



UPSC HUB

INDIAN SOCIETY

50 Most Important Topics for UPSC

Social structure, women, urbanisation & social change — key facts, tables and
FAQs for Prelims & Mains

FREE PDF NOTES

100% original exam-oriented notes • UPSC Prelims & Mains

Table of Contents

Part I: Social Structure & Institutions

1	1. Salient Features of Indian Society	6
2	2. Unity in Diversity	7
3	3. Caste System: Origin, Features & Change	8
4	4. Sanskritisation, Westernisation & Modernisation	8
5	5. Dominant Caste (M.N. Srinivas)	9
6	6. Tribes of India & PVTGs	10
7	7. Family: Joint vs Nuclear & Changing Patterns	10
8	8. Marriage as a Changing Institution	11
9	9. Kinship Systems in India	12
10	10. Communalism in India	12
11	11. Secularism in India	13
12	12. Regionalism & Sub-Nationalism	14
13	13. Language & Society	15
14	14. Social Stratification: Class & Status	15
15	15. Social Mobility in India	16
16	16. Social Capital	17
17	17. Globalisation & Indian Society	17

Part II: Women, Population & Urbanisation

18	18. Role of Women in Indian Society	18
19	19. Women's Organisations & Movements	19
20	20. Gender Issues: Sex Ratio & Missing Women	19
21	21. Women & Work (LFPR)	20
22	22. Population: Demographic Trends (Census 2011)	21
23	23. Demographic Dividend	21
24	24. Ageing Population in India	22
25	25. Migration: Internal & International	22
26	26. Urbanisation: Trends & Issues	23
27	27. Smart Cities & Urban Governance	24
28	28. Slums & Urban Poverty	24
29	29. Rural-Urban Divide	25
30	30. Youth & Society	26
31	31. Children in India: Key Issues	26
32	32. Elderly Care & Social Security	27
33	33. LGBTQ+ Rights & Social Change	27

Part III: Social Issues, Movements & Change

34	34. Poverty: Social Dimensions	28
35	35. Inequality in India	29

36	36. Hunger & Malnutrition	29
37	37. Education & Social Change	30
38	38. Health & Society	31
39	39. Social Empowerment	31
40	40. Communal Riots	32
41	41. Caste Violence & Atrocities	33
42	42. Honour Killings & Khap Panchayats	33
43	43. Dowry & Domestic Violence	34
44	44. Child Labour & Child Marriage	35
45	45. Drug Abuse Among Youth	35
46	46. Agrarian Distress: The Social Angle	36
47	47. Social Movements: Old vs New	37
48	48. Environmental Movements (Chipko, Narmada)	37
49	49. Digital Divide & Social Media Impact	38
50	50. Way Forward: Building an Inclusive Society	39

Indian Society: 50 Most Important Topics for UPSC (Structure, Change & Issues)

Indian Society is a core part of the UPSC GS Paper I syllabus, and unlike static subjects it rewards understanding over memorisation. In Mains, society questions typically carry 10–15 marks each and appear every year — on topics like women's empowerment, urbanisation, communalism, poverty and social change. Prelims draws on the factual backbone: census data, constitutional provisions, key committees and landmark judgments. The best answers combine a sociological concept, a hard fact, and a current-affairs illustration.

These 50 topics cover the complete society syllabus: the structure of Indian society and its institutions, women, population and urbanisation, and the major social issues, movements and processes of change. Every topic has a quick-revision table plus exam-oriented notes — what it is, why it matters, the key facts, and exactly how UPSC tests it. Use the table of contents below to jump straight to any topic.

Table of Contents

Part I: Social Structure & Institutions

1. [Salient Features of Indian Society](#)
2. [Unity in Diversity](#)
3. [Caste System: Origin, Features & Change](#)
4. [Sanskritisation, Westernisation & Modernisation](#)
5. [Dominant Caste \(M.N. Srinivas\)](#)
6. [Tribes of India & PVTGs](#)
7. [Family: Joint vs Nuclear & Changing Patterns](#)
8. [Marriage as a Changing Institution](#)
9. [Kinship Systems in India](#)
10. [Communalism in India](#)
11. [Secularism in India](#)
12. [Regionalism & Sub-Nationalism](#)
13. [Language & Society](#)
14. [Social Stratification: Class & Status](#)
15. [Social Mobility in India](#)
16. [Social Capital](#)
17. [Globalisation & Indian Society](#)

Part II: Women, Population & Urbanisation

1. [Role of Women in Indian Society](#)
2. [Women's Organisations & Movements](#)
3. [Gender Issues: Sex Ratio & Missing Women](#)
4. [Women & Work \(LFPR\)](#)
5. [Population: Demographic Trends \(Census 2011\)](#)
6. [Demographic Dividend](#)
7. [Ageing Population in India](#)
8. [Migration: Internal & International](#)
9. [Urbanisation: Trends & Issues](#)
10. [Smart Cities & Urban Governance](#)

11. [Slums & Urban Poverty](#)
12. [Rural-Urban Divide](#)
13. [Youth & Society](#)
14. [Children in India: Key Issues](#)
15. [Elderly Care & Social Security](#)
16. [LGBTQ+ Rights & Social Change](#)

Part III: Social Issues, Movements & Change

1. [Poverty: Social Dimensions](#)
2. [Inequality in India](#)
3. [Hunger & Malnutrition](#)
4. [Education & Social Change](#)
5. [Health & Society](#)
6. [Social Empowerment](#)
7. [Communal Riots](#)
8. [Caste Violence & Atrocities](#)
9. [Honour Killings & Khap Panchayats](#)
10. [Dowry & Domestic Violence](#)
11. [Child Labour & Child Marriage](#)
12. [Drug Abuse Among Youth](#)
13. [Agrarian Distress: The Social Angle](#)
14. [Social Movements: Old vs New](#)
15. [Environmental Movements \(Chipko, Narmada\)](#)
16. [Digital Divide & Social Media Impact](#)
17. [Way Forward: Building an Inclusive Society](#)

Part I: Social Structure & Institutions

1. 1. Salient Features of Indian Society

Key fact	Detail
Diversity	Multi-religious, multi-lingual, multi-ethnic society with thousands of castes and communities
Hierarchy	Traditional stratification by caste, reinforced by class, gender and region
Continuity and change	Ancient institutions (caste, joint family) coexisting with modern values and urban lifestyles
Collectivism	

What it is: The salient features of Indian society are the big-picture traits that sociologists use to describe how India is organised. First is staggering diversity — of religions, languages, castes, tribes and regions — unmatched by almost any other country. Second is hierarchy: caste historically ranked groups by ritual status, and although the Constitution guarantees equality, hierarchy persists in social practice. Third is the coexistence of continuity and change: ancient institutions like caste and the joint family survive alongside modern cities, constitutional democracy and globalised youth culture. Fourth is collectivism — the family, caste and village community shape identity and decisions far more than in individualistic Western societies.

Why it matters: This is the literal first line of the GS-I syllabus, and nearly every society answer in Mains begins here. Whether the question is on women's empowerment, communalism or urbanisation, the examiner expects you to ground the answer in these features — diversity explains India's pluralism, hierarchy explains its inequalities, and continuity-and-change explains why reform is slow. A crisp one-paragraph framing of these features can lift an average answer into the top band because it shows sociological thinking rather than mere description.

Key facts: Early Indian sociologists mapped these features systematically: G.S. Ghurye studied caste as the defining institution, D.P. Mukerji stressed the tension between tradition and modernity, and Yogendra Singh analysed how tradition itself modernises. Note the paradoxes examiners love: India is deeply religious yet constitutionally secular; caste is legally abolished as discrimination (Article 17) yet socially persistent; villages remain culturally central while most economic growth is urban. Tolerance and accommodation — often summed up as "unity in diversity" — are presented as the civilisational glue holding the diversity together.

How UPSC tests it: Direct Mains questions have asked candidates to discuss the salient features of Indian society and whether diversity strengthens or weakens the nation. More often, the topic is tested indirectly: a question on caste violence or regionalism expects you to invoke hierarchy and diversity in the introduction. In Prelims, expect questions on the sociologists associated with these concepts rather than on the features themselves.

2. 2. Unity in Diversity

Key fact	Detail
Phrase origin	Popularised by Jawaharlal Nehru in <i>The Discovery of India</i> as India's civilisational idea
Sources of unity	Constitution, democratic politics, freedom movement, shared festivals and cultural exchange
Sources of diversity	Religion, language, caste, tribe, region, food, dress and customs
Stress points	Communalism, regionalism, linguistic chauvinism and caste conflict test the unity

What it is: "Unity in diversity" is the idea that India holds together not by making everyone the same but by accommodating deep differences within a shared political and cultural framework. The unity comes from the Constitution's guarantees of equality and fraternity, from democratic participation that gives every group a stake, from the shared memory of the freedom struggle, and from centuries of cultural exchange — festivals, cuisines, music and pilgrimage circuits that cross religious and regional lines. The diversity is real and granular: major world religions, 22 scheduled languages and thousands of mother tongues, and social customs that change every hundred kilometres.

Why it matters: This concept is the standard answer to a recurring UPSC anxiety: does India's diversity threaten national integration? The expected position is balanced — diversity is a strength when managed through accommodation, but it becomes a fault line when politicised. Every question on communalism, regionalism or linguistic conflict is really a question about whether the "unity in diversity" bargain is holding. It also anchors answers on federalism and secularism, since both are institutional expressions of the same bargain.

Key facts: Nehru's formulation in *The Discovery of India* described an underlying cultural unity beneath bewildering variety. The Constitution operationalises it through fundamental rights, the Eighth Schedule's language recognition,

and protections for religious and linguistic minorities (Articles 29–30). Everyday illustrations examiners appreciate: Diwali, Eid, Christmas and Baisakhi celebrated nationally; the same film songs loved across states; inter-regional migration for work and education weaving the country together. The counter-narrative — that diversity fuels separatism — is answered by pointing to the resilience of democratic accommodation since 1947.

How UPSC tests it: Mains has directly asked whether diversity is a strength or a challenge for India, and essay topics on pluralism draw on the same material. More commonly it appears as the concluding paragraph of answers on communalism or regionalism: "India's unity in diversity has survived because..." Prelims rarely tests the phrase directly but may ask about Nehru's book or constitutional provisions protecting diversity.

3. 3. Caste System: Origin, Features & Change

Key fact	Detail
Classical features	G.S. Ghurye's six: segmental division, hierarchy, food/social restrictions, civil-religious disabilities, restricted occupation, endogamy
Origin theories	Racial (Risley), occupational (Nesfield), political (Abbe Dubois), divine (Purusha Sukta of the Rig Veda)
Constitutional position	Article 17 abolishes untouchability; Articles 15 and 16 prohibit caste discrimination
Modern changes	Urbanisation, reservation, education and politics have weakened ritual hierarchy but strengthened caste identity

What it is: The caste system is India's traditional form of social stratification: birth-based groups (jatis) ranked in a ritual hierarchy, historically linked to occupation, practising endogamy (marriage within the group) and commensality restrictions (rules on food and social contact). G.S. Ghurye's six features remain the textbook definition. Several theories explain its origin — Herbert Risley's racial theory, Nesfield's occupational theory, Abbe Dubois's political theory of Brahmin dominance, and the divine theory of the Purusha Sukta. The four-fold varna scheme (Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaishya, Shudra) is the ideological overlay; the thousands of jatis are the lived reality.

Why it matters: Caste is the single most tested theme in Indian society. It explains inequality, shapes voting, drives reservation debates, and underlies atrocities and honour killings. UPSC expects you to hold two truths together: ritual hierarchy has weakened (inter-dining is common in cities, occupations have diversified), yet caste identity has strengthened as a tool of political mobilisation and competition for state resources. This "change in form, persistence in substance" argument is the backbone of high-scoring answers.

Key facts: The Constitution attacks caste discrimination through Articles 15, 16 and 17, and the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 criminalises caste violence. Reservation for SCs, STs and OBCs (Mandal Commission, implemented 1990) made caste a basis for claiming state benefits. Land reforms, the Green Revolution and urban migration loosened the link between caste and occupation. Yet endogamy remains strikingly persistent, and caste associations now function as pressure groups — sociologists call this the shift from hierarchy to identity.

How UPSC tests it: Expect Mains questions on whether caste is weakening or transforming, on caste and politics, and on reservation. Prelims asks about Ghurye's features, origin theories, and constitutional articles. The 2017 Mains question on whether the caste system is undergoing change is a classic; answers were expected to discuss Sanskritisation, westernisation and political mobilisation.

4. 4. Sanskritisation, Westernisation & Modernisation

Key fact	Detail
Sanskritisation	M.N. Srinivas: lower castes adopt the rituals, diet and customs of upper castes to claim higher status
Westernisation	Adoption of Western technology, institutions, values and lifestyles, especially under British rule

Modernisation	Broader process: rationalisation, industrialisation, urbanisation, democratisation and scientific temper
Key critique	Yogendra Singh argued tradition itself modernises; de-Sanskritisation also occurs when groups assert distinct identities

What it is: These are the three master concepts of social change in India, all associated with M.N. Srinivas. Sanskritisation is the process by which a lower caste adopts the customs, rituals, vegetarianism and lifestyle of a higher (usually Brahminical) caste to raise its social status — it changes the group's position but leaves the hierarchy intact. Westernisation refers to the changes introduced by British rule and continued after independence: English education, legal institutions, technology, dress and consumption patterns. Modernisation is wider still: the rationalisation of thought, industrialisation, urbanisation, mass education and democratic values — a structural transformation of society, not just borrowed habits.

Why it matters: Together these concepts explain how Indian society changes without a revolution: groups climb within the old order (Sanskritisation), elites import new models (westernisation), and the whole structure gradually rationalises (modernisation). UPSC loves asking candidates to distinguish the three, because conflating them is the common error. The concepts also frame contemporary debates — is the spread of English education empowerment or cultural alienation? Is a Dalit group adopting upper-caste rituals liberation or false consciousness?

Key facts: Srinivas developed Sanskritisation from fieldwork in Coorg and elaborated it in Social Change in Modern India (1966). Classic examples: castes giving up meat and alcohol, adopting sacred-thread ceremonies, or renaming themselves. Westernisation's institutional legacy includes the bureaucracy, universities and the press. Yogendra Singh's Modernization of Indian Tradition argued that Indian tradition contains adaptive capacity — change is not just Western import. Note "de-Sanskritisation": some groups today assert a distinct non-Brahminical identity instead, reversing the process.

