or CDRI where relevant — lifts answers into the top band. Examiners look for this framework literacy.
5. **Revise through the cycle, not as a list.** Walk any disaster through prevention → mitigation → preparedness → response → recovery, asking what India did well and poorly at each stage. This habit generates structured Mains answers and writes the "way forward" section itself.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the Disaster Management Act, 2005?

India's umbrella disaster legislation, enacted after the 2004 tsunami. It creates a three-tier structure — the NDMA chaired by the Prime Minister, SDMA's chaired by Chief Ministers, DDMA's chaired by District Collectors — mandates plans at each level, constitutes the NDRF and NIDM, establishes response and mitigation funds, and empowers the Centre to issue binding directions. It marked India's legal shift from relief-centric response to proactive risk reduction.

What are the Sendai Framework's four priorities and seven targets?

Adopted in 2015 at Sendai, Japan, its four Priorities for Action are: (1) understanding disaster risk, (2) strengthening disaster risk governance, (3) investing in DRR for resilience, and (4) enhancing preparedness and "Build Back Better." Its seven 2030 targets: reduce disaster mortality, affected people, economic losses relative to GDP, and damage to critical infrastructure and basic services — and increase countries with DRR strategies (deadline 2020), international cooperation, and multi-hazard early warning systems.

How is disaster management different from disaster risk reduction?

Disaster management covers the full cycle — prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery. Disaster risk reduction is the preventive core: systematically analysing and reducing the causal factors of disasters by lessening exposure and vulnerability, improving preparedness, and addressing underlying drivers like poverty, unplanned urbanisation and climate change. DRR works to ensure hazards do not become disasters in the first place.

What caused the transformation in Odisha's cyclone response between 1999 and 2013?

The 1999 super cyclone killed around 10,000 people; Cyclone Phailin in 2013, of comparable intensity, killed roughly four dozen because about a million people were evacuated. The transformation rested on improved IMD forecasting and colour-coded warnings, multipurpose cyclone shelters, clear evacuation protocols, trained community volunteers, and a state government that made preparedness a political priority after 1999.

What is the NDRF and how is it different from the SDRF?

The National Disaster Response Force, constituted under Section 44 of the DM Act, is a specialised national force under the Ministry of Home Affairs — multi-skilled battalions for collapsed-structure rescue, floods, cyclones and CBRN emergencies, deployable anywhere in India and abroad. State Disaster Response Forces are raised by states for immediate first response, with NDRF training support. The graduated response runs: local administration → SDRF → NDRF → Armed Forces.

How does climate change affect disaster risk in India?

Warmer air holds more moisture (heavier downpours, floods), warmer oceans fuel stronger cyclones including Arabian Sea storms, heatwaves grow longer and hotter, sea-level rise magnifies storm surges and coastal erosion, and glacier retreat creates GLOF threats in the Himalayas. The policy response is the convergence of climate adaptation with DRR — heat action plans, resilient infrastructure, early warning and ecosystem restoration serve both agendas simultaneously.