How UPSC tests it: A standard Mains question asks to distinguish Sanskritisation, westernisation and modernisation with examples — definitions alone earn little; illustrations earn marks. Prelims may ask who coined Sanskritisation or match sociologists to concepts. The concepts also serve as analytical tools inside answers on caste mobility, cultural change and globalisation.

5. 5. Dominant Caste (M.N. Srinivas)

Key fact	Detail
Concept by	M.N. Srinivas, from village studies in the 1950s
Criteria	Numerical strength, land ownership, political power, ritual status and modern education/occupations
Examples	Jats, Marathas, Patels, Reddys, Kammas, Lingayats, Vokkaligas, Yadavs
Political significance	Dominant castes mediate between village and state; central to Rajni Kothari's "caste in Indian politics"

What it is: A dominant caste is a middle-ranking peasant caste that wields preponderant power in a village or region — not through ritual purity (it is usually Shudra in varna terms) but through numbers, land ownership and political clout. M.N. Srinivas coined the term after observing that in many villages, ritual hierarchy and actual power did not coincide: the Brahmins had status, but the landowning peasant caste had power. Dominance requires several factors together — substantial population, control of land, access to political office, and increasingly education and urban occupations. A caste dominant in one district may be insignificant in the next.

Why it matters: The concept unlocks Indian politics. Dominant castes are the vote banks and power brokers of rural India: they control panchayats, influence state elections, lead farmer agitations and demand reservations (Marathas, Jats, Patels all agitated for quotas despite their dominance). Rajni Kothari's classic argument — that caste politicises and politics "caste-ises" — rests on dominant castes entering democratic competition. Understanding dominance explains why ritual rank and real power diverge, and why agrarian policy is so politically sensitive.

Key facts: Classic dominant castes include Jats in Haryana/western UP, Marathas in Maharashtra, Patels in Gujarat, Reddys and Kammas in Andhra Pradesh, Lingayats and Vokkaligas in Karnataka, and Yadavs in UP/Bihar. Their dominance was consolidated by land reforms that made tenants into owners and by the Green Revolution's prosperity. Dominant castes have also been the main opponents — and sometimes perpetrators — of atrocities against Dalits asserting rights, and they led anti-reservation agitations when quotas threatened their educational and employment advantages.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on caste and politics expect the dominant-caste framework with examples. Prelims may ask who propounded the concept or its criteria. It is also the analytical core of answers on agrarian unrest, reservation demands by "forward" groups, and rural power structures in panchayat questions.

6. 6. Tribes of India & PVTGs

Key fact	Detail
Population share	About 8.6% of India's population (10.45 crore, Census 2011); over 700 Scheduled Tribes
Constitutional safeguards	Fifth Schedule (central India) and Sixth Schedule (Northeast); Articles 244, 275(1), 330–342
PVTGs	75 Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups identified across 18 states and one UT
Key laws	PESA Act 1996 (self-governance); Forest Rights Act 2006 (land and forest rights)

What it is: Scheduled Tribes are communities listed under Article 342, historically living in relatively isolated hill and forest regions with distinct languages, customs and economies — from the Gonds of central India and Bhils of the west to the Nagas of the Northeast and the Jarawas of the Andamans. Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Groups (PVTGs) are the 75 most marginalised among them, identified by stagnant or declining population, low literacy, pre-agricultural technology and economic backwardness — groups like the Birhor, Sahariya and the Shompen. Tribal societies are often more egalitarian than caste society, with weaker hierarchy and stronger community ownership of resources.

Why it matters: Tribal issues sit at the intersection of development, environment and justice — displacement by dams, mines and industries; loss of forest rights; Maoist conflict in tribal belts; and cultural erosion. UPSC treats tribes as a test of inclusive development: the question is always whether growth reaches the most vulnerable. PVTGs are a favourite Prelims fact, and tribal displacement versus the Forest Rights Act is a standard Mains theme linking GS-I (society) with GS-III (environment).

Key facts: The Fifth Schedule covers tribal areas in ten central-Indian states with Tribes Advisory Councils and gubernatorial powers; the Sixth Schedule gives autonomous district councils in Assam, Meghalaya, Tripura and Mizoram. The PESA Act (1996) extends panchayat self-governance to Scheduled Areas, and the Forest Rights Act (2006) recognises individual and community rights over forest land. Major concerns include malnutrition and health gaps, low literacy, and the slow implementation of FRA claims. Tribal movements — from Birsa Munda's revolt to contemporary pathalgadi assertions — show a long history of resistance to outside control.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims asks the number of PVTGs, the difference between Fifth and Sixth Schedules, and the years of PESA and FRA. Mains questions ask about tribal displacement, the effectiveness of protective legislation, or the tension between conservation and tribal rights. Data points like the 8.6% population share are expected in introductions.

7. 7. Family: Joint vs Nuclear & Changing Patterns

Key fact	Detail
Joint family	Multiple generations under one roof with common property and a karta (head); studied by I.P. Desai and A.M. Shah

Nuclear family	Parents and children only; grows with urbanisation, migration and service-sector jobs
New patterns	Dual-earner, single-parent, live-in, same-sex and "living apart together" households
Drivers of change	Urbanisation, women's employment, education, legal reform and individualism

What it is: The joint family — several generations sharing a household, kitchen and property under the authority of the eldest male (karta) — was long considered the hallmark of Indian society. Sociologists like I.P. Desai and A.M. Shah showed it was never universal: many "joint" families were really networks of related nuclear households. Today the trend is unmistakable: migration for jobs, smaller homes, women's employment and individual aspirations are producing nuclear families, and beyond them newer forms — dual-earner couples, single parents, live-in partners, and elderly parents living alone or in old-age homes. The family remains central to Indian life, but its form is diversifying.

Why it matters: Family change is the quiet engine behind many UPSC topics: the care deficit for the elderly, women's "double burden" of job plus housework, falling fertility, and even housing demand. Questions on ageing, women or urbanisation all trace back to the family. The sociological insight examiners reward is that change is adaptive, not simply breakdown — families renegotiate roles (working mothers, involved fathers, Skype-connected grandparents) rather than disappearing.

Key facts: Census and survey data show average household size shrinking steadily and single-person households rising, especially in cities. Legal changes mirror social ones: the Hindu Succession (Amendment) Act, 2005 made daughters coparceners in ancestral property; courts have recognised live-in relationships; and maintenance laws protect elderly parents. The joint family's economic functions — shared childcare, old-age support, pooling of income — are being replaced partly by markets (creches, pensions) and partly by the state, but the replacement is incomplete, leaving care gaps.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions ask whether the joint family is disintegrating and with what consequences, or link family change to women's status and elderly care. Prelims occasionally touches the Hindu Succession Amendment. The topic works best as supporting material inside answers on ageing, gender and urbanisation rather than as a standalone question.

8. 8. Marriage as a Changing Institution

Key fact	Detail
Traditional view	A sacrament (samskara) among Hindus; arranged, endogamous, patrilocal and nearly universal
Key laws	Hindu Marriage Act 1955; Special Marriage Act 1954 (inter-religion/civil marriage)
Current changes	Later marriage, love marriages, rising divorce, live-in relationships, debates on same-sex marriage
Persistent norms	Caste endogamy and dowry remain strong despite legal prohibition

What it is: Marriage in India has traditionally been a sacrament rather than a contract — arranged by families, within caste and community, with the bride moving to the husband's home (patrilocality), and treated as compulsory and indissoluble. That model is changing at the edges: urban youth marry later, choose their own partners more often, and divorce — once unthinkable — is rising, especially in cities. Live-in relationships have gained judicial recognition, and the Supreme Court's 2023 Supriyo verdict on same-sex marriage (declining to legalise it, leaving the matter to Parliament) put marriage reform in national headlines. Yet the core norms bend slowly: caste endogamy remains the overwhelming norm and dowry persists despite the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

Why it matters: Marriage is where gender, caste and law collide — which is why UPSC keeps returning to it through women's issues, honour killings and the Uniform Civil Code debate. Changes in marriage signal deeper shifts: women's education and employment delaying marriage, individual choice challenging family authority, and law

struggling to keep pace with social practice. An answer that traces one institution through all three lenses (gender, caste, modernity) demonstrates exactly the multidimensional analysis examiners want.

Key facts: The Hindu Marriage Act (1955) codified monogamy and divorce for Hindus; the Special Marriage Act (1954) enables civil and inter-religious marriage. The Prohibition of Child Marriage Act (2006) sets 18 for women and 21 for men, with a long-pending proposal to raise the women's age to 21. NFHS-5 found nearly a quarter of women aged 20–24 married before 18, showing law and practice still diverge. "Love jihad" laws in some states and khap opposition to inter-caste unions show marriage remains a site of social control, while matrimonial websites and dating apps show it is also a market being modernised.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions appear via gender ("changing institution of marriage and women's status"), via UCC debates, and via honour killings. Prelims tests the marriage laws and their years. The institution is most useful as the connecting thread in answers on patriarchy, caste endogamy and legal reform.

9. 9. Kinship Systems in India

Key fact	Detail
Classic study	Irawati Karve's Kinship Organization in India (1953) mapped regional kinship patterns
North vs South	Northern patrilineal, exogamous gotra system; southern cross-cousin marriage permitted, kinship more flexible
Matriliny	Nairs of Kerala, Khasis, Garos and Jaintias of Meghalaya trace descent through women
Modern role	Kin networks drive business, politics and migration chains; "fictive kinship" extends beyond blood

What it is: Kinship is the web of relationships created by blood (consanguinity) and marriage (affinity), and in India it has historically organised property, residence, marriage rules and social support. Irawati Karve's landmark study showed India has not one but several kinship systems: the north follows strict patrilineal descent with gotra exogamy (one may not marry within one's own gotra or village), while the south permits cross-cousin marriage and has more flexible rules. Matrilineal pockets — the Nairs of Kerala and the Khasi, Garo and Jaintia tribes of Meghalaya — trace descent and inheritance through women, a standing counter-example to the idea that patriarchy is universal in India.

Why it matters: Kinship explains puzzles that otherwise look irrational: why a successful migrant sponsors dozens of relatives to the same city, why political parties field candidates from the same families, why businesses stay within communities. It is the social infrastructure beneath economic and political life. For UPSC, kinship is the bridge between "family" and "society" — it shows how private relationships scale up into caste associations, regional networks and vote banks.

Key facts: Key terms to know: patrilineal versus matrilineal descent, patrilocal versus matrilocal residence, sapinda rules (prohibited degrees of marriage), and fictive kinship (calling non-relatives "brother" or "uncle," common in Indian public life). Karve identified four kinship zones — northern, central, southern and eastern — each with distinct marriage rules. Modernisation has loosened kinship's grip on occupation and residence, but kin networks remain powerful in politics ("dynasty" debates), business communities (Marwaris, Chettiars) and migration chains that channel workers from specific villages to specific cities.

How UPSC tests it: Rarely a standalone question, but kinship concepts enrich answers on family change, caste networks and regional politics. Prelims has asked about Irawati Karve's work and matrilineal communities. The north–south contrast is useful comparative material in any answer on diversity within Indian society.

10. 10. Communalism in India

Key fact	Detail
Definition	

	Bipan Chandra: the belief that people of one religion have common secular (political, economic) interests opposed to those of other religions
Stages	Communal consciousness → liberal communalism → extreme communalism (fascist tendency)
Drivers	Colonial divide-and-rule, competitive politics, economic rivalry, rumours and social media
Consequence	Communal riots, ghettoisation, erosion of fraternity and the secular fabric

What it is: Communalism is the ideology that religious communities are distinct political blocs with opposed interests — that Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs or Christians must compete as groups for power and resources. Historian Bipan Chandra, in *Communalism in Modern India*, distinguished it from religion itself: communalism is politics organised around religious identity, not personal faith. He traced its stages from mere communal consciousness (identifying with one's religious group) to liberal communalism (believing the group's interests need special political representation) to extreme communalism, which treats other communities as enemies. Communal violence — riots — is the explosive outcome, not the ideology itself.

Why it matters: Communalism is the direct antithesis of the constitutional values of fraternity and secularism, and UPSC treats it as the master threat to national integration. It connects to Partition's legacy, to electoral politics (vote-bank and polarisation strategies), and to everyday segregation in housing and schooling. Answers must distinguish cause from symptom: riots are the symptom; the organised political use of religious identity is the disease. The topic also tests whether you can discuss a sensitive subject in a balanced, constitutional register — a skill the examiner explicitly rewards.

Key facts: Colonial policies — separate electorates (1909), the census classification of communities, and the "martial races" doctrine — institutionalised religious difference. Post-independence flashpoints include the anti-Sikh violence of 1984, the Babri Masjid demolition and subsequent riots of 1992–93, the Gujarat violence of 2002, Muzaffarnagar 2013 and the Delhi riots of 2020. Contributing factors recur: political mobilisation around religious symbols, economic competition framed in communal terms, rumour-mongering (now amplified by social media), and weak preventive policing. The Sachar Committee (2005) documented Muslim socio-economic marginalisation, which feeds grievance narratives.

How UPSC tests it: A perennial Mains theme: causes of communalism, communalism versus secularism, the role of social media, or "communalism as a threat to national integration." Prelims may ask about Bipan Chandra's definition or committee reports. Strong answers cite the constitutional counter-vision (Articles 25–28, fraternity in the Preamble) and concrete remedies: police reform, speedy justice, responsible media and inter-community dialogue.

11. 11. Secularism in India

Key fact	Detail
Constitutional status	"Secular" inserted in the Preamble by the 42nd Amendment (1976); Articles 25–28 guarantee religious freedom
Indian model	"Principled distance" (Rajeev Bhargava): the state engages with all religions equally rather than separating from them
Landmark case	S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994): secularism is part of the Constitution's basic structure
Live debates	Uniform Civil Code (Article 44), religious conversions, state funding of pilgrimages and religious schools

What it is: Indian secularism does not mean the Western model of a strict wall between state and religion. Political theorist Rajeev Bhargava calls it "principled distance": the state keeps equal distance from all religions but may engage with or reform any of them — banning untouchability, reforming Hindu personal law, or administering minority institutions. The Constitution guarantees freedom of conscience and the right to profess, practise and propagate religion (Articles 25–28), while also empowering the state to regulate secular aspects of religious practice.

In S.R. Bommai (1994), the Supreme Court held secularism to be part of the basic structure, beyond Parliament's power to amend away.

Why it matters: Secularism is the constitutional answer to communalism, and every question on religious conflict expects this framework. The Indian model's distinctiveness is exactly what examiners probe: can a state that funds the Haj pilgrimage or manages temples still call itself secular? The expected answer is yes — because Indian secularism means equal respect (sarva dharma sambhava), not indifference. The topic also links to live controversies: the UCC debate, anti-conversion laws, and the Places of Worship Act, 1991.

Key facts: The Constituent Assembly deliberately avoided defining secularism rigidly, leaving it to evolve through practice. Articles 29–30 protect the cultural and educational rights of minorities. Judicial milestones include the Shah Bano case (1985) on maintenance for divorced Muslim women — which triggered a political storm and the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act, 1986 — and the Shayara Bano (2017) judgment striking down instant triple talaq. Critics argue the state practises "pseudo-secularism" through selective intervention; defenders reply that reform without uniformity is precisely the Indian genius.

How UPSC tests it: Mains asks candidates to compare Indian and Western secularism or to evaluate secularism's success — a favourite since the 1990s. Prelims tests the 42nd Amendment, Bommai and the relevant articles. The Uniform Civil Code is the standard applied case: discuss it through the secularism lens for a structured, high-scoring answer.

12. 12. Regionalism & Sub-Nationalism

Key fact	Detail
Definition	Strong identification with one's region, sometimes expressed as demands for autonomy, statehood or preference for "sons of the soil"
Positive forms	State reorganisation on linguistic lines (1956); federal bargaining for resources and development
Negative forms	Separatism, nativist violence against migrants, inter-state water and border disputes
Recent example	Telangana formed in 2014 after a decades-long statehood agitation

What it is: Regionalism is the assertion of a distinct regional identity — based on language, ethnicity, history or perceived economic neglect — in competition with other regions or the centre. It ranges from benign (demanding a university, a railway zone or fairer funds) to aggressive (violence against migrants, separatist insurgency). Sub-nationalism is its stronger cousin: the feeling of being a "nation within the nation," seen historically in Kashmir, Punjab in the 1980s, and the Northeast. India's genius has been to accommodate regionalism through federalism — creating linguistic states in 1956 and new states since — while drawing a hard line at secession.

Why it matters: Regionalism tests the balance between unity and diversity that holds India together. UPSC expects a two-sided assessment: regionalism deepens democracy by giving voice to local aspirations, but it fragments the national community when it turns exclusionary. The topic connects to federalism (GS-II), internal security (GS-III) and the society syllabus — making it one of the highest-yield crossover topics. Any answer on national integration is incomplete without it.

Key facts: The States Reorganisation Commission (1956) created linguistic states, defusing the most explosive regional demand. Later statehood movements — Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh and Uttarakhand (2000), Telangana (2014) — followed the same accommodation logic. Article 371 and the Sixth Schedule give special protections to specific regions. Nativist movements (Shiv Sena's "sons of the soil" politics in Mumbai, anti-"outsider" agitations in Assam) show regionalism's exclusionary face, while inter-state disputes over river waters (Cauvery, Krishna) and borders (Assam–Mizoram) show its administrative face. Economic regionalism — richer states resenting fiscal transfers — is the newest variant.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions ask whether regionalism strengthens or weakens Indian democracy, or demand a discussion of sub-nationalism and integration. Prelims tests the 1956 reorganisation and Article 371. The standard structure: define, classify (positive/negative), illustrate with two examples each, and conclude with federal accommodation as the remedy.

13. 13. Language & Society

Key fact	Detail
Constitutional position	22 languages in the Eighth Schedule; Article 343 makes Hindi in Devanagari the official language of the Union
Landmark event	States Reorganisation Act, 1956 created states on linguistic lines
Flashpoint	Anti-Hindi agitations of 1965 led to the Official Languages Act, 1963 (amended 1967) continuing English
Scale of diversity	Census 2011 recorded thousands of mother tongues; Hindi is the most widely spoken first language

What it is: Language in India is not merely communication — it is identity, emotion and politics. The Constitution recognises 22 scheduled languages, gives Hindi official status alongside English, and protects linguistic minorities (Articles 350A–350B). The most consequential language decision was the 1956 reorganisation of states on linguistic lines, which turned potentially explosive identity politics into manageable federal units. But language remains combustible: the anti-Hindi agitations of 1965 in Tamil Nadu forced the indefinite continuation of English, and periodic rows over the three-language formula or "Hindi imposition" show the issue never fully settles.

Why it matters: Language disputes are the clearest illustration of how cultural identity becomes political conflict — and how democratic accommodation resolves it. UPSC uses language to test understanding of federalism, minority rights and national integration in one stroke. The topic also has a development angle: the medium of instruction debate (mother tongue versus English) directly affects learning outcomes and social mobility, linking language to education and inequality.

Key facts: The Eighth Schedule began with 14 languages and now has 22, with demands for more (Bhojpuri, Rajasthani, Bhoti). The National Education Policy, 2020 emphasises mother-tongue instruction at the primary stage. Census data shows Hindi's share growing while many smaller languages shrink — UNESCO classifies dozens of Indian languages as endangered. The "classical language" status (Tamil, Sanskrit, Telugu, Kannada, Malayalam, Odia) carries prestige and funding. English, meanwhile, functions as the language of elite mobility, creating a linguistic divide that mirrors class.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on linguistic reorganisation, the three-language formula, or language as a basis of regionalism. Prelims asks about the Eighth Schedule, Article 343 and the 1956 Act. The standard analytical move: language as unifier (link language, administration) versus language as divider (imposition fears, linguistic chauvinism).

14. 14. Social Stratification: Class & Status

Key fact	Detail
Classical theories	Marx: class by relation to means of production; Weber: class, status and party as three dimensions
Indian layering	Caste, class and gender overlap — a poor Dalit woman faces "triple" disadvantage
Status inconsistency	High ritual status with low economic power (or vice versa) creates social tension
Modern classes	Middle class expansion, informal working class, agrarian classes differentiated by landholding

What it is: Social stratification is the hierarchical ranking of people into layers with unequal access to resources, prestige and power. Classical sociology offers two master frameworks: Marx's class, defined by ownership of the

means of production (bourgeoisie versus proletariat), and Weber's three-dimensional model — class (economic), status (social honour) and party (political power) — which can vary independently. India is the textbook case of overlapping stratifications: caste supplies the status dimension, class the economic one, and gender cuts across both. A wealthy Dalit entrepreneur and a poor Brahmin priest embody "status inconsistency," where the dimensions do not align.

Why it matters: Stratification is the analytical engine behind inequality, mobility and conflict — the "why" beneath every question on poverty, reservation or gender. UPSC rewards answers that identify which dimension is at work: is a clash about class (wages), status (honour) or power (representation)? The intersectional insight — that caste, class and gender compound — is now the expected sophistication in Mains answers on marginalisation.

Key facts: Functionalists (Davis and Moore) argued stratification motivates people to fill important roles; conflict theorists see it as institutionalised exploitation — both views appear in answer frameworks. India's middle class, expanded by liberalisation, is politically vocal but numerically smaller than often claimed. The working class is overwhelmingly informal — over 90% of workers lack formal contracts or social security. Agrarian classes range from large farmers to landless labourers, and land ownership still tracks caste closely. Gender stratification persists in wage gaps, property ownership and decision-making power.

How UPSC tests it: Usually as analytical scaffolding rather than a direct question — apply the class/status distinction inside answers on caste, inequality or agrarian issues. Prelims occasionally asks about Marx and Weber. The intersectionality framework (caste × class × gender) is the single most useful takeaway for Mains answer-writing.

15. 15. Social Mobility in India

Key fact	Detail
Types	Vertical (up/down the hierarchy) vs horizontal; inter-generational vs intra-generational
Channels	Education, reservation, urbanisation, politics, migration and economic diversification
Landmark enabler	Mandal Commission (1980, implemented 1990): 27% OBC reservation in central jobs and education
Barriers	Caste endogamy, gender norms, unequal schooling quality and social networks

What it is: Social mobility is movement of individuals or groups between social positions — upward (a landless labourer's child becoming an officer), downward, or horizontal (changing occupation without changing rank). Sociologists distinguish inter-generational mobility (children doing better than parents) from intra-generational (one person's career rise). In India, the great mobility channels have been education, government reservation, migration to cities, and democratic politics — which let backward castes convert numbers into power. The Mandal Commission's 27% OBC quota, implemented in 1990, was the single biggest state-engineered mobility intervention.

Why it matters: Mobility is the test of whether India's equality promise is real or formal. High mobility legitimises the system; blocked mobility breeds resentment and unrest — from dominant-caste quota agitations to youth anger over joblessness. UPSC frames this as the "equality of opportunity versus equality of outcome" debate: reservation creates mobility for some while others demand it for themselves. The topic is also the bridge between stratification (the structure) and empowerment (the remedy).

Key facts: Studies show mobility in India is real but uneven: education-driven mobility is strongest, while occupational mobility remains constrained by caste networks and the informal economy. Women face a double barrier — gender norms restrict their labour-force entry even when education rises. Urbanisation aids mobility by loosening caste surveillance, but slums and informal work can trap migrants. Inter-generational data suggests a child's prospects still correlate strongly with parental education and caste — the "birth lottery" remains powerful, which is why the state intervenes through quotas, scholarships and skill missions.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on reservation, equality of opportunity, or "is Indian society open or closed?" Prelims may ask about the Mandal Commission's recommendations. The strongest answers quantify (literacy gaps, representation data) and distinguish individual mobility (one officer) from group mobility (a caste's collective rise).

16. 16. Social Capital

Key fact	Detail
Concept	Networks, norms and trust that enable collective action — Bourdieu, Coleman, Putnam
Types	Bonding (within group), bridging (across groups), linking (to institutions/power)
Indian examples	Self-help groups (Kudumbashree, Jeevika), cooperatives, neighbourhood associations
Dark side	Caste and kin networks can exclude outsiders and entrench patronage

What it is: Social capital is the value embedded in social relationships — the trust, norms and networks that let people cooperate for mutual benefit. Robert Putnam's study of Italy showed regions with dense civic networks governed better; James Coleman showed it helps children succeed in school; Pierre Bourdieu treated it as a resource convertible into economic advantage. Sociologists split it three ways: bonding capital (ties within a family or caste), bridging capital (ties across communities), and linking capital (connections to banks, officials and institutions). India is rich in bonding capital and often poor in bridging capital.

Why it matters: Social capital explains why some development programmes succeed and others fail: micro-credit works through SHG trust; disaster relief flows through community networks; but the same networks can become exclusionary cartels. For UPSC, it is the sociological language for "community participation" — the difference between a scheme imposed from above and one owned from below. It also explains resilience: during floods or the pandemic, neighbourhood and religious networks often reached people before the state did.

Key facts: India's flagship examples: Kudumbashree in Kerala (lakhs of women in neighbourhood groups running enterprises), Jeevika in Bihar, and the broader SHG-bank linkage programme covering crores of women. Dairy cooperatives (Amul model) and water-user associations show economic uses. The dark side is equally Indian: caste networks monopolise jobs and contracts, khap panchayats enforce conformity, and patronage networks distribute state benefits as favours. Digital platforms are creating new bridging capital — online professional and interest networks that cut across caste and region.

How UPSC tests it: An emerging Mains theme — questions on SHGs, community participation in governance, or "social capital and development." Prelims is unlikely to test theorists deeply, but the SHG examples double as GS-III material on financial inclusion. Use it to enrich answers on decentralisation, disaster management and women's empowerment.

17. 17. Globalisation & Indian Society

Key fact	Detail
Cultural thesis	Homogenisation (McDonaldization) vs hybridisation — India mostly hybridises: "glocalisation"
Visible changes	Consumerism, food habits, dress, festivals commercialised, English/Hinglish, dating norms
Family impact	Nuclear households, dual careers, but continued filial obligation — "modernity with tradition"
Backlash	Cultural nationalism, moral policing, and assertion of indigenous identities

What it is: Globalisation's cultural face in India is the inflow of Western media, brands, lifestyles and values through television, the internet and migration — layered onto an economy liberalised since 1991. Two theses compete: homogenisation (the world becomes culturally uniform — "McDonaldization") versus hybridisation (global forms get indigenised — McDonald's serving McAloo Tikki). India overwhelmingly illustrates the second: Valentine's Day coexists with Karva Chauth, Hinglish dominates advertising, and yoga went global while pizza got paneer toppings. Sociologists call this glocalisation — the global made local.

Why it matters: Globalisation is the master process behind contemporary social change, and UPSC expects you to trace its fingerprints across family, marriage, consumption and identity. The exam rewards balanced judgment: globalisation expands choices (especially for women and youth) while deepening inequalities (those without English or internet access) and provoking cultural backlash. It is also the standard lens for questions on media, youth and "Western influence on Indian culture."

Key facts: Visible markers: malls and multiplexes, food-delivery and fast fashion, the wedding industry's spectacular commercialisation, and festivals rebranded as consumption events. Family effects include later marriage, acceptance of working women in metros, and "Skype families" spanning continents — alongside persistent expectations of filial duty. The backlash is equally real: moral policing of Valentine's Day, campaigns against "Western" dress, and the political assertion of indigenous cultural pride. Yogendra Singh's framework helps here: Indian tradition selectively adapts rather than simply surrendering.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on globalisation's impact on Indian society, culture, family or women — a recurring theme. The expected structure: economic, cultural and social dimensions; positives and negatives; Indian hybridisation as the conclusion. Prelims rarely tests it directly, but the concept organises answers across GS-I and the essay paper.

Part II: Women, Population & Urbanisation

18. 18. Role of Women in Indian Society

Key fact	Detail
Historical arc	Relative freedom in the early Vedic age, decline in the medieval period, reform from the 19th century
Constitutional base	Articles 14, 15(1), 15(3), 16, 39(a)(d), 42; one-third reservation in panchayats (Articles 243D, 243T)
Reformers	Raja Ram Mohan Roy (sati), Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar (widow remarriage), Savitribai Phule (girls' education)
Current gaps	Sex ratio, literacy gap, low workforce participation, under-representation in legislatures and boardrooms

What it is: The role of women in Indian society has swung across history: early Vedic texts show women as scholars and participants in public debate, the medieval period brought purdah, child marriage and sati, and the 19th-century reform movements — Roy against sati, Vidyasagar for widow remarriage, Savitribai and Jyotiba Phule for girls' schooling — began the long reversal. The Constitution made equality the law of the land, and independent India added political reservation at the grassroots, protective legislation and welfare schemes. Yet the lived reality remains patriarchal: women's work is undervalued, their mobility restricted, and their public roles contested.

Why it matters: Women's status is the single most reliable indicator of a society's development — and UPSC's favourite lens for judging social change. Nearly every society question touches women: as workers, as political actors, as victims of violence, as agents of change. The expected analytical frame is "progress with paradox": constitutional equality coexists with patriarchal practice, rising education coexists with falling workforce participation, and legal rights coexist with weak enforcement.

Key facts: The freedom movement itself was a school of women's mobilisation — from Sarojini Naidu to the women of the Quit India movement. Post-independence milestones: the Hindu Code Bills, the 73rd–74th Amendments reserving one-third of panchayat seats (over a million elected women representatives, the world's largest cohort), and the Nari Shakti Vandan Adhiniyam (2023) reserving one-third of Lok Sabha and assembly seats. Flagship schemes include Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (2015), Mission Shakti, and maternity-benefit expansion. Persistent gaps: the literacy gap, adverse sex ratio, and women's tiny share of property ownership.

How UPSC tests it: A guaranteed Mains theme — "role of women," "women's empowerment," or women in specific spheres (work, politics, education). Prelims tests constitutional articles, reformers and schemes. The winning

structure: historical trajectory, constitutional-legal framework, current status with data, challenges, and way forward — applicable to almost any women-related question.

19. 19. Women's Organisations & Movements

Key fact	Detail
Early organisations	All India Women's Conference (1927); Women's Indian Association (1917)
Grassroots models	SEWA (1972, Ela Bhatt); Chipko's women; anti-arrack movement in Andhra Pradesh (1990s)
Autonomous phase	1970s–80s: Mathura rape case (1979), Shah Bano agitation, dowry-death campaigns
Contemporary wave	#MeToo India (2018), Pinjra Tod, farmers'-protest women's participation, reservation demand fulfilled in 2023

What it is: India's women's movement has grown in waves. The first wave rode the nationalist movement — organisations like the All India Women's Conference (1927) demanded suffrage and social reform. After independence, women's organising went grassroots: Ela Bhatt's SEWA (1972) unionised self-employed women, Chipko's hill women hugged trees to save their forests, and Andhra's anti-arrack movement saw village women shut down liquor shops. The late 1970s produced the autonomous women's movement — independent of parties — galvanised by the Mathura custodial-rape case (1979), dowry deaths and the Shah Bano controversy, winning legal reforms through street pressure and court petitions.

Why it matters: The movement is the reason women's issues are on the policy agenda at all — every protective law from dowry prohibition to workplace-harassment rules was fought for, not gifted. UPSC uses the movement to test understanding of civil society, pressure politics and legal change: how does a social movement convert outrage into legislation? It also illustrates the old-versus-new movement distinction — from mass street agitation to hashtag campaigns — making it perfect crossover material.

Key facts: Landmark outcomes: the Dowry Prohibition Act's strengthening, the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act (2005), the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Act (2013, the "POSH Act" following the Vishaka guidelines of 1997), and criminal-law amendments after the 2012 Delhi gang-rape. #MeToo India (2018) brought workplace harassment into public conversation. The decades-long demand for legislative reservation culminated in the Nari Shakti Vandan Adhiniyam (2023). Persistent critiques: urban-elite bias, fragmentation across caste and religion, and the gap between legal victories and ground-level change.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on the women's movement's achievements and limitations, or on specific campaigns. Prelims asks about AIWC, SEWA and landmark cases. The topic doubles as evidence in any answer on civil society, social movements or gender justice.

20. 20. Gender Issues: Sex Ratio & Missing Women

Key fact	Detail
Child sex ratio 2011	914 girls per 1,000 boys (0–6 years) — the worst recorded, down from 927 in 2001
NFHS-5 findings	Overall sex ratio 1,020 females per 1,000 males; sex ratio at birth 929
"Missing women"	Amartya Sen (1990): over 100 million women "missing" worldwide due to gender bias in survival
Key law	PC-PNDT Act, 1994 bans sex determination and sex-selective abortion

What it is: India's sex-ratio story is a paradox: the overall population sex ratio has improved (NFHS-5 even recorded 1,020 females per 1,000 males, aided by women's longer life expectancy), but the child sex ratio collapsed to 914 in 2011 — the clearest evidence of sex selection before and after birth. Amartya Sen's famous 1990 essay on "missing women" estimated over 100 million women absent worldwide because of unequal nutrition, healthcare and outright

female foeticide and infanticide. The roots are economic and cultural: sons inherit property, perform last rites and support parents, while daughters are seen as a dowry burden — the patriarchal calculus that technology (ultrasound) made lethal.

Why it matters: The sex ratio is the hardest quantitative proof of gender discrimination — it converts patriarchy into a number. UPSC treats it as the entry point to all gender issues: if girls are not allowed to be born, every later discussion of education or employment is built on a missing foundation. The topic also tests policy evaluation: decades of law and schemes have bent the curve only slowly, raising the question of what actually changes son preference.

Key facts: The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques Act (1994, amended 2003) criminalises sex determination; enforcement focuses on clinics and decoy operations. Beti Bachao Beti Padhao (2015) targeted the worst-affected districts with enforcement plus a behaviour-change campaign. Regional patterns matter: the northwest (Haryana, Punjab, Delhi, western UP) historically showed the worst ratios, though recent data shows improvement there. NFHS-5's sex ratio at birth (929) suggests the practice persists but is weakening. Complementary measures — property rights for daughters (2005 succession amendment), old-age pensions reducing dependence on sons, and girls' education — attack the economic logic of son preference.

How UPSC tests it: A classic Mains question: causes and consequences of the declining child sex ratio, or evaluating BBBP. Prelims asks about the PC-PNDT Act, Sen's "missing women" and census/NFHS figures. Always pair the data with the sociological explanation — technology plus patriarchy — for full marks.

21. 21. Women & Work (LFPR)

Key fact	Detail
Female LFPR	PLFS 2023–24: about 41.7% for women 15+ (usual status) — up sharply from ~23% in 2017–18
Quality concern	Much of the rise is self-employment and unpaid family work, not formal salaried jobs
Unpaid care burden	Women do the overwhelming share of domestic and care work, limiting paid-work options
Key protections	Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Act 2017 (26 weeks' paid leave); POSH Act 2013

What it is: Women's labour-force participation is the meeting point of gender norms and economic structure. For decades India puzzled economists: girls' education rose while women's paid work stagnated or fell — the "gender paradox." Recent PLFS data shows a turnaround, with female LFPR climbing to about 41.7% in 2023–24, but the quality caveat is crucial: much of the increase is in self-employment and unpaid work on family farms and enterprises, not in regular salaried jobs. Women remain concentrated in agriculture, construction and domestic work — informal, insecure and poorly paid — while their share of manufacturing and formal services stays low.

Why it matters: Women's work is where empowerment becomes measurable: earnings bring bargaining power in the household, and the IMF and World Bank both link higher female participation to faster GDP growth. For UPSC, the topic fuses gender with the economy — it is simultaneously a GS-I society question and a GS-III employment question. The expected analysis distinguishes participation (are women working?) from conditions (what work, at what pay, with what security?).

Key facts: Barriers are well documented: the unpaid care burden (childcare, eldercare, housework), safety concerns in commuting and workplaces, occupational segregation, and social norms against women working outside the home. Policy responses include the Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Act, 2017 — 26 weeks of paid leave, among the world's most generous — the POSH Act, 2013 against workplace harassment, crèche provisions, and SHG-based livelihood programmes (DAY-NRLM). The care economy is the emerging frontier: investing in childcare and eldercare both creates women's jobs and frees women's time. The gender pay gap persists across sectors, widest in informal work.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on declining/female LFPR, the care economy, or "women's empowerment through employment." Prelims may ask about the Maternity Benefit Act's provisions or PLFS as the data source. Strong answers use the participation-versus-quality distinction and end with care infrastructure as the way forward.

22. 22. Population: Demographic Trends (Census 2011)

Key fact	Detail
Total population	121.09 crore (2011) — India has since become the world's most populous country
Growth rate	17.7% decadal growth (2001–11), the sharpest slowdown since independence
Sex ratio & literacy	943 females per 1,000 males; literacy 74.04% (male 82.1%, female 65.5%)
Fertility now	Total Fertility Rate 2.0 (NFHS-5, 2019–21) — at replacement level nationally

What it is: Census 2011, India's fifteenth census, captured a country in demographic transition: 121.09 crore people, but decadal growth down to 17.7% — the steepest fall since independence — as fertility declined. The sex ratio improved to 943, literacy reached 74.04% (though the male–female gap stayed wide), and population density hit 382 persons per sq km. Since then, NFHS-5 (2019–21) recorded a Total Fertility Rate of 2.0 — replacement level nationally — and India overtook China as the world's most populous country. The long-delayed Census 2021 (now overdue) leaves policymakers working with projections, a data gap UPSC notices.

Why it matters: Population numbers underpin everything: constituency delimitation, fund devolution, reservation quotas, and scheme targeting all rest on census data. The demographic transition — from high birth/high death to low birth/low death — is the master trend behind the dividend, ageing and migration topics. UPSC expects you to read population data sociologically: what does a falling TFR mean for schools today and pensions tomorrow? The delayed census is itself an exam-worthy governance issue.

Key facts: The transition is uneven: southern and western states are below replacement fertility while Bihar and UP remain above it — creating a demographic divide with political consequences (the delimitation debate). Urbanisation stood at 31.16%, the 0–6 sex ratio alarmed at 914, and Scheduled Castes (16.6%) and Scheduled Tribes (8.6%) were enumerated for targeted policy. The National Population Policy (2000) had set stabilisation goals; coercive history (the Emergency-era sterilisation drive) makes India officially committed to voluntary, rights-based family planning. SRS data tracks births, deaths and maternal mortality between censuses.

How UPSC tests it: Prelims loves census figures — population, growth rate, sex ratio, literacy, density. Mains asks about the demographic transition's implications or the delayed census. Memorise the four headline numbers (121.09 crore, 17.7%, 943, 74.04%) and the TFR 2.0 update.

23. 23. Demographic Dividend

Key fact	Detail
Definition	Economic growth boost from a rising share of working-age people and falling dependency ratio
India's window	Median age under 30; working-age share above 60% — the window lasts roughly till mid-century
Conditions	Education, skills, jobs and health — dividend becomes disaster without them
Warning sign	Jobless growth and low female LFPR mean the dividend is not automatic

What it is: The demographic dividend is the growth bonus a country earns when its working-age population (15–64) swells relative to children and the elderly: more earners, more savers, fewer dependants. India is in the sweet spot — median age under 30, working-age share above 60% — with the window expected to stay open till around mid-century. But the dividend is a potential, not a guarantee. East Asia converted it through universal education, manufacturing jobs and women's employment; Latin America and parts of Africa show what happens without those — a youth bulge of unemployment and unrest.

Why it matters: This is the hinge of India's development narrative — the reason investors and policymakers call demography India's greatest asset. UPSC frames it as a now-or-never opportunity: the same cohort that can power

growth will, if left unskilled and jobless, become a source of crime, extremism and political instability. The topic connects population (GS-I) to employment and skill policy (GS-III), making it a premium crossover answer.

Key facts: Cashing the dividend needs four simultaneous investments: quality school education (learning outcomes, not just enrolment — see ASER), vocational skills (Skill India mission), job creation (manufacturing, services, entrepreneurship), and health (a malnourished child cannot become a productive worker). Female participation is the multiplier: bringing women into the workforce effectively doubles the dividend. State-level divergence matters — the south ages faster while the north stays young, so the dividend's geography is uneven. China's one-child dividend is now reversing into an ageing burden, a cautionary mirror.

How UPSC tests it: A Mains staple: "demographic dividend — opportunity or threat?" Prelims may ask the definition or the dependency-ratio logic. The expected answer structure: define, quantify India's window, list the four conditions with schemes, warn with the East Asia versus Latin America contrast, and conclude with urgency.

24. 24. Ageing Population in India

Key fact	Detail
Scale	About 1 in 10 Indians is 60+ today; the share is projected to nearly double by mid-century
Causes	Falling fertility plus rising life expectancy — the flip side of the demographic transition
Key law	Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007
Support schemes	NSAP pensions (IGNOAPS), Atal Pension Yojana, PM Vaya Vandana Yojana, Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana

What it is: Ageing is the quiet counterpart of the dividend: as Indians have fewer children and live longer, the share of people aged 60 and above — roughly one in ten today — will nearly double by mid-century, and southern states will get there first. Ageing brings a predictable set of needs: income security after retirement, healthcare for chronic disease, protection from neglect and abuse, and dignity in living arrangements. India's traditional answer was the joint family; with nuclearisation and migration, that answer is thinning, and the state and market have only partly filled the gap.

Why it matters: Ageing converts today's youth-bulge debate into tomorrow's pension-and-care debate — UPSC rewards candidates who think in these time horizons. It is also a gender issue (older women are likelier to be widowed, poor and property-less) and a fiscal issue (pensions and health spending). The policy question is stark: India is ageing before it gets rich, unlike the West, so it must build eldercare systems at lower income levels.

Key facts: The Longitudinal Ageing Study in India (LASI) provides the first comprehensive data on older Indians' health, work and wellbeing. The 2007 Maintenance Act makes children legally responsible for parents' upkeep, with tribunals for speedy relief — though awareness and enforcement lag. Pension coverage is thin: the National Social Assistance Programme's old-age pension reaches only BPL elderly at modest amounts, while Atal Pension Yojana targets unorganised workers. Elder abuse — by family or caregivers — is under-reported but documented; old-age homes are growing in cities, still stigmatised. Geriatric healthcare (NPHCE) remains patchy.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on the challenges of an ageing population or evaluating eldercare policy. Prelims may ask about the 2007 Act or NSAP components. Link it to family change (topic 7) and social security for a layered answer.

25. 25. Migration: Internal & International

Key fact	Detail
Internal scale	Census 2011 recorded about 45 crore internal migrants; inter-state flows run into millions yearly
Corridors	UP, Bihar, MP and Rajasthan → Delhi, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Punjab; Northeast → metros

International	India is the world's largest recipient of remittances (over \$100 billion annually); large Gulf diaspora
COVID lesson	The 2020 lockdown exodus exposed migrants' lack of portability in welfare and housing

What it is: Migration is India's great churning: about 45 crore people counted as internal migrants in 2011, moving for work, marriage, education and distress. The dominant stream is rural-to-urban male labour migration — construction workers, street vendors, domestic workers — following corridors from UP, Bihar and MP to Delhi, Mumbai, Surat and Ludhiana. Internationally, India has the world's largest diaspora: Gulf workers sending remittances home, and professionals in the US, UK and Canada. The 2020 lockdown's heartbreaking reverse migration — millions walking home — revealed that migrants live in cities without belonging to them: no housing security, no portable ration, no vote where they work.

Why it matters: Migration is development in motion — it moves labour to opportunity and money back to villages — but it also concentrates vulnerability. UPSC frames migration as a governance test: can welfare follow the worker? The topic links urbanisation (migrants build the cities), labour rights (informal work), and federalism (who is responsible for a migrant — home state or host?). Remittances, meanwhile, make migration a macroeconomic force.

Key facts: Push factors: agrarian distress, landlessness, lack of local jobs; pull factors: urban wages, construction booms, education. Women migrate mostly for marriage (the largest single category), masking their economic migration. Policy responses since 2020: One Nation One Ration Card for food portability, affordable rental housing complexes for urban migrants, e-Shram registration of unorganised workers, and state-run migrant welfare boards. Internationally, the Gulf's kafala reforms, student migration debates, and diaspora diplomacy (Pravasi Bharatiya Divas) keep emigration in the news. Distress migration from climate-hit regions is the emerging frontier.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on migration's causes and consequences, migrant workers' rights, or urbanisation's labour base. Prelims may ask about remittance rankings or ONORC. The 2020 exodus is the standard contemporary illustration — pair it with portability reforms for a complete answer.

26. 26. Urbanisation: Trends & Issues

Key fact	Detail
Level (2011)	31.16% urban — about 37.7 crore people; among the world's least urbanised large economies
Projection	Expected to approach 40%+ by the 2030s; urban India will add crores of residents
Census towns	Thousands of new "census towns" — urban in character but still governed as villages
Core issues	Housing, water, transport, waste, air pollution and weak municipal governance

What it is: Urbanisation is the shift of population and economic activity from villages to towns and cities. India urbanises slowly by global standards — 31.16% in 2011 — but the absolute numbers are staggering, and much growth is "invisible": thousands of census towns that are urban economically yet rural administratively, missing out on urban funds and planning. Indian urbanisation is also top-heavy — a few mega-cities absorb most growth — and informal: migrants build the city while living in slums and working without contracts. The result is cities that generate most of GDP while struggling to provide water, transport and breathable air.

Why it matters: Urbanisation concentrates both opportunity and inequality, making it the spatial expression of every development debate. UPSC treats it as a governance challenge: the 74th Amendment promised empowered municipalities, but parastatal agencies, weak mayors and starved finances hollowed the promise. Every urban question — smart cities, slums, pollution, transport — traces back to this institutional gap. The census-town phenomenon is the examiner's favourite twist: urbanisation without urban governance.

Key facts: Drivers: rural distress pushing migrants out, industrial and service jobs pulling them in, and the reclassification of large villages. Consequences span housing shortages (millions of units), traffic congestion, groundwater depletion, untreated sewage, and some of the world's worst air pollution. The 74th Constitutional

Amendment (1992) mandated elected municipalities, ward committees and metropolitan planning — implementation remains patchy, with municipal corporations controlling only a fraction of city functions. Urban poverty, often underestimated, affects roughly a quarter of city dwellers by some measures.

How UPSC tests it: A Mains regular — trends, problems and remedies of urbanisation, or evaluating the 74th Amendment. Prelims may ask the 2011 urbanisation figure or what a census town is. Structure answers around the governance deficit: money, functions and functionaries (the classic "3Fs") denied to municipalities.

27. 27. Smart Cities & Urban Governance

Key fact	Detail
Mission	Smart Cities Mission (2015): 100 cities selected through competition for area-based development
Model	Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs) implement projects; emphasis on ICT, transport, water and public space
Companion schemes	AMRUT (basic services), PMAY-Urban (housing), Swachh Bharat Urban (sanitation)
Governance critique	SPVs bypass elected municipalities; weak mayors; parastatal agencies fragment city management

What it is: The Smart Cities Mission (2015) picked 100 cities through a competitive process to demonstrate "smart" urban development — area-based retrofitting plus pan-city ICT solutions: intelligent transport, sensor-based water and waste management, and revitalised public spaces. Projects run through Special Purpose Vehicles, corporate-style bodies meant to cut through municipal inefficiency. Companion missions cover the basics: AMRUT for water and sewerage, PMAY-Urban for housing, Swachh Bharat for sanitation. Together they represent the largest central push into urban policy since the 74th Amendment.

Why it matters: Smart cities are UPSC's case study in the tension between technocratic efficiency and democratic governance. Supporters cite visible upgrades — waterfronts, command centres, LED street-lighting; critics argue SPVs sideline elected councils, favour elite enclaves over slums, and that "smart" sensors cannot substitute for the unglamorous work of pipes and drains. The debate tests whether you can evaluate a flagship scheme beyond its press releases.

Key facts: Implementation has been uneven: many cities missed deadlines, and spending skewed toward a few showcase projects. The governance critique runs deeper — India's mayors are ceremonially weak (short tenures, no executive power), municipalities depend on state governments for funds and staff, and parastatals (development authorities, water boards, transport corporations) split accountability. Reform proposals recur: directly elected empowered mayors, municipal bond markets, property-tax reform, and metropolitan planning committees with teeth. The National Urban Digital Mission and urban livelihood missions (DAY-NULM) extend the agenda to governance technology and the urban poor.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions evaluating the Smart Cities Mission or diagnosing urban governance failure. Prelims may ask the mission's launch year or its SPV model. The high-scoring move: judge smart cities against the 74th Amendment's vision of self-governing municipalities.

28. 28. Slums & Urban Poverty

Key fact	Detail
Scale	About 6.5 crore people lived in slums (Census 2011) — roughly 1 in 6 urban Indians
Definition	Dense settlements lacking durable housing, water, sanitation and secure tenure
Flagship scheme	PMAY-Urban (2015): "Housing for All" via beneficiary-led construction, partnerships and in-situ redevelopment
Core problem	Tenure insecurity — without land titles, slum dwellers cannot invest or access credit

What it is: Slums are the shadow of urbanisation: dense,underserved settlements where migrants and the urban poor live without secure tenure, adequate water, sanitation or durable housing. Census 2011 counted about 6.5 crore slum dwellers, and the real number — including unrecognised settlements — is higher. Slums are not merely a housing problem; they concentrate health risks (overcrowding, poor sanitation), educational disadvantage and vulnerability to eviction. Yet they are also economies: Dharavi in Mumbai houses thousands of micro-enterprises, and most slum residents are the workers who keep the city running.

Why it matters: Slums expose the exclusion at the heart of urban growth — the city needs the labour but denies the living space. UPSC frames slum policy as a test of inclusive urbanisation: eviction-led "beautification" versus in-situ upgrading with tenure rights. The topic connects housing to health, education, livelihood and dignity, rewarding answers that treat the slum as a neighbourhood to be improved rather than an eyesore to be cleared.

Key facts: PMAY-Urban (2015) offers four tracks: beneficiary-led individual house construction, affordable housing in partnership, credit-linked subsidy, and in-situ slum redevelopment using land as a resource. Progress has been real but slow, with redevelopment stalled by land disputes and builder viability. Global and Indian experience converges on one lesson: secure tenure unlocks everything else — once residents cannot be evicted, they invest in homes, access credit and demand services. Notified versus non-notified slums matters: only recognised settlements get services, leaving millions officially invisible. Eviction drives before mega-events remain a recurring controversy.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on urban poverty, housing policy or inclusive cities. Prelims may ask about PMAY-U's components or the census slum figure. Anchor answers in the tenure-security argument and the in-situ versus relocation debate.

29. 29. Rural-Urban Divide

Key fact	Detail
The gap	Cities lead in income, health, education, infrastructure and digital access; villages lag on all counts
Bridging schemes	BharatNet (rural broadband), PMAY-Gramin, MGNREGA, PMGSY (rural roads), DAY-NRLM
Rurban idea	Shyama Prasad Mukherji Rurban Mission (2016): urban amenities in rural clusters
New dynamic	Reverse migration, rural e-commerce and work-from-home are blurring the boundary

What it is: The rural-urban divide is the systematic gap in living standards between India's villages and cities — in incomes, schools, hospitals, roads, electricity quality, and now internet access. The divide drives migration, shapes politics (rural distress versus urban aspiration), and concentrates poverty in the countryside. But the boundary is blurring: census towns, peri-urban sprawl, rural e-commerce, and migrants carrying urban earnings home mean "rural" and "urban" are increasingly a continuum rather than a wall. Policy has responded with "rurban" thinking — delivering urban-grade amenities to rural clusters instead of forcing everyone to migrate.

Why it matters: The divide is the geographic face of inequality, and closing it is the core promise of balanced regional development. UPSC uses it to test whether schemes actually reach the last mile: a road (PMGSY), a house (PMAY-G), a job guarantee (MGNREGA) and a broadband cable (BharatNet) are all divide-bridging instruments. The topic also disciplines romanticism about villages — the question is not village versus city but decent living standards in both.

Key facts: Health and education gaps are starkest: specialist doctors cluster in cities, and rural schools struggle with teacher vacancies and learning outcomes. The digital divide overlays the old one — urban teledensity far exceeds rural, and the gender gap in internet use is widest in villages. MGNREGA's 100 days of wage employment remains the flagship rural safety net; DAY-NRLM organises rural women into SHGs for livelihoods. Reverse migration during COVID-19 briefly narrowed the divide in attention if not in fact. Aspirational Districts and the Rurban Mission target the most backward regions and clusters respectively.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on regional imbalance, rural development strategy, or migration's roots. Prelims asks about the bridging schemes and their launch years. Effective answers quantify one gap (e.g., health infrastructure), name two schemes, and discuss the continuum idea.

30. 30. Youth & Society

Key fact	Detail
Scale	India has the world's largest youth cohort — roughly two-thirds of the population is under 35
Policy frame	National Youth Policy (2014): education, employment, health, civic engagement and sports
Key anxieties	Unemployment, skill mismatch, exam-paper leaks, drug abuse and mental-health stress
Civic face	NSS, NYKS, youth in protests and electoral politics — energy that can build or disrupt

What it is: India's youth — hundreds of millions under 35 — are simultaneously its greatest asset and its most restless constituency. They are more educated, more connected and more aspirational than any previous generation, but they face an economy that does not create enough good jobs, an education system fixated on rote marks, and intense competition that fuels coaching factories, exam stress and, at the extreme, student suicides. Youth politics swings between constructive engagement (volunteering, startups, electoral participation) and disruptive expression (campus agitations, exam-leak protests, substance abuse).

Why it matters: Youth is where the demographic dividend is won or lost — every abstract debate about jobs and skills lands on this generation's lived experience. UPSC treats youth as a social category with distinct problems: the question is never just "unemployment" but unemployment plus aspiration plus idleness, a volatile mix. The topic also tests policy imagination: what does a youth-centred development model look like beyond slogans?

Key facts: The National Youth Policy (2014) defines youth as 15–29 and targets education, employment, health and participation; a revised policy has been long discussed. NSS (National Service Scheme) and Nehru Yuva Kendras channel volunteer energy. Flashpoints examiners cite: the Agniveer debate on military recruitment, paper-leak scandals shattering trust in exams, the coaching-hub tragedies, and rising reporting of anxiety and depression among students. Positive markers: India's startup boom, youth-led social campaigns, and high first-time voter enthusiasm. Drug abuse among youth (see topic 45) and the skill gap (only a fraction of graduates deemed employable) complete the picture.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on youth unrest, unemployment, or the demographic dividend's human face. Prelims rarely tests it directly. The strongest answers connect youth to jobs (GS-III), education (GS-II) and social stability — the full circle of the dividend argument.

31. 31. Children in India: Key Issues

Key fact	Detail
Share	Nearly 2 in 5 Indians are under 18 — childhood policy shapes the country's future
Protection laws	POCSO Act 2012 (sexual offences); Juvenile Justice Act 2015; RTE Act 2009 (education)
Persistent problems	Malnutrition, child labour (1.01 crore, Census 2011), child marriage (23.3%, NFHS-5)
Policy anchor	National Policy for Children (2013); Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS)

What it is: Children — nearly 40% of India's population — face a stacked set of deprivations: malnutrition that stunts bodies and minds, child labour that steals schooling, child marriage that ends childhood, and abuse that often goes unreported. The legal architecture is extensive: the Right to Education Act (2009) guarantees free schooling from 6 to 14, the POCSO Act (2012) created a dedicated regime against child sexual abuse, the Juvenile Justice Act (2015) reformed care and adoption, and the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment (2016) banned work under 14. Implementation, however, runs through underfunded anganwadis, overburdened child-welfare committees and patchy policing.

Why it matters: Childhood is where inequality hardens into destiny — a stunted, out-of-school child becomes an unskilled adult, transmitting poverty across generations. UPSC treats child issues as the moral and economic core of social policy: the "first 1,000 days" of nutrition determine lifetime potential, and every year of schooling raises future earnings. The topic demands the rights-based frame: children as rights-holders, not objects of charity.

Key facts: NFHS-5: 35.5% of under-5 children stunted, 19.3% wasted; anaemia affects most young children. Census 2011 counted 1.01 crore child labourers aged 5–14, concentrated in agriculture and family enterprises. NFHS-5 found 23.3% of women aged 20–24 married before 18. POSHAN Abhiyaan (2018) targets malnutrition convergence; PM-POSHAN (mid-day meals) keeps children in school; Mission Vatsalya funds child protection. Birth registration, immunisation (Mission Indradhanush) and early-childhood education (NEP 2020's foundational stage) are the quieter frontlines.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on child labour, malnutrition or child protection regimes. Prelims asks about the Acts and their years. Data from NFHS-5 and Census 2011 are expected; pair each problem with its law and scheme for a complete answer.

32. 32. Elderly Care & Social Security

Key fact	Detail
Legal duty	Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 — children must support parents
Pension schemes	NSAP old-age pension; Atal Pension Yojana (unorganised workers); PM Vaya Vandana Yojana
Health support	National Programme for Health Care of the Elderly (NPHCE); Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana (assistive devices)
Hidden crisis	Elder abuse and neglect are widespread but under-reported; old-age homes carry stigma

What it is: Elderly care in India is caught between a fading tradition and an incomplete modern system. The joint family once guaranteed old-age support; nuclearisation, migration and women's employment have eroded it, while state support remains thin — small pensions, patchy geriatric healthcare, and a 2007 law that makes maintenance a legal duty but is weakly enforced. Social security for the elderly thus rests on three shaky pillars: family (shrinking), savings (unequal — most elderly worked informally), and the state (modest). The result: millions of elderly, especially widows, living in poverty, poor health and loneliness.

Why it matters: How a society treats its elderly measures its civilisation — and with ageing accelerating, this is a fast-growing policy frontier. UPSC links it to family change, women's burden (daughters and daughters-in-law provide most unpaid eldercare), and fiscal planning. The topic rewards compassionate, concrete answers: the examiner wants to see that you grasp both the human cost and the institutional fix.

Key facts: The 2007 Act provides maintenance tribunals and penalises abandonment; awareness remains low. NSAP's Indira Gandhi National Old Age Pension Scheme pays a modest monthly sum to BPL elderly; Atal Pension Yojana builds a contributory pension for unorganised workers; PMVVY offers assured pension for senior citizens. LASI data documents high chronic-disease burden and significant elder mistreatment. Rashtriya Vayoshri Yojana distributes assistive devices (hearing aids, wheelchairs) to BPL elderly. Feminisation of ageing matters: older women outlive men but own less property and face higher widowhood poverty.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on ageing challenges or social-security gaps. Prelims may ask about the 2007 Act or scheme names. Connect to the demographic transition (topic 22) and family change (topic 7) for depth.

33. 33. LGBTQ+ Rights & Social Change

Key fact	Detail
NALSA (2014)	Supreme Court recognised transgender persons as a third gender with fundamental rights

Navtej (2018)	Section 377 read down — consensual same-sex relations decriminalised
Supriyo (2023)	Court declined to legalise same-sex marriage, leaving it to Parliament
Statute	Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 — criticised for weak enforcement

What it is: LGBTQ+ rights in India trace a remarkable legal arc: from criminalisation under the colonial Section 377, to the NALSA judgment (2014) recognising transgender persons' rights, to Navtej Singh Johar (2018) decriminalising consensual same-sex relations, to Supriyo (2023), where a five-judge bench declined to recognise same-sex marriage but affirmed queer persons' right to dignity and directed the state against discrimination. Social acceptance lags the law: families, workplaces and schools remain sites of stigma, bullying and "conversion" pressures, though urban youth attitudes are shifting and Pride marches now dot the calendar.

Why it matters: The LGBTQ+ journey is UPSC's clearest case study of law-led social change — courts moving ahead of society, then society catching up unevenly. It tests constitutional values (equality, dignity, privacy — Puttaswamy 2017) against social conservatism, and it exemplifies how rights expand: decriminalisation first, then anti-discrimination, with marriage equality pending. The topic rewards answers that are legally precise and socially empathetic.

Key facts: The Transgender Persons Act (2019) provides for identity certificates, welfare measures and penalties for abuse, but activists criticise its certification process and weak implementation. Practical gaps dominate: employment discrimination, housing denial, school bullying, lack of gender-neutral facilities, and healthcare insensitivity. Some states (Kerala, Tamil Nadu) lead with welfare boards and reservations in education. Globally, India's trajectory mirrors the pattern of judicial leadership followed by slow legislative action. Workplace inclusion policies by major companies show the private sector moving faster than the state in places.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on the judgments, on law versus social acceptance, or on rights of transgender persons. Prelims asks about NALSA, Navtej and the 2019 Act. Frame answers around the dignity–equality–privacy trilogy and the unfinished agenda of anti-discrimination law.

Part III: Social Issues, Movements & Change

34. 34. Poverty: Social Dimensions

Key fact	Detail
Beyond income	Multidimensional Poverty Index: health, education and living-standard deprivations together
National MPI fall	NITI Aayog: about 24.82 crore people escaped multidimensional poverty between 2013–14 and 2022–23
Social gradient	SCs, STs, Muslims, women-headed and landless households face the highest deprivation
Capability lens	Amartya Sen: poverty is deprivation of capabilities — what people can actually be and do

What it is: Poverty is not just low income — it is hunger, illiteracy, unsafe housing, no healthcare, and the social exclusion that locks families into all of these at once. The Multidimensional Poverty Index captures this by measuring overlapping deprivations in health, education and living standards. In India, poverty has a clear social address: Scheduled Tribes, Scheduled Castes, religious minorities, women-headed households and the landless are disproportionately poor, and the poorest states (Bihar, UP, MP, Jharkhand) overlap with the most deprived social groups. Amartya Sen's capability approach gives the theory: poverty is the lack of real freedoms — to be nourished, educated, healthy and dignified.

Why it matters: The social dimension explains why economic growth alone does not end poverty: a Dalit landless labourer and an upper-caste farmer may face the same slowdown very differently. UPSC expects poverty answers to go beyond headcount ratios to the sociology of deprivation — who is poor, why poverty persists across generations, and what breaks the cycle. It is also the foundation for evaluating every anti-poverty scheme from MGNREGA to food security.

Key facts: Measurement history runs from the Tendulkar Committee (2009) to the Rangarajan Committee (2014) to the national MPI, computed by NITI Aayog with UNDP-OPHI methodology. The headline MPI result — headcount down from 29.17% to 11.28% over nine years — is the government's showcase achievement, though economists debate methodology. Persistent features: rural poverty exceeds urban, female-headed households are more vulnerable, and inter-generational transmission operates through malnutrition, school dropout and early marriage. Social exclusion — denial of wells, temples, jobs — adds a humiliation dimension no income line captures.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on poverty's causes, measurement debates, or "growth versus poverty reduction." Prelims asks about the committees and MPI methodology. The strongest answers pair one measurement fact with one social-exclusion illustration.

35. 35. Inequality in India

Key fact	Detail
Two inequalities	Income/consumption inequality (moderate) vs wealth inequality (extreme) — wealth is far more concentrated
Wealth concentration	The top 10% hold a majority of the country's wealth; the bottom half holds only a small share
Social layering	Inequality tracks caste, gender, religion and region — not just class
Policy tools	Progressive taxation, DBT, public education and health, land and labour reforms

What it is: Inequality in India has two faces that must never be confused. Consumption inequality — what people spend — is moderate by global standards (the Gini is relatively low). Wealth inequality — who owns assets — is extreme: the top tenth owns most of the country's wealth while the bottom half owns a sliver, a concentration that has sharpened since liberalisation. And inequality is social, not merely economic: Dalits and Adivasis own far less land and capital, women own a fraction of property, and some regions and communities lag systematically. Growth has lifted absolute living standards while stretching relative gaps.

Why it matters: Inequality is the shadow of growth — and UPSC's central question about the post-1991 economy. High inequality corrodes the promise of equal citizenship: it buys better schooling, healthcare and political access, transmitting advantage across generations. The topic forces the efficiency-versus-equity judgment: how much redistribution can a developing economy afford, and through what instruments? It is the bridge between GS-I (social justice) and GS-III (inclusive growth).

Key facts: The World Inequality Lab and Oxfam's annual reports supply the headline numbers on wealth concentration; the Periodic Labour Force Survey and consumption surveys track the income side. Drivers: unequal asset ownership (land, capital), skill premiums in a services-led economy, regressive indirect taxes, and social barriers that keep marginalised groups in low-productivity work. Correctives debated: progressive direct taxation (India's tax-to-GDP remains low), inheritance-tax proposals, universal basic services (health, education), DBT plugging leakages, and land-record modernisation. The K-shaped recovery discourse after COVID-19 made inequality a mainstream political issue.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on inequality's trends, causes and remedies, or "is growth inclusive?" Prelims may ask about Gini or the agencies reporting inequality. Always distinguish wealth from income inequality — examiners specifically reward that precision.

36. 36. Hunger & Malnutrition

Key fact	Detail
Global Hunger Index 2023	India ranked 111 of 125, score 28.7 — "serious" hunger level

NFHS-5 (2019–21)	Under-5: 35.5% stunted, 19.3% wasted, 32.1% underweight; 57% of women anaemic
Paradox	Food-grain surplus and overflowing granaries coexist with widespread undernutrition
Flagship responses	NFSA 2013 (5 kg grain/person); POSHAN Abhiyaan 2018; ICDS; PM-POSHAN mid-day meals

What it is: Hunger in India is not about food availability — granaries overflow — but about access: poverty, gender discrimination in intra-household food distribution, poor sanitation (which causes infections that waste nutrients), and weak last-mile delivery. Malnutrition takes three measurable forms: stunting (low height — chronic undernutrition), wasting (low weight-for-height — acute), and underweight, plus the hidden hunger of anaemia and micronutrient deficiency affecting most women and children. The first 1,000 days from conception to age two determine a child's cognitive and physical potential — damage done then is largely irreversible.

Why it matters: Malnutrition is the biological foundation of inequality: a stunted child learns less, earns less and raises stunted children. UPSC treats it as the ultimate test of governance — if a food-surplus country cannot feed its children, something is systemically wrong. The topic connects agriculture (production), economics (purchasing power), gender (maternal health, feeding practices) and sanitation (Swachh Bharat's nutrition link) — a full-syllabus integrator.

Key facts: The National Food Security Act (2013) covers about two-thirds of the population with subsidised grain; the PM Garib Kalyan Anna Yojana extended free grain through and beyond the pandemic. POSHAN Abhiyaan (2018) aims at convergence across anganwadis, health and sanitation; ICDS remains the delivery backbone; PM-POSHAN (mid-day meals) feeds schoolchildren. The GHI rank sparked methodological controversy — the government disputes the methodology — but NFHS-5's own numbers confirm the crisis. Anaemia's rise despite supplementation programmes points to dietary diversity and absorption issues, not just iron tablets.

How UPSC tests it: A Mains favourite: causes of malnutrition despite food surplus, or evaluating POSHAN/NFSA. Prelims asks about the Acts, GHI publisher (Concern Worldwide/Welthungerhilfe) and NFHS indicators. The "surplus yet hungry" paradox is the mandatory opening line.

37. 37. Education & Social Change

Key fact	Detail
Landmark law	Right to Education Act, 2009: free, compulsory schooling for ages 6–14
New framework	National Education Policy, 2020: 5+3+3+4 structure, foundational literacy, GER 100% by 2030
Learning crisis	ASER reports: many primary children cannot read grade-level text or do basic arithmetic
Mobility engine	Education is the strongest channel of social mobility — but quality gaps mirror class and caste

What it is: Education is society's great transformer: it moves individuals up the class ladder, spreads new values (gender equality, scientific temper), and creates the skilled workforce development needs. India has expanded access dramatically — near-universal primary enrolment, the RTE Act (2009), and girls' enrolment now matching boys' at most levels. But the learning crisis shadows the success: ASER surveys repeatedly find children in school but not learning, with vast gaps between private and government schools, urban and rural, rich and poor. NEP 2020 attempts the pivot from access to quality — foundational literacy and numeracy, mother-tongue instruction, vocational integration.

Why it matters: Education sits at the centre of the mobility-inequality-democracy triangle: it is the main legitimate route upward, the main reproducer of privilege (elite schools versus failing ones), and the main builder of citizenship. UPSC questions on social change, gender, or the demographic dividend all run through education. The access-versus-learning distinction is the analytical key examiners look for.

Key facts: The Kothari Commission (1964–66) set the 6%-of-GDP education-spending goal India still misses (spending hovers around 3–4%). RTE's 25% reservation for disadvantaged children in private schools was a bold inclusion experiment with mixed implementation. Higher education expanded massively but faces quality and employability

questions. Girls' education has been revolutionised by schemes (Beti Bachao Beti Padhao, scholarships, bicycles, toilets in schools) — yet dropout spikes at secondary level. Digital education, accelerated by COVID-19, promises reach but deepens the device-and-connectivity divide.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on education and social change, NEP 2020 evaluation, or the learning crisis. Prelims asks about RTE's age group, NEP's structure and the Kothari Commission. Pair enrolment data with ASER learning data for the complete picture.

38. 38. Health & Society

Key fact	Detail
Social determinants	Income, caste, gender, education and sanitation shape health more than hospitals do
Key indicators	IMR 28 (SRS 2020); MMR 97 per lakh live births (SRS 2018–20); life expectancy around 67–70 years
Flagship scheme	Ayushman Bharat PM-JAY (2018): ₹5 lakh annual cover per family for secondary/tertiary care
Structural problem	High out-of-pocket spending pushes crores into poverty; public health spending is low

What it is: Health is profoundly social: a Dalit woman in rural Bihar and an upper-class man in Delhi inhabit different epidemiological worlds — different nutrition, different exposure to disease, different access to doctors. The "social determinants of health" framework (income, education, gender, caste, housing, sanitation) explains why medical care alone cannot fix health. India carries a double burden: unfinished infectious-disease and maternal-child challenges alongside rising non-communicable diseases (diabetes, hypertension, cancer). The National Health Policy (2017) and Ayushman Bharat (2018) — PM-JAY insurance plus Health and Wellness Centres — are the big public responses.

Why it matters: Health illustrates every social cleavage at once — gender (maternal mortality, anaemia), class (out-of-pocket catastrophe), caste (access discrimination), region (BIMARU versus southern states). COVID-19 made the sociology of health unmissable: migrants, informal workers and the digitally excluded suffered most. UPSC expects health answers to integrate the medical with the social, not merely list schemes.

Key facts: SRS data shows steady improvement: infant mortality down to 28, maternal mortality to 97 — though both remain high by middle-income standards and vary sharply by state (Kerala versus UP is a different universe). Out-of-pocket spending, while declining, still drives medical impoverishment; public health expenditure stays well below the NHP's 2.5%-of-GDP target. PM-JAY covers over 55 crore beneficiaries for hospitalisation, but primary care — Health and Wellness Centres — is where prevention happens. Gender gaps persist: women's health is neglected beyond maternity, and mental health remains stigmatised and under-resourced.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on health as a social issue, evaluating Ayushman Bharat, or social determinants. Prelims asks about NHP targets, PM-JAY cover and SRS indicators. The determinants framework plus one state-contrast example makes a complete answer.

39. 39. Social Empowerment

Key fact	Detail
Meaning	Giving marginalised groups the resources, rights and voice to shape their own lives
Dimensions	Economic (livelihoods, assets), political (representation), social (dignity, education, health)
Instruments	Reservation, scholarships, SHGs, Stand-Up India, PM Vishwakarma (2023), DAY-NRLM
Measure	Not just scheme coverage but real agency — mobility, voice and freedom from discrimination

What it is: Social empowerment — a phrase straight from the GS-I syllabus — means moving marginalised groups (SCs, STs, OBCs, women, minorities, the disabled) from dependence to agency: the resources to earn, the rights to

claim, and the voice to be heard. It has three dimensions: economic (jobs, assets, credit), political (representation from panchayats to Parliament), and social (education, dignity, freedom from discrimination). Schemes are instruments, not the goal — a scholarship empowers only if it leads to learning and livelihood; a reserved seat empowers only if the representative can actually exercise power rather than serve as a proxy.

Why it matters: Empowerment is the normative core of the entire society syllabus — the "so what" behind every discussion of inequality. UPSC uses it as the evaluative standard: don't just list schemes, ask whether they empower. The concept disciplines answer-writing: it forces you to distinguish welfare (giving things) from empowerment (building agency), and to confront uncomfortable evidence like proxy sarpanches or scholarship leakages.

Key facts: The instrument kit: constitutional reservation in legislatures, jobs and education; pre- and post-matric scholarships; SHG-based livelihoods (DAY-NRLM covering crores of rural women); Stand-Up India loans for SC/ST and women entrepreneurs; PM Vishwakarma (2023) for traditional artisans; and political reservation that put over a million women in panchayats. The disability dimension advanced with the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016). Critiques recur: benefits captured by elites within target groups ("creamy layer" debates), patchy implementation, and empowerment measured by disbursal rather than outcomes.

How UPSC tests it: Direct Mains questions on the meaning and measures of social empowerment, or "has reservation empowered?" Prelims asks about the schemes. The welfare-versus-empowerment distinction is the expected analytical move.

40.40. Communal Riots

Key fact	Detail
Major episodes	Partition (1947), anti-Sikh violence (1984), Babri aftermath (1992–93), Gujarat (2002), Muzaffarnagar (2013), Delhi (2020)
Recurring triggers	Rumours, religious processions, political mobilisation, economic competition framed communally
Aftermath pattern	Ghettoisation, prolonged trials, compensation disputes, erosion of inter-community trust
Prevention gap	The Communal Violence (Prevention) Bill lapsed; reliance on executive and police action

What it is: Communal riots are the violent eruption of communalism — organised or spontaneous clashes between religious communities involving killing, arson and displacement. Indian riots follow a grimly familiar script: a trigger incident (real, exaggerated or fabricated), rumour amplification, mobilisation by political or fringe elements, delayed or partisan policing, and an aftermath of ghettoisation and lingering fear. Each major episode — 1984, 1992–93, 2002, 2013, 2020 — has scarred the affected cities for a generation, segregating neighbourhoods along religious lines and destroying composite culture.

Why it matters: Riots are the ultimate failure of the state's first duty — protecting life — and the clearest evidence that communalism is not abstract ideology but lived terror. UPSC treats riot analysis as a test of institutional thinking: the question is always about prevention (intelligence, impartial policing, speedy justice) and repair (compensation, rehabilitation, reconciliation). The topic also tests moral clarity: answers must condemn violence unequivocally while analysing causes objectively.

Key facts: Inquiries and commissions after each riot have repeated the same findings: police bias or inaction, political patronage of rioters, and impunity for organisers. The Srikrishna Commission (on the 1992–93 Mumbai riots) is the classic documentation. Preventive tools exist — prohibitory orders, peace committees, rumour-control cells, now social-media monitoring — but deployment depends on political will. The long-pending Communal Violence Bill, meant to fix command responsibility, never passed. Civil-society peace-building (mohalla committees, inter-faith initiatives) shows prevention is possible where attempted.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on causes, consequences and prevention of communal violence. Prelims may ask about the commissions or the lapsed Bill. Structure: script of a riot, institutional failures, preventive and reparative measures, constitutional values as the anchor.

41. 41. Caste Violence & Atrocities

Key fact	Detail
Core law	SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 — strengthened by 2015 amendments
Reported trend	NCRB records rising cases against SCs/STs — reflecting both more violence and more reporting
Flashpoint cases	Khairlanji (2006), Hathras (2020) — Dalit assertion met with brutal reprisal
Typical triggers	Inter-caste marriage, land disputes, Dalit political assertion, access to common resources

What it is: Caste violence is coercion used to enforce hierarchy — from everyday humiliations (denial of wells, temples, cremation grounds) to atrocities: murder, rape, arson and social boycott. It flares typically when Dalits assert rights: marrying across caste, contesting elections, claiming land or wages, or simply refusing deference. The SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 criminalises a catalogue of such acts with stringent procedures, and 2015 amendments added new offences and speedy-trial provisions. Yet conviction rates stay low, and dominant-caste backlash — including against the Act itself — recurs.

Why it matters: Caste atrocities are the violent proof that hierarchy survives constitutional equality — the fact that most directly challenges India's self-image. UPSC frames this as the gap between law and society: excellent statutes, weak enforcement, hostile social context. The topic demands unflinching analysis: why does assertion provoke violence, and what actually deters it?

Key facts: NCRB data shows cases against SCs and STs rising — partly better reporting as awareness and Dalit mobilisation grow. The Supreme Court's 2018 ruling diluting the Act's arrest provisions triggered nationwide Dalit protests and a parliamentary override restoring the law; subsequent judgments have balanced safeguards against misuse with the Act's protective purpose. Structural drivers: land (Dalits are disproportionately landless labourers dependent on dominant-caste employers), political competition, and honour-based reprisals for inter-caste marriage. Special courts and exclusive prosecutors exist on paper; pendency and witness intimidation cripple them in practice.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on causes of caste violence or evaluating the PoA Act. Prelims asks about the Act's year and amendments. Pair the legal framework with the enforcement gap — and one illustration — for full marks.

42. 42. Honour Killings & Khap Panchayats

Key fact	Detail
What they are	Murders to "protect" family/caste honour — typically over inter-caste or same-gotra marriage
Khap panchayats	Caste-based unelected councils, mainly in Haryana, western UP and Rajasthan, issuing diktats on marriage
Landmark ruling	Shakti Vahini v. Union of India (2018): khaps cannot interfere; honour crimes violate fundamental rights
Law Commission	242nd Report (2012) proposed a dedicated bill against honour crimes — still pending

What it is: Honour killings are murders committed to avenge perceived dishonour to family or caste — overwhelmingly cases of young couples killed for marrying across caste, religion or gotra, or for choosing their own partner. Khap panchayats, the unelected caste councils of the Jat belt, have issued diktats against same-gotra and inter-caste marriages, sometimes legitimising violence. The Supreme Court in Shakti Vahini (2018) declared such

interference illegal, laid down preventive, remedial and punitive guidelines, and affirmed that the choice of a partner is a fundamental right. Yet the crimes continue, under-reported and socially condoned in pockets.

Why it matters: Honour crimes are where caste endogamy is enforced by murder — the darkest intersection of caste, patriarchy and community power. UPSC uses the topic to test the conflict between individual constitutional rights and collective social norms, and between formal law and informal authority. It is also the sharpest illustration of why legal reform alone cannot change society without social reform.

Key facts: NCRB tracks honour killings as a motive category, but numbers are small and widely believed to undercount — many are recorded as ordinary murders or suicides. The Law Commission's 242nd Report (2012) proposed the "Prohibition of Interference with the Freedom of Matrimonial Alliances" Bill; Rajasthan passed a state-level honour-crimes bill. Drivers: rigid gotra exogamy, caste pride, control over women's sexuality, and property considerations. Counter-forces: urbanisation, education, special marriage registrations, and court protection for runaway couples — though shelter and police protection remain patchy.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on honour crimes, khap panchayats versus constitutional rights, or the limits of law in social reform. Prelims may ask about the Shakti Vahini guidelines or the Law Commission report. Frame as rights-versus-norms with the 2018 judgment as the resolution.

43. 43. Dowry & Domestic Violence

Key fact	Detail
Dowry law	Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961; dowry death is a distinct offence (Section 304B IPC / BNS)
Scale	NCRB records over 6,000 dowry deaths a year; reported cruelty cases run into lakhs
Domestic violence	NFHS-5: about 29% of ever-married women aged 18–49 experienced spousal violence
Protection law	Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 — civil remedies: residence, maintenance, protection orders

What it is: Dowry — transfers from the bride's family to the groom's — was outlawed in 1961 but instead commercialised: consumerism inflated demands, turning marriage into a transaction and brides into hostages. Dowry harassment and dowry death (a bride killed within seven years of marriage over dowry demands) remain grimly routine. Domestic violence is wider: physical, sexual, emotional and economic abuse within the home, overwhelmingly by husbands and in-laws against wives. The PWDV Act (2005) gave women civil remedies — protection orders, residence rights, monetary relief — without requiring criminal prosecution, recognising that many women want safety, not their husband jailed.

Why it matters: Dowry and domestic violence are patriarchy's household face — the proof that gender inequality is not abstract but bodily. UPSC treats them as the core of women's-safety questions and as a test of legal effectiveness: why do strong laws coexist with rising reports? The expected analysis covers enforcement gaps, social norms that normalise abuse, economic dependence that traps victims, and the misuse debate that complicates reform.

Key facts: NCRB: thousands of dowry deaths annually plus lakhs of cruelty complaints — and reporting has risen as awareness grows. The Supreme Court in Arnesh Kumar (2014) cautioned against automatic arrests in dowry cases, balancing genuine victims against misuse allegations. NFHS-5's spousal-violence figure (about 29%) reveals the iceberg beneath police data. Support infrastructure — One Stop Centres (Sakhi), women's helplines (181/112), shelter homes — exists but is thin outside cities. Economic empowerment (jobs, property rights) is the long-run exit: a woman who can leave is a woman who can negotiate.

How UPSC tests it: A Mains constant — causes, consequences and remedies for dowry/domestic violence. Prelims asks about the Acts and their years. Strong answers combine data, the law-enforcement gap, and the economic-independence solution.

44. 44. Child Labour & Child Marriage

Key fact	Detail
Child labour scale	Census 2011: 1.01 crore working children aged 5–14, mostly in agriculture and family enterprises
Labour law	Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment Act, 2016 — bans work under 14, hazardous work under 18
Child marriage scale	NFHS-5: 23.3% of women aged 20–24 married before 18
Marriage law	Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (18 for women, 21 for men); proposal to raise women's age to 21 pending

What it is: Child labour and child marriage are the two great thefts of childhood. Child labour — children working in fields, workshops, homes and streets — persists because of poverty, cheap-labour demand and weak schooling: the 2016 amendment banned employment under 14 and hazardous work under 18, but family enterprises were exempted, leaving a loophole. Child marriage — nearly a quarter of young women married before 18 — ends education, risks early pregnancy, and entrenches gender inequality; it is driven by poverty, dowry economics (younger brides, lower dowry), and patriarchal control of sexuality. Both are concentrated among the poorest, least educated households.

Why it matters: These are the issues where rights language is clearest — a child cannot consent to work or marriage — yet practice defies law most stubbornly. UPSC uses them to test the poverty-norms-enforcement triangle: poverty supplies the motive, norms supply the legitimacy, weak enforcement supplies the impunity. They are also inter-generational poverty traps: a child bride becomes an uneducated mother of malnourished children.

Key facts: Child labour has declined over decades but remains concentrated in agriculture, beedi-making, and domestic work; the pandemic's school closures pushed vulnerable children back toward work. The 2021 Bill to raise women's marriage age to 21 (aligning with men) is still pending amid debate — supporters cite health and education gains, critics warn criminalisation without addressing poverty. Enforcement tools: child-marriage prohibition officers, village task forces, and conditional cash transfers (like Haryana's Apni Beti Apna Dhan lineage of schemes) that reward delayed marriage. Education — especially secondary schooling for girls — is the single strongest preventive.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on causes and elimination strategies for either issue. Prelims asks about the Acts and census/NFHS figures. Always link the two: the same household poverty and gender norms drive both.

45. 45. Drug Abuse Among Youth

Key fact	Detail
Scale (2019 survey)	National survey: crores of users — alcohol most common, then cannabis (~3.1 crore) and opioids (~2.3 crore)
Core law	NDPS Act, 1985 — stringent punishment for trafficking; provision for treatment of addicts
Hotspots	Punjab, the Northeast and metro peripheries report severe opioid and heroin problems
Policy response	Nasha Mukt Bharat Abhiyaan (2020); de-addiction centres; emphasis on demand reduction

What it is: Drug abuse among youth spans alcohol, cannabis, opioids (heroin, pharmaceutical), and synthetic drugs — concentrated among adolescents and young men, spreading from metros to small towns. The 2019 national survey (AIIMS for the Ministry of Social Justice) quantified the crisis: about 16 crore alcohol users, 3.1 crore cannabis users and 2.3 crore opioid users, with dependence in the crores. Punjab's opioid epidemic and the Northeast's heroin corridors are the worst hotspots. Causes compound: unemployment and idleness, peer pressure, easy availability,

family breakdown, and the glamorisation of substances — with the NDPS Act's punitive approach doing little to curb demand.

Why it matters: Drug abuse converts the demographic dividend into a demographic casualty — addiction destroys the health, employability and families of the young. UPSC frames it as a public-health and social-policy failure, not merely a policing problem: supply interdiction without demand reduction and treatment is a leaking bucket. The topic connects youth, mental health, crime and border security (narco-trafficking routes) in one thread.

Key facts: The NDPS Act (1985) prescribes harsh penalties including death for repeat large-quantity trafficking, but also provides for treatment and rehabilitation instead of punishment for addicts — a provision underused. Treatment infrastructure is woefully thin: the survey found a massive treatment gap, with only a fraction of dependent users receiving help. *Nasha Mukh Bharat Abhiyaan* (2020) targets vulnerable districts with awareness, counselling and community action. International angles: India's pharmaceutical industry as a source of diverted opioids, and cross-border trafficking from the Golden Crescent and Golden Triangle. School-based prevention and peer-counselling show the best evidence globally.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on drug abuse as a social problem — causes, consequences, policy critique. Prelims may ask about the NDPS Act or the Abhiyaan. The expected prescription: balance supply control with demand reduction, treatment and rehabilitation.

46. 46. Agrarian Distress: The Social Angle

Key fact	Detail
Human cost	NCRB records around 11,000 farmer/cultivator suicides annually in recent years
Structural causes	Fragmented holdings, input-cost squeeze, price volatility, debt and climate shocks
Social dimensions	Farming's falling prestige, youth exit, feminisation of agriculture, caste-land linkages
Reform blueprint	National Commission on Farmers (Swaminathan, 2004–06): income, not just output, as the goal

What it is: Agrarian distress is usually told as economics — costs, prices, debt — but its social face is equally stark. Farming has lost prestige: surveys find most farmers do not want their children to farm, and rural youth queue for any non-farm job. Land fragmentation has made holdings unviable; the Green Revolution's costs (debt, depleted water) now outweigh its gains in many belts; and climate shocks strike hardest at those without insurance or savings. Women increasingly do the farm work (feminisation of agriculture) without owning the land or accessing credit. Caste overlays everything: land ownership tracks caste, and Dalit labourers face both economic and social subordination.

Why it matters: The social angle explains why economic fixes alone keep failing: a loan waiver does not restore dignity to a profession the young are fleeing. UPSC's society paper uses agrarian distress to test whether you see the farmer as a social being — embedded in caste, family honour and aspiration — not just a producer. Farmer suicides, the ultimate indicator, are concentrated among specific groups (cash-crop growers, the indebted, in specific regions), demanding sociological, not just economic, reading.

Key facts: The Swaminathan Commission recommended comprehensive reform — fair prices (its C2+50% MSP formula remains the political benchmark), credit, insurance, and attention to women farmers and landless labourers. NCRB's suicide data shows the crisis concentrated in Maharashtra, Karnataka, MP and Andhra Pradesh/Telangana, among small and marginal farmers growing commercial crops. Social consequences ripple outward: indebted families pulling children from school, marrying daughters early to reduce burdens, and migrating to cities as casual labour. Recent farmer agitations (2020–21) showed agrarian identity's political power when dominant-caste farmers mobilise.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on farmer suicides' causes or agrarian crisis — the social dimensions distinguish top answers from purely economic ones. Prelims asks about the Swaminathan Commission or NCRB data. Always add the prestige-collapse and feminisation points for sociological depth.

47. 47. Social Movements: Old vs New

Key fact	Detail
Old movements	Class-based, organised, ideological — peasant, trade-union, nationalist movements
New movements	Identity and quality-of-life based — environment, gender, anti-corruption; decentralised, media-driven
Indian old examples	Tebhaga, Telangana peasant struggles, trade-union strikes of the 1970s–80s
Indian new examples	Chipko, Narmada Bachao Andolan, Anna Hazare's 2011 anti-corruption movement, farmers' protest 2020–21

What it is: Sociologists distinguish old social movements — the peasant revolts, trade-union strikes and nationalist struggles of the industrial era, organised around class, led by parties or unions, demanding redistribution — from new social movements (NSMs): the post-1960s wave organised around identity, environment, gender and quality of life, typically decentralised, suspicious of hierarchy, and fluent in media. India hosts both simultaneously: trade unions still strike, while hashtag campaigns, middle-class anti-corruption mobilisation (Anna Hazare, 2011) and identity assertions (Dalit, tribal, linguistic) follow the new logic. The farmers' protest of 2020–21 blended both — old-style mass sit-ins with new-style social-media savvy.

Why it matters: The old/new distinction is UPSC's framework for every question on protest politics: it tells you what kind of movement you are analysing and therefore what to expect. Old movements negotiate with the state; new movements often bypass it, appealing to courts, media and global opinion. The framework also explains movement outcomes: why some win laws (Narmada's rehabilitation norms, Anna's Lokpal pressure) and others win cultural shifts (#MeToo).

Key facts: Theorists: Touraine and Habermas on new movements' identity focus; Indian scholars like T.K. Oommen and Ghanshyam Shah on movement typologies. Characteristics of new movements: post-material concerns (environment, dignity), participatory rather than representative organisation, and reliance on media spectacle. Indian illustrations span Chipko (1973, bridging old peasant and new environmental), the women's movement's autonomous phase, the anti-arrack movement, and recent digital-first campaigns. State responses range from accommodation (laws, commissions) to repression — and movements' success increasingly depends on sustaining media attention.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions directly ask for the old/new distinction with Indian examples, or analyse a specific movement. Prelims occasionally names movements and leaders. Memorise two examples per category with one distinguishing feature each.

48. 48. Environmental Movements (Chipko, Narmada)

Key fact	Detail
Chipko (1973)	Uttarakhand villagers — led by women like Gaura Devi, inspired by Sunderlal Bahuguna — hugged trees to stop felling
Silent Valley (1978–83)	Kerala campaign saved a rainforest from a hydroelectric project — pure conservation victory
Narmada Bachao Andolan	Medha Patkar-led movement (from 1985) against Sardar Sarovar displacement; won rehabilitation norms
Appiko (1983)	Karnataka's "hug the tree" southern echo of Chipko

What it is: India's environmental movements are the world's most socially rooted green politics: not middle-class conservation but poor communities defending the forests, rivers and land their livelihoods depend on. Chipko (1973) began when Garhwal villagers, led by women, hugged trees to stop commercial felling — coining the slogan "ecology is permanent economy." The Narmada Bachao Andolan (from 1985), led by Medha Patkar, fought the Sardar Sarovar

dam's displacement of lakhs, forcing national debate on rehabilitation and winning landmark Supreme Court-ordered safeguards. Silent Valley's campaign (1978–83) saved a Kerala rainforest outright; Appiko carried Chipko's spirit south.

Why it matters: These movements are the canonical Indian examples of new social movements — and of the environment-versus-development dilemma that dominates GS-III. UPSC uses them to test the "whose development?" question: dams and mines create national assets by destroying local lifeworlds. The movements' legacy — EIA norms, rehabilitation policies, the Forest Rights Act — shows how protest becomes policy, the exact dynamic examiners want analysed.

Key facts: Common features: women at the forefront (Chipko's Gaura Devi, Narmada's tribal women), Gandhian non-violent methods, and alliances between villagers and urban activists/scientists. Outcomes were mixed but historic: Chipko won a 15-year green-felling ban in the UP hills; Narmada lost the dam battle but established resettlement-and-rehabilitation as a national policy question; Silent Valley became a national park. Recent echoes: the Aarey forest protests in Mumbai, Western Ghats campaigns (Gadgil and Kasturirangan reports), and anti-mining movements in Odisha and Chhattisgarh. Critics note some movements romanticise subsistence and block needed infrastructure — the balanced answer acknowledges the trade-off.

How UPSC tests it: Mains questions on environmental movements' role, or development-versus-displacement. Prelims asks about Chipko's leaders and year, Narmada's leader. Pair each movement with its concrete policy legacy for complete answers.

49. Digital Divide & Social Media Impact

Key fact	Detail
Scale of access	India has 800 million+ internet users — but rural, female and poor Indians lag far behind
Divide dimensions	Urban–rural, male–female, rich–poor, English–vernacular — overlapping gaps
Social media power	Movement mobilisation (farmers' protest) and misinformation (WhatsApp-lynching rumours) — same tool, opposite effects
Policy frame	Digital India (2015); BharatNet; DPDP Act, 2023 on data protection; IT Rules on platforms

What it is: The digital divide is the gap between the connected and the unconnected — and in India it mirrors every older inequality. Urban men with smartphones and English live in a different informational universe from rural women without either. The divide determines who can access online education, telemedicine, digital payments and government services — and who is shut out as governance goes digital. Social media, meanwhile, is Indian society's new public square: it mobilised the farmers' protest and #MeToo, but also spread the WhatsApp rumours behind mob lynchings, deepened polarisation through algorithmic echo chambers, and became the battleground for misinformation during elections and the pandemic.

Why it matters: Digital access is becoming a precondition for citizenship — ration, pensions, school admissions and job applications increasingly assume connectivity. UPSC treats the divide as the newest dimension of inequality and social media as the newest shaper of collective behaviour. The topic demands the double vision: celebrate the empowerment (a weaver selling on Instagram, a student learning on YouTube) while confronting the pathologies (addiction, abuse, radicalisation).

Key facts: Digital India (2015) pushed infrastructure (BharatNet's rural fibre), services (DigiLocker, UPI, DBT) and literacy; UPI's scale made India a global digital-payments leader. But device ownership, digital literacy and vernacular content lag infrastructure — the gender gap in mobile internet use is among the world's widest. Regulatory responses: the IT Rules (2021) on platform accountability, the DPDP Act (2023) on data protection, and fact-checking initiatives. Social consequences under study: screen-time effects on adolescents, online abuse of women, and the "WhatsApp university" of forwarded misinformation shaping health and political beliefs.

How UPSC tests it: A rising Mains theme — digital divide, social media and democracy, or misinformation. Prelims asks about Digital India or the DPDP Act. Structure answers around access (divide) and use (social media's dual effects).

50. 50. Way Forward: Building an Inclusive Society

Key fact	Detail
Vision	Ambedkar's "social democracy" — liberty, equality and fraternity as lived social reality, not just law
Pillars	Constitutional values, quality education, gender equality, social security, inter-group dialogue
State's role	Rights, redistribution and representation — plus enforcement that reaches the last mile
Society's role	Civil society, media, religious leaders and youth as agents of attitudinal change

What it is: An inclusive society is one where birth — caste, gender, religion, region — does not decide destiny: where a Dalit girl in rural Bihar has a genuine shot at the same life chances as anyone else. Ambedkar warned that political democracy without social democracy — "liberty, equality and fraternity" as social habits, not just constitutional text — would not survive. Building it requires simultaneous action on five fronts: enforcing constitutional rights (so law reaches the village), universal quality education (the great equaliser), genuine gender equality (half the population, still half-excluded), comprehensive social security (so no one falls through), and deliberate inter-group contact (so diversity becomes familiarity, not fear).

Why it matters: This is the capstone topic — the "way forward" paragraph that every society answer needs, expanded into a full framework. UPSC's society questions are ultimately normative: they ask not just what is happening but what should be done. A ready-made inclusive-society framework — state, market, civil society and individual — lets you conclude any answer convincingly instead of trailing off into vague "awareness campaigns."

Key facts: The state's toolkit: rights-based laws (RTE, FRA, PWDV), targeted redistribution (scholarships, reservations, DBT), and representation (panchayat quotas, the 2023 women's reservation). The gaps to close: enforcement (conviction rates, scheme leakages), quality (learning, healthcare), and attitudes (the hardest frontier — prejudice changes slower than policy). Civil society's role — SHGs, social movements, community media — supplies the participatory energy the state cannot manufacture. Youth, as the largest cohort, are both the beneficiaries and the builders. The measure of success is inter-generational: when a sanitation worker's child can realistically become a doctor, inclusion is real.

How UPSC tests it: Rarely asked directly, but "suggest measures" and "way forward" appear in almost every society question — this topic is your ready answer. In essays on justice, equality or fraternity, it provides the concluding vision. Memorise the five pillars and Ambedkar's social-democracy line.

5 Revision Tips for Indian Society

- 1. Build a sociologist-concept map:** one line per thinker — Srinivas (Sanskritisation, dominant caste), Ghurye (caste features), Karve (kinship), Beteille (inequality), Dumont (hierarchy), Bipan Chandra (communalism), Rajeev Bhargava (principled distance). Dropping the right name with the right concept signals sociological literacy instantly.
- 2. Maintain a data bank of four-number sets:** Census 2011 (121.09 crore, 17.7% growth, 943 sex ratio, 74.04% literacy), NFHS-5 (TFR 2.0, stunting 35.5%, child marriage 23.3%, spousal violence ~29%), and scheme years (RTE 2009, PWDV 2005, FRA 2006, Smart Cities 2015). One precise figure per answer beats five vague claims.
- 3. Always use the change-continuity frame:** for caste, family, marriage or religion, argue both sides — what has transformed (urbanisation, law, politics) and what persists (endogamy, patriarchy, hierarchy). Examiners reward this dialectical structure over one-sided narratives.

4. **Convert every topic into an answer skeleton:** definition → causes/features → data illustration → constitutional-legal position → challenges → way forward. Practise fitting any of the 50 topics into this six-step frame in under nine minutes.
5. **Link society to current affairs weekly:** tag each news item to a topic — a census-town report to urbanisation, a khap diktat to honour crimes, PLFS data to women's work. Mains answers that open with a 2024–26 illustration score higher than purely textbook ones.

Frequently Asked Questions

How important is Indian Society for the UPSC exam?

Very. It is an explicit GS Paper I syllabus head, typically fetching 25–35 marks in Mains through two or three 10–15 mark questions, plus scattered Prelims questions on census data, laws and committees. Society also supplies the introduction, examples and conclusions for answers in GS-II (governance, welfare) and the essay paper — making it one of the highest-return areas per hour of preparation.

Which books should I read for Indian Society?

Start with NCERT Class XI "Introducing Sociology" and Class XII "Understanding Society" — short, conceptual and UPSC-aligned. Then Ram Ahuja's "Society in India" for comprehensive coverage of institutions and issues. IGNOU's sociology material (ESO-12 on India) is excellent free backup. Avoid heavy academic theory; UPSC wants Indian-society application, not sociological jargon.

Can I prepare Indian Society without a sociology background?

Absolutely — most toppers have no sociology background. The paper tests informed-citizen understanding, not disciplinary expertise: clear definitions, accurate facts, awareness of current debates and balanced judgment. The 50 topics above are designed exactly for non-sociology students — each gives you the concept, the facts and the exam angle in one place.

What data sources should I cite in answers?

Census 2011 for population basics, NFHS-5 for health and gender indicators, PLFS for employment, NCRB for crime data, and SRS for mortality figures. For policy, cite NITI Aayog reports (national MPI), Economic Survey chapters and ministry data. Always mention the source and round ("NFHS-5", "Census 2011") — sourced data carries far more weight than unsourced claims.

How do I write a good Mains answer on a society topic?

Use the six-step frame: define the concept in one line, explain causes or features, illustrate with one data point and one current example, state the constitutional or legal position, acknowledge complexity (change vs continuity), and close with a concrete way forward. Keep language neutral and constitutional — especially on communalism, caste and gender — and underline key terms.

How is "Indian Society" different from "Social Justice" in GS-II?

GS-I Society is descriptive and analytical — what Indian society is, how it is structured and how it changes (caste, family, urbanisation, movements). GS-II Social Justice is prescriptive and institutional — what the state does about it (welfare schemes, health, education, governance mechanisms). In practice they overlap: use society concepts to diagnose the problem in GS-I and scheme evaluation to prescribe in GS-II